Comparative Analysis of Sustainability Curricula Implementation Processes in Higher Education Institutions: A Variable-based Analytical Scheme

Marie Weiss, Matthias Barth

Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development Series



Page intentionally left blank

Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development Series

Comparative Analysis of Sustainability Curricula Implementation Processes in Higher Education Institutions:

A Variable-based Analytical Scheme

Marie Weiss, Center for Global Sustainability and Cultural Transformation, Faculty of Sustainability, Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg, Niedersachsen, Germany **marie.weiss@leuphana.de**

Matthias Barth, Center for Global Sustainability and Cultural Transformation and Institute for Integrative Studies, Faculty of Sustainability, Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg, Niedersachsen, Germany

matthias.barth@leuphana.de

This publication is partly a product of Educating Future Change Agents—Higher Education as a Motor of the Sustainability Transformation, a collaborative project of Leuphana University of Lüneburg and Arizona State University.

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the Lower Saxony Ministry of Science and Culture and the Volkswagen Foundation for the grant "Educating Future Change Agents – Higher Education as a Motor of the Sustainability Transformation" (A115235) through the program "Science for Sustainable Development.





Funded By:



Niedersächsisches Ministerium für Wissenschaft und Kultur



Page intentionally left blank

Editorial

Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development is a series dedicated to publishing recent insights and discussions from ongoing research projects in the field of Higher Education for Sustainable Development. One major goal is to make detailed case descriptions, notes on methods, research designs, and related information available in a transparent fashion; such information usually exceeds the scope of journal articles. Fellow researchers, scholars, and practitioners are invited to comment, discuss, and contribute their thoughts and experiences. This working papers series is published by the Joint Center for Global Sustainability and Cultural Transformation (CGSC), a transatlantic academic collaboration between Leuphana University of Lüneburg and Arizona State University

Issue 1/2020 Published May 19. 2020

ISSN: 2700-6735

Please cite as:

Weiss M., Barth M. (2020) Comparative Analysis of Sustainability Curricula Implementation Processes in Higher Education Institutions: A Variable-based Analytical Scheme. Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development, No. 1/2020. Leuphana University Lüneburg, Center for Global Sustainability and Cultural Transformation.

Impressum / Imprint:

Prof. Dr. Matthias Barth; Prof. Dr. Arnim Wiek

Center for Global Sustainability and Cultural Transformation Leuphana University Lüneburg Universitätsallee 1 21335 Lüneburg, Germany

Abstract

English

Sustainable development depends on the broad implementation of sustainability curricula across higher education institutions. While this belief is widely shared, little empirical evidence and generalizable results have been generated of such implementation processes and specific driving and hindering factors. This paper provides a scheme for analyzing these processes. The scheme can be used to analyze a single case or a few case studies, but its primary appeal lies in enabling comparisons and meta-analyses of a large number of case studies. Its application will deepen the understanding of sustainability curricula implementation processes in higher education institutions.

Key words: higher education, university, education for sustainable development, sustainability, curricula, implementation process, drivers, barriers, meta-analyses, case survey method

Deutsch

Die nachhaltige Entwicklung unserer Gesellschaft hängt wesentlich davon ab inwiefern Nachhaltigkeitsthemen Einzug in die Programme, Kurse und Curricula der Bildungseinrichtungen, v.a. der Hochschulen finden. Während diese Ansicht etabliert ist und geteilt wird, finden sich kaum empirische Arbeiten mit hohen Fallzahlen zu den eigentlichen Implementierungsprozessen und den entscheidenden Barrieren und Treibern. Erkenntnisse zu Implementierungsprozessen liegen bisher nur in einzelnen Fallstudien oder Vergleichen mit geringen Fallzahlen vor.

Das vorliegende analytische Gerüst ermöglicht einen Vergleich von einer hohen Anzahl von Fallstudien, die über Implementierungsprozesse von Nachhaltigkeitscurricula an Hochschulen berichten. Damit wird ermöglicht auch eine sehr große Anzahl von Fallstudien in einer Meta-Analyse zu vergleichen, um generalisierbare Erkenntnisse zu erhalten.

Key words: Hochschulbildung, Universität, Bildung für nachhaltige Entwicklung, Nachhaltigkeit, Implementierung, Meta-Analyse, case survey Methode

Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development

Page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

Part I	11
Introduction	12
1.1 The Educating Future Change Agents Project	12
1.2 Research on drivers of and barriers to sustainability curricula implementation	12
1.3 Why an analytical scheme?	
1.4 Applicability, scope, and development of the EFCA analytical scheme	
1.5 Case sample description	
1.6 Acknowledgement	
Part II	20
The analytical scheme (Code book)	20
2.1 General coding guidelines	
2.2 Guidelines and information for specific groups of variables	21
2.3 Glossary of key terms	
2.4 Key abbreviations and symbols	
2.5 List of scales used	23
2.6 How to read the tables	24
2.7 Variables Category 1: Basic Data Case	25
2.8 Variables Category 2: Basic Data HEI	
2.9 Variables Category 3: Educational Environment	
2.10 Variables Category 4: Implementation Process	
2.11 Variable Category 5: Leadership	41
2.12 Variable Category 6: Support mechanisms	
2.13 Variable Category 7: Internal Stakeholders	51
2.14 Variable Category 8: Sociocultural Context	57
2.15 Variable Category 9: Level of Sustainability Curricula Implementation	60
References	64
Appendix	66
No. 1 Case study lists structured by their relevance	66
Relevance 1 case studies (N=133)	
Relevance 2 case studies (N=87)	69
No. 2 Factsheet – Example	72

Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development

Page intentionally left blank

Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Typology of research synthesis approaches according to the used source of data and	d the
method of integration (Newig & Fritsch, 2009)	14
Figure 2: Case survey method steps (adapted from Newig & Fritsch, 2009)	14
Figure 3: Layers and moderators of curriculum development (Barth, 2015)	15
Figure 4: EFCA analytical scheme variables situated in the drivers and barriers logic model develo	oped
by Barth (2015)	17
Figure 5: Frequency and structure of case studies	19
Table 1: Glossary of key terms	22
Table 2: Key abbreviation and symbols	22
Table 3: List of scales used	23
Table 4: Explanation of table structure for the code book	24
Table 5: Relevance 1 case studies	66
Table 6: Relevance 2 case studies	69

Working Papers in Higher Education for Sustainable Development

Page intentionally left blank

Part I

Introduction

1.1 The Educating Future Change Agents Project

The *Educating Future Change Agents* (EFCA) project produced empirical insights on how higher education can support students' development of key competencies in sustainability. The project was conducted 2016-2020 as a joint research project between Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Germany and Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA. The project was structured into five studies, which conducted in-depth case studies and comparative studies on the course, curriculum, and institutional level. The specific cases were selected so as to have a high degree of both similarities and variances within and across cases and to represent the widely recognized fields of sustainability education, namely, education of sustainability professionals, teachers, and entrepreneurs.

All studies were grounded in a shared analytical framework that informed both data collection and analysis. Based on this framework, each study adopted its own suite of research methods appropriate for the respective research questions, while still coordinating and sharing insights on methods among the studies. Each study produced a set of results specific to the specific case(s) and contexts. In the final phase of the project, results from the individual studies were synthesized to offer general insights for researchers, educators, and administrators in the field of sustainability education.

Results of the EFCA project have been published and can be found on ResearchGate: https://www.researchgate.net/project/Educating-Future-Change-Agents. This working paper series provides previously unpublished background material and additional information to facilitate deeper understanding of the research carried out. The working papers offer thorough case documentation and in-depth information on instruments and analytical steps.

1.2 Research on drivers of and barriers to sustainability curricula implementation

One study of the EFCA project focuses on the implementation processes of sustainability curricula in higher education institutions. The core of the analysis relies on identifying specific driving and hindering factors and distinct patterns of implementation. A heterogeneity of single-case or small N comparative case studies have been published on sustainability curricula implementation processes. However, a comparison of all of the published case studies so far, and an analysis that derives generalizable results based on the single-case and small N studies, were both missing. This study helps to close this gap. In a first step, we searched widely for case studies on sustainability curricula implementation in peer-reviewed journal articles and specific edited volumes. Details on the comprehensive search strategy and further analysis of the research landscape can be found in Weiss & Barth (2019). In a second step, we built an extensive variable-based analytical scheme to compare the various case studies. To make our coding process not only understandable and transparent but also replicable, we provide the EFCA analytical scheme in this working paper.

1.3 Why an analytical scheme?

By now there is a growing but scattered body of *single-case studies* describing and/or analyzing specific sustainability curricula implementation processes in higher education institutions around the globe (Verhulst und Lambrechts 2015; Velazquez et al. 2005; Trechsel et al. 2018; Ferrer-Balas et al. 2008; Segovia und Galang 2002; Cebrián 2017). Yet, consolidated knowledge on the role of various drivers and barriers in determining the level of sustainability curriculum implementation achieved (especially across different contexts) has been missing. As each case study is written from a different perspective (university leadership, lecturer, sustainability champion, student (occasionally), or external researcher), focuses on different variables in the description or analysis, and uses different methods to gather data, comparison is highly difficult. So how can we make use of these insights to derive evidence-based conclusions?

Barth and Thomas (2012) explain varying approaches to synthesizing case study research. In general, inter-case research aggregates data from single case studies and works toward more robust data by analyzing trends and patterns that are shared and that emerge in different contexts. These *multiple case studies and cross-comparison case studies* try to draw conclusions about the commonalities and differences among a small number of cases by using the same focus and methodology (Ferrer-Balas et al. 2008; Sterling und Scott 2008; Junyent und Geli de Ciurana, Anna M. 2008). However, this kind of analysis can only be done for a small number of case studies.

As a single researcher isn't able to monitor and/or compare all existing case studies and research on sustainability curricula implementation processes, there is a need for an overview of existing research, one that systematically retrieves and organizes the data lying in every qualitative case study (Barth und Thomas 2012; Fien 2002). A more integrative interpretation of findings, i.e., one that goes beyond the findings of the single-case studies, is offered by a *meta-analytical approach*.

This research provides a unique contribution to closing this research gap by analyzing 133 case studies on sustainability curricula implementation processes around the globe by means of *the case survey method*.

The *case survey method* (Lucas 1974; Newig und Fritsch 2009; Yin und Heald 1975) is a meta-analytical technique that enables researchers to "to systematically and rigorously synthesize previous casebased research by drawing on the richness of the case material, on different researchers and research designs, and at the same time allowing for a much wider generalization than from single cases" (Newig und Fritsch 2009). To embed the case survey method in the methodological theory, Newig and Fritsch describe differences between a traditional review, a meta-synthesis, a systematic review, a meta-analysis based on qualitative (case) material—this is the case survey method—and a meta-analysis based on quantitative data. The methods differ according to the type of data input (quantitative or qualitative) and the method of integration. The categorization of the various methods in this matrix is shown in Figure 1. The advantages of meta-analytical approaches include (first) the opportunity to analyze patterns in a large set of case studies and (second) the ability to generalize to larger populations. The number of available case studies and the restriction of information available can be seen as limitations (Barth und Thomas 2012).

Source of data Method of integration	Qualitative case studies (unit = case)	Quantitative studies (unit = article)	
Narrative / ad hoc	Traditional review		
Qualitative, interpretive	Meta-synthesis		
Systematic, but not quantitative	Systematic review		
Quantitative or otherwise highly structured (statistical or QCA)	Meta-analysis (in a broader sense) Case survey Meta-analysis (case meta-analysis) (in the narrowest sense)		

Figure 1: Typology of research synthesis approaches according to the used source of data and the method of integration (Newig & Fritsch, 2009)

In employing the case survey method we were guided by the steps recommended by Newig and Fritsch (2009). Figure 2 shows our procedure with its individual steps.

Case	Case survey method:				
1.	Develop research questions				
2.	Decide on the methodology				
3.	Define case selection criteria				
4.	Collect case sample universe				
5.	Design initial coding scheme				
6.	Pretest and iterative revision of coding scheme				
7.	Final coding of cases through multiple raters				
8.	Measure interrater reliability				
9.	Resolve important, but not all, coding discrepancies				
10.	Analysis of the created case data set (statistical or other)				

Figure 2: Case survey method steps (adapted from Newig & Fritsch, 2009)

To compare data from different case studies, the existence of a coherent and empirically operable analytical scheme (which allows for transforming the qualitative data from the case studies into quantitative data) is crucial. Regarding both the analytical scheme and the case-study reports, the analysis can be replicated by other researchers (Lucas 1974).

In this paper, we introduce and outline an analytical scheme that was in development for over three years and was then tested in an analysis of 133 case studies from around the globe.

1.4 Applicability, scope, and development of the EFCA analytical scheme

The following analytical scheme is a first attempt at creating a rigorous procedure for comparing a large number of sustainability curricula implementation processes in higher education. This scheme was tested with 133 case studies around the globe and is meant to be applicable to all higher education institutions regardless of socio-cultural context. It allows for the analysis of sustainability curricula implementation, including the underlying mechanisms and the output of the process (i.e., the level of the sustainability curricula implementation).

The comprehensive analytical scheme is based on existing research on drivers and barriers, complemented with insights from the case studies. As a starting point, we used the logic model of drivers and barriers (Figure 3), which was compiled and structured by Barth (2015).



Figure 3: Layers and moderators of curriculum development (Barth, 2015)

In a second step, we supplemented the model with additional variables from the literature (Barth 2013; Ferrer-Balas et al. 2008; Kitamura und Hoshii 2010; Hurney et al. 2016; Thomas und Nicita 2002; Banga Chhokar 2010; Junyent und Geli de Ciurana, Anna M. 2008; Velazquez et al. 2005; Lidgren et al. 2006; Muhar et al. 2013). Finally, we tested our analytical scheme with the case study material and adapted the analytical scheme with insights drawn from this material.

To describe and analyze a sustainability curricula implementation process in a higher education institution, various drivers and barriers can be identified and described in varying degrees of detail. The overarching influence is the *sociocultural context*. Within this context are *external influences*:

governmental restrictions (including relevant laws and the variability of public funding) affect the extent to which curriculum (re)development can take place, market forces apply pressure on employability of students and partially dictating the appeal of different courses of study, accrediting agencies are decisive in establishing new subjects in higher education, and public discourse impacts awareness of societal responsibility for improving the sustainability of key systems. Internally, the *institutional environment*—the institution's vision and mission (i.e., its strategic planning) as well as the resources available—is vital. For implementing innovative sustainability curricula, the *educational environment*, which includes the teaching and learning culture and the disciplinary structure (i.e., the extent of interdisciplinarity), plays a crucial role. Moreover, curriculum change is strongly connected to changes in the institution's organizational structure and the university culture: changes, that is, to institutional routines such as leadership, collaboration, and communication (Barth 2015). An additional integral component is the support of internal stakeholders, especially academic staff and their willingness to change their teaching, university leadership offering support, and students' interest in sustainability.

In the proposed analytical scheme, we try to capture the available information at a deep and detailed level. During the coding process the following categories were used to organize the individual variables:

- 1. Basic data case
- 2. Basic data HEI (higher education institution)
- 3. Educational environment
- 4. Implementation process
- 5. Leadership
- 6. Support during the sustainability curricula implementation process
- 7. Internal stakeholders
- 8. Sociocultural context
- 9. Level of sustainability curricula implementation

How we situated our variables in Barth's analytical scheme is depicted in Figure 4.



Figure 4: EFCA analytical scheme variables situated in the drivers and barriers logic model developed by Barth (2015)

1.5 Case sample description

Our unit of analysis is the higher education institution and our universe of cases consists of 133 sustainability curricula implementation processes in higher education institutions around the globe. Sources for the systematic document analysis were published peer-reviewed journal articles, chapters in specific edited volumes and additional online material from the websites of the higher education institutions. In a recently published paper (Weiss & Barth 2019) we described our structured data collection process in detail. Overall, we found 230 case studies, which provided varying levels of information. We then analyzed the case studies using the following category structure. First, we distinguished the case studies based on their general level of information. This distinction is made by applying the *Relevance 1* and *Relevance 2* categories.

- *Relevance 1:* Case studies with at least one publication focusing on the sustainability curricula implementation process. These can be single or comparative case studies.
- *Relevance 2:* Case studies that only marginally describe the sustainability curricula implementation process. These can be single or comparative case studies.

Furthermore, we distinguished the *Relevance 1* cases based on the type of publication, as we assumed that single peer-reviewed case studies offer the most comprehensive analytical data. Therefore, we created the following categories:

- Long: Case studies described in depth in at least one peer-reviewed journal article and further additional publications, which could include book chapters, comparative case studies, and *Relevance 2* publications.
- *Short:* Case studies described in depth in one peer-reviewed journal article (single case study) (and no further publication.
- *Book chapter:* Case studies described in depth in a book chapter. Additional publications could include *Relevance 2* peer-reviewed articles.
- *Comparative:* Case studies included in at least one comparative study. Additional publications could include *Relevance 2* publications.

An overview of the various categories and their frequency is shown in Figure 5. Of the 230 case studies, we excluded 10 because the topic of interest wasn't captured in the published text, or because the relevant higher education institution no longer existed in the same form (e.g., it was merged with another HEI). The comprehensive database, including all collected 221 case studies structured by their relevance, publication type, name of the HEI, country, continent, and publications can be found in an open access Excel file on ResearchGate (Weiss & Barth, 2020). A shortlist with the relevance, name of the HEI, country, and continent of the case studies can be found in Appendix 1.

The proposed analytical scheme was applied to all *Relevance 1* case studies (N=133).



Figure 5: Frequency and structure of case studies

1.6 Acknowledgement

We thank our colleagues Jana Timm and Stephanie Jahn as well as our student and research assistants Anna Falkenstein, Franziska Steinbrügge, Johanna Kruse, Lisa Eberhardt, Lisa Eicke and Anke Klaever for helpful comments on this analytical scheme during various phases of its development and/or the collection of the case studies, which informed the development of the analytical scheme.

Part II

The analytical scheme (Code book)

2.1 General coding guidelines

The analytical scheme consists of 111 variables and uses qualitative, categorical scaled and numeric data. To apply the analytical scheme, we recommend building a database (e.g., using an Excel spreadsheet) for all non-qualitative data. For the qualitative data, we recommend using a factsheet for each case to capture the qualitative material in greater detail. We also highly recommend using a coding protocol to capture coding decisions. This can also be recorded in the factsheets. We provide an example of a factsheet in Appendix 2.

Ideally, all variables are coded by at least two coders. In reality, there are often not enough resources to have the entirety of the case universe and all variables coded by multiple coders. In our study, two trained coders separately coded 10% of the cases; we tested the results for inter-rater agreement.

Coding should be based on evidence from the case material. In unclear cases, coders can make substantiated judgments if the variable cannot be coded otherwise. If this is the case, it is useful to make a comment in the coding protocol.

Coding should follow the coders' assessment based on the descriptions of the variables in the coding protocol and should not include any idiosyncratic interpretations or terminology introduced by the coder.

In some cases, it could be difficult to code or assess variables since the consideration of varying publications for one case, which could focus on different time spans, can result in conflicting information. Difficulties can be recorded in the coding protocol.

Some variables offer an open "other" value label to make it possible to capture any information that is not captured in the named value labels. If an "other" value label is coded, a comment should be recorded in the coding protocol explaining what information is behind the "other" label.

If there is no information on the specific variable, it should be kept in mind to distinguish between the following value labels:

- Binary variables:
 - 0 = no information
 - 1 = yes, there is information
- Nominal variables:
 - 0 = a lack of the variable is described (worked as a barrier)
 - 1 = the variable is described as a driver

-77 = no information on the variable

-99 = logically not possible due to missing information in other variable(s).

2.2 Guidelines and information for specific groups of variables

Some variables require general information, which may be looked up in other resources. The most recent data from a trustworthy resource should be used (e.g., an HEI website or annual report). These variables are marked with a **(+)** before the variable description.

- Variables 2.4 2.6: Number of faculty, management, students
- Variables 3.1 3.2.3: Number of (sustainability) programs
- Variables 3.4.1 3.4.5: Disciplines of the specific HEI
 - To determine whether a specific discipline is taught, inclusive and institution-wide information from the HEI's website should be included. Sources could include the pages of schools, departments, institutes, and chair levels, for example. To decide what topic belongs to which discipline it should be referred to the DFG Classification of Scientific Disciplines, Research Areas, Review Boards and Subject Areas (2016-2019).

Some variables are filter variables with related variables that give more information on the filter variable. If a filter variable is coded with -77 (no information), every related variable on a lower level should be coded with a -99 (logically not possible).

- Variable 4.7: Window of opportunity (with related characteristics: variables 4.7.1 4.7.8)
- Variable 4.9: Communication (with related characteristics: variables 4.9.1 4.9.4)
- Variable 6.1: Professional development opportunities (with related characteristics: variables 6.1.1 6.1.5)
- Variable 6.2: Incentives (with related characteristics: variables 6.2.1 6.2.6)

Some variables offer an open "other" variable to make it possible to capture any information that is not prescribed in the theoretical schemes. To indicate what information is behind the "other" label a comment in the coding protocol should be made.

- Variable 4.7.8: Windows of opportunity Characteristics: Other
- Variable 4.9.4: Communication strategy Characteristics: Other
- Variable 5.5: Resources Other
- Variable 6.1.5: Professional development opportunities Characteristics: Other
- Variable 6.2.6: Incentives Characteristics: Other

2.3 Glossary of key terms

Term (abbreviation)	Definition/Description						
Faculty	Includes professors and all types of researchers, lecturers, and						
	teaching assistants						
Case material (CM)	Publications that report on the sustainability curricula						
	implementation process						
ESD	Education for sustainable development						
HEI	Higher education institution						
Management staff	Includes all non-academic staff (e.g., administrative leaders and						
	staff).						
Students	Includes all enrolled students (part-time, full-time, online)						
Study programs	Includes all study programs including professional						
	training/accompanying studies						
Sustainability (-related)	Programs that point to sustainability based on the title/name or						
programs	description of the program: at least one form of the word						
	sustainab* must be mentioned at some point. Exclude single						
	courses, certificates, and minors. Exclude programs that are						
	described solely as environmental						
T&L	Teaching and learning						
Top management staff	Includes HEI president (institution level), deans and associates						
	(division level), program leaders						

Table 1: Glossary of key terms

2.4 Key abbreviations and symbols

Table 2: Key abbreviation and symbols

(+)	Besides the case material, other external sources like the website or annual report of the HEI may be consulted.
-77	No information
-99	Logically not possible
bin.	Binary scale
met.	Metric scale
nom.	Nominal scale
ord.	Ordinal scale
qual.	Qualitative scale

2.5 List of scales used

Scale	Coding possibilities	Missing information
[0/1]	0,1	-77/-99
[02]	0,1,2	-77/-99
[03]	0,1,2,3	-77/-99
[04]	0,1,2,3,4	-77/-99
[13]	1,2,3	-77
[14]	1,2,3,4	-77/-99
[15]	1,2,3,4,5	-77
[17]	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	-77
Number	Enter numbers	-77/-99
Text	Enter text	-77/-99
Date	Enter date YYYY	-77

 Table 3: List of scales used

Note: the choice to include multiple scales with the same number of assignable values (e.g. 0..2 and 1..3 each have three possible value designations) is deliberate. Due to our logic model, which we chose because it enables us to describe barriers and drivers, a value of 0 is assigned if anything is described as a barrier/weak/lack of (etc.). Categories that do not admit of a barrier/driver assessment are scaled beginning with 1. If you are not working within a barrier/driver model, you may be tempted to simplify the coding scheme and start every scale with 0. We would gently encourage you not to do this, as it could be barrier to later comparative or collaborative research on studies coded by different teams.

2.6 How to read the tables

The Codebook consists of 5 columns:

- 1. Numeration of each category or variable.
- 2. Name and abbreviation of the variable.
- 3. Data type: We use the following data types: qualitative (text), binary (no/yes), metric (number), ordinal (categories in a specific order), nominal (categories without a specific order), and date.
- 4. Value label: Description of the kind of data that can be coded. "Text" indicates that you can insert text-based data. If the data type is binary, ordinal, or nominal the range of possible value labels is given. For instance, [1..6] means that you can code a 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Moreover, guidance is provided on how to code missing data. A -77 indicates that the data is not available, and a -99 indicates that the coding is not logically possible due to a filter variable.
- 5. Description of the variable. If applicable, the value labels are described. Moreover, further notes to specify inclusion or exclusion criteria, or coding rules are explained to eliminate conflicts during coding.

Х.	Name of the Categor	ry		
X.1	Variable name	Data	Value	Description of the variable.
		type	label	
	(Abbreviation)			Description of value labels (if applicable).
				Further notes on exclusion/inclusion criteria or
				coding rules (if applicable)
Examp	le 1			
1.1	Case	qual.	Text	Continuous numeration (three-digit) of selected
	identification			case studies from the population (e.g., C001).
	(CASE ID)			
Examp	le 2			
2.7	Size HEI	ord.	[14]	Current size of institution.
	(SIZE HEI)			1 ≥ 30,000 students
				2 ≥ 12,000 students
				$3 \ge 5,000$ students
				$4 \leq 5,000$ students
				Note: The number of students from variable 2.5
				N students should be used to code this variable.

Table 4: Explanation of table structure for the code book

2.7 Variables Category 1: Basic Data Case

1.	BASIC DATA CASE			
1.1	Case	qual.	Text	Continuous numeration (three-digit) of
	identification			selected case studies from the population (e.g.,
				C001).
	(CASE ID)			
1.2	Coder ID	qual.	Text	Initials of coder.
	(CODER ID)			
1.3	Empirical data	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether empirical evidence is
				used in at least one publication.
	(EMP DATA)			
				0 = no
				1 = yes
1.4	Further references	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether further references are
				mentioned in the case material that offer more
	(REF)			information on the implementation process of
				sustainability curricula at the specific HEI.
				0 = 20
				1 = voc
				i – yes
				Note: The explicit qualitative text string is
				marked in MAXQDA for possible further
				analysis.

2.8 Variables Category	y 2: Basic Data HEI
------------------------	---------------------

2.	BASIC DATA HEI			
2.1	Name HEI	qual.	Text	Full name of the higher education institution (HEI) in English. If there is no English name, the
	(NAME HEI)			common name used in the country in which the
				HEI is located should be used. The abbreviation
				should be placed in parentheses.
2.2	Country	qual.	Text	Name of the country in which the HEI is located.
	(COUNTRY)			
2.3	Continent	nom.	[16]	Name of the continent in which the HEI is located.
	(CONTINENT)			
				1=Africa
				2=Asia
				3=Europe
				4=Latin America and the Caribbean
				5=North America
				6=Oceania and Australia
				Note: The detailed number of cases per country
				and the affiliated region based on the UN
				geographical regions (United Nations (UN) 2018).
2.4	Number of faculty	met.	Number	(+) Current number of faculty.
	······································		-77	()
	(N FACULTY)			Note: If the numbers of faculty and
				administrative or management staff cannot be
				disentangled, the overall staff number should be
				coded under N faculty, and a note should be
				made in the coding protocol.
2.5	Number of	met.	Number	(+) Current number of management staff.
	management staff		-77	
				Note: This figure should be excluded if the
	(N MGMT)			numbers of academic and administrative or
				management staff cannot be separated. The
				overall staff number should be coded under
				variable 2.3 N academic staff, and a note should
				be made in the coding protocol.

2.	BASIC DATA HEI (continued)		
2.6	Number of	met.	Number	(+) Current number of students.
	students		-77	
	(N STUDENTS)			
2.7	Size HEI	ord.	[14]	Current size of institution.
				1 > 20,000 students
				$2 \ge 12,000$ students
				$3 \ge 5,000$ students
				$4 \leq 5,000$ students
				Note: The number of students from variable 2.5
				N students should be used to code this variable.

3.	EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT			
3.1	Number of all	met.	Number	(+) Number of all study programs.
	programs		-77	
			-99	Note: Exclude single courses, minors, certificates.
	(N PROGRAMS			Code based on the variables 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3
	ALL)			and add up the numbers. If one of these
				numbers is missing, code it with -99.
3.1.1	Number of	met.	Numbe	(+) The current number of all bachelor's
	undergrad		r	degree programs.
	programs		-77	
				Note: Exclude single courses, minors, certificates.
	(N PROGRAMS			
	UNDERGRAD)			
3.1.2	Number of grad	met.	Numbe	(+) The current number of all master's degree
	programs		r	programs.
			-77	
	(N PROGRAMS			Note: Exclude single courses, minors, certificates.
	GRAD)			
3.1.3	Number of	met.	Numbe	(+) The current number of all PhD programs.
	doctoral programs		r	
			-77	Note: Exclude single courses, minors, certificates.
	(N PROGRAMS DR)			
3.2.	Number of all	met.	Numbe	(+) Number of all sustainability-related study
	sustainability		r 	programs.
	programs		-77	
			-99	Note: Exclude single courses, certificates, minors.
	(N SUS PROGRAMS			Code based on the variables 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3
	ALL)			and add up the numbers. If one of these
2.2.7				numbers is missing, code it with -99.
3.2.1	Number of	met.	Numbe	(+) The current number of all sustainability-
	undergrad		r əə	related bachelor's degree programs.
	sustainability		-//	
	programs			Note: Exclude single courses, certificates, minors.
	(N SUS PROGRAMS			
	UNDERGRAD)			

2.9 Variables Category 3: Educational Environment

EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT (continued)			
met.	Numbe	(+) The current number of all sustainability-	
	r	related master's degree programs.	
	-77		
		Note: Exclude single courses, certificates, minors.	
		-	
met.	Numbe	(+) The current number of all sustainability-	
	r	related PhD programs.	
	-77	1 5	
		Note: Exclude sinale courses, certificates, minors.	
		5 , , , ,	
ord.	[02]	Description of the diversity of sustainability	
	-77	study programs in terms of the degree(s)	
	_99	offered (undergrad, Master's, PhD)	
		e	
		0 = weak diversity (one type of degree	
		[undergrad, grad, or PhD] is offered)	
		1 = medium diversity (two types of degree are	
		offered)	
		2 = high diversity (all three types of degree are	
		offered)	
		Note: Include the codinas of the variables 3.2-	
		3.2.3 as a data source.	
ord.	[02]	Description of the diversity of disciplines	
	-77	taught.	
	-99		
		0 = weak diversity (1-2 disciplines are taught)	
		1 = medium diversity (3 are taught)	
		2 = high diversity (4 are taught)	
		Note: Include the codinas of the variables 3.4.1-	
		3.4.4 as a data source. Exclude Variable 3.4.5.	
		Diversity of disciplines: Sustainability	
EDUCATIONAL ENVI Number of grad sustainability programs (N SUS PROGRAMS GRAD) Number of doctoral sustainability programs (N SUS PROGRAMS DR) Diversity sustainability study programs (DIV SUS PROGRAMS)	EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTNumber of grad sustainability programsmet.(N SUS PROGRAMS GRAD)met.Number of doctoral sustainability programsmet.(N SUS PROGRAMS DR)ord.Diversity sustainability study programsord.(DIV SUS PROGRAMS)viewDiversity of disciplinesord.Diversity of disciplinesord.	DUCATIONAL ENVIPOUNENT (continue net.Number of gradmet.Number rprograms-77(N SUS PROGRAMS GRAD)rNumber of doctoralmet.Number rsustainability-77programs-77Diversity programsord.[02]Sustainability study PROGRAMS)-77joil visity programs-77joil visity programsord.[02]GIV SUS PROGRAMS)-77joil visity programs-99(DIV SUS programs-77joil visity 	
	RONMEN met. met. ord.	RONMENT(continuemet.Number-77met.Number-77ord.[02]-77-99	

3.	EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT (continued)				
3.4.1	Diversity of	bin.	[0/1]	(+) Statement of whether humanities or social	
	disciplines -		-77	sciences are part of the taught disciplines.	
	Humanities &				
	social sciences			0 = no, lack of	
				1 = yes	
	(DISC HUM SOC)				
3.4.2	Diversity of	bin.	[0/1]	(+) Statement of whether natural sciences are	
	disciplines -		-77	part of the taught disciplines.	
	Natural sciences				
				0 = no, lack of	
	(DISC NAT)			1 = yes	
3.4.3	Diversity of	bin.	[0/1]	(+) Statement of whether life sciences are part	
	disciplines - Life		-77	of the taught disciplines.	
	sciences				
				0 = no, lack of	
	(DISC LIFE SC)			1 = yes	
3.4.4	Diversity of	bin.	[0/1]	(+) Statement of whether engineering is part	
	disciplines -		-77	of the taught disciplines.	
	Engineering				
				0 = no, lack of	
	(DISC ENG)			1 = yes	
3.4.5	Diversity of	bin.	[0/1]	(+) Statement of whether sustainability science	
	disciplines -		-77	is part of the taught disciplines.	
	Sustainability				
	sciences			0 = no, lack of	
				1 = yes	
	(DISC SUS)				
				Note: include if the discipline is taught at the	
				HEI and criteria for identifying disciplines are	
				inclusive and institution-wide. These could be	
				based, for example, on faculties, schools,	
				departments, institutes, chair levels. Include if	
				'sustainab*' is mentioned in the name of the	
				faculty, institute, chair, center etc.	

3.	EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT (continued)				
3.5	Existence of	nom.	[03]	Description of whether interdisciplinary	
	interdisciplinary		-77	collaborations, meetings, workshops, or other	
	spaces			forms of disciplinary cooperation exist as a	
				constant part of teaching and learning	
	(INTERDISC SPACE)			practices.	
				0 = lack of, described as a barrier	
				1 = diverse	
				2 = yes, described as a driver	
				3 = other	
				Note: include constant (regular and institutionalized, not just occasional) interdisciplinary collaborations and spaces, for example, interdisciplinary centers that teach. Sustainability collaborations (if constant and part of teaching and learning practice) are also classified as interdisciplinary spaces. Exclude one-time workshops (for instance, a few interdisciplinary workshops during a research project or a few interdisciplinary meetings).	
3.6	Structure &	nom.	[02]	Description of whether	
	relationship of		-77	courses/programs/modules exist in which	
	study programs			students from different disciplines can enroll.	
	(STRCTR STUDY P)			0 = lack of	
				1 = yes	
				2 = other	

3.	EDUCATIONAL ENV	IRONMEN	T (continu	ied)
3.7	Overall strategic	qual.	Text	(+) Description of the <i>generic</i> teaching &
	approach to		-77	learning approach of the HEI. The teaching and
	teaching &			learning approach means information on
	learning			general principles and pedagogy used for
				instruction. In general, it could be student-
	(TLA_OVERALL)			centered, or teacher-centered. Examples of
				approaches are discursive learning, solution-
				oriented learning, consultative learning,
				experiential learning, problem-based learning,
				project-based learning. Some examples of
				format: teacher as a facilitator, group-works,
				collaboration, innovative methods, project-
				based learning, reflection, lecture, videos,
				online learning, stakeholder engagement.
				Note: include information that is extracted from
				the vision or mission of the HEI's website and
				the case material (CM). Exclude individual (just
				for one course or by one teacher) teaching and
				learning approaches.
3.8	Summary described	qual.	Text	Brief description of the sustainability
	sustainability		-77	curriculum mentioned in the case material. This
	curricula			includes a) the offering type (one course,
				program, curricula, training); b) the target
	(SUM DESCRBD			audience (students, faculty, stakeholders,
	CURRI)			other); c) the degree granted by the
				sustainability curriculum (BA, MA, PhD, faculty
				training, certificate, other); d) the name(s) of
				the described sustainability curricula; e) the
				applied teaching and learning approach; f) the
				learning objectives (e.g., sustainability
				competencies); g) the program structure.

3.	EDUCATIONAL ENVI	RONMEN	T (continu	ied)
3.9	Supportive culture of teaching and learning (SUPP CLT TL)	nom.	[03] -77	Assumption of the existence of a supportive culture of teaching and learning (T&L) within the higher education institution (HEI). This includes openness to innovation, supportive structures to encourage innovation, participatory approaches to decision-making. The culture of T&L may be described in terms of the institutional, academic, or professional culture.
				 0 = weak (lack of supportive culture is explicitly mentioned as a barrier in the text—for instance, missing incentives for innovation, no academic freedom, no participation) 1 = medium/diverse (supportive culture is not explicitly stressed in the text, but the text hints at incentives for one or some but not all elements—for instance, innovative T&L or participatory decision-making) 2 = high (supportive culture is mentioned as an important driver and explicitly stressed in the text—for instance, support for innovative T&L methods are mentioned, participatory decision-making is in place) 3 = other (supportive culture is mentioned as an important driver and explicitly stressed in the text, but it is stated generically and it remains unclear what the institution really does to create a supportive culture of T&L)
3.10	Crowded curriculum (CROW CURR)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of whether a dense curriculum, already full of other topics, is described as an influence affecting sustainability curricula implementation.
				 0 = no crowded curriculum, described as driver 1 = yes, described as a barrier 2 = diverse 3 = other

4.	IMPLEMENTATION F	ROCESS		
4.1	Period of	date	Date	Description of the start date of the
	sustainability		-77	sustainability curricula implementation process.
	curricula			
	implementation			Format: YYYY
	process - Start			
				Note: if different periods are mentioned make a
	(PERIOD SCIP			note in the coding protocol and use the earliest
	START)			date.
4.2	Period of	date	Date	Description of the end date of the
	sustainability		-77	sustainability curricula implementation process.
	curricula			
	implementation			Format: YYYY
	process - End			
				Note: if different periods are mentioned make a
	(PERIOD SCIP END)			note in the coding protocol and use the latest
				date.
4.3	Institutional level	nom.	[15]	Description of the institutional level (whole HEI,
	of the sustainability		-77	division (e.g., faculty, school, center), program,
	curricula			course) of the sustainability curricula
	implementation			implementation process that is described.
	process			
				1 = institution
	(INSTITUTIONAL			2 = division (e.g., faculty/school/center level)
	LEVEL SCIP)			3 = program
				4 = course
				5 = other
				Note: code the highest mentioned level of the
				described process. For instance, if the
				institutional level is the focus of the study, but a
				single course is described too, code it as 1.
				Special case: one compulsory undergrad ESD
				course for all disciplines counts as institution-
				wide.

2.10 Variables Category 4: Implementation Process

4.	IMPLEMENTATION	PROCESS	(continued	0
4.4	Integration	nom.	[17]	Description of the approach to implementing
	approach of the		-77	sustainability curricula in the HEI.
	sustainability			
	curricula			1 = integration of sustainability as a minor
	implementation			subject in existing course(s)
	process			2 = integration of sustainability as a minor
				subject in existing program(s)
	(INTEGRATION			3 = integration of sustainability in a minor
	APRCH SCIP)			4 = new (re)design of program(s) (offering a
				major) focused on sustainability
				5 = general studies approach—integration of
				sustainability as a subject in diff. parts in
				university curricula
				6 = creation of new sustainability department
				(chairs, institutes etc. are included)
				7 = other
4.5	Description of the	qual.	Text	Brief description of the sustainability curricula
	sustainability			implementation process. The focus is on the
	curricula			nature of the process, activities that foster
	implementation			sustainability curricula implementation,
	process			temporal occurrence of the variables (drivers
				and barriers), and synergies.
	(DESCRIP SCIP)			Capture a) all phases with time periods (include
				notes about the initial situation), b) all
				highlighted variables (drivers and barriers) and
				in which phase they were important, c) the
				grade of activity per phase and whether these
				were successful; d) the internal priority-setting
				and whether it changed during the process
				(capture time period); e) planned
				improvements.

4.	IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS (continued)				
4.6	Initiation -	nom.	[13]	Description of whether the sustainability	
	Bottom up/top		-77	curricula implementation process started at the	
	down			"bottom" (students, academic staff) or the	
				"top" (top management).	
	(INI BU/TD)				
				1 = bottom up	
				2 = top down	
				3 = other	
				Note: 'top down' is excluded if the management	
				executes the implementation but the process	
				was initiated at the level of students or	
				academic staff (the bottom).	
4.7	Windows of	nom.	[03]	Description of whether a favorable opportunity	
	opportunity		-77	or momentum fostered the sustainability	
				curricula implementation process.	
	(WOO)				
				0 = lack of, described as a barrier	
				1 = diverse	
				2 = yes, described as a driver	
				3 = other	
4.7.1	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether a forthcoming	
	opportunity -		-77	accreditation fostered the sustainability	
	Characteristics:		-99	curricula implementation process.	
	Forthcoming				
	accreditation			0 = lack of, described as missing	
	processes			1 = yes	
	(WOO ACCRED)				
4.7.2	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether a change of staff	
	opportunity -		-77	fostered the sustainability curricula	
	Characteristics:		-99	implementation process.	
	Change of faculty				
	(WOO CHG			0 = lack of, described as missing	
	FACULTY)			1 = yes	
4.	IMPLEMENTATION	PROCESS	(continued	<i>t</i>)	
-------	-----------------------	---------	------------	--	
4.7.3	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether a change of top	
	opportunity -		-77	management fostered the sustainability	
	Characteristics:		-99	curricula implementation process.	
	Change of top-				
	management			0 = lack of, described as missing	
				1 = yes	
	(WOO CHG TM)				
4.7.4	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether an external political	
	opportunity -		-77	guideline or a support program promoted the	
	Characteristics:		-99	implementation of sustainability curricula.	
	State support				
				0 = lack of, described as missing	
	(WOO STATE SPT)			1 = yes	
4.7.5	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether there was an external	
	opportunity -		-77	requirement to restructure the HEI (regardless	
	Characteristics:		-99	of whether the requirement was sustainability-	
	Requirement to			focused) that fostered the sustainability	
	restructure HEI			curricula implementation process. For instance,	
	(extern)			restructuring of the HEI because it was	
				financially precarious.	
	(WOO				
	RESTRUCTURE)			0 = lack of, described as missing	
				1 = yes	
4.7.6	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether any kind of internal	
	opportunity -		-77	evaluation or reform fostered the sustainability	
	Characteristics:		-99	curricula implementation process.	
	Evaluation/reform				
	of programs			0 = lack of, described as missing	
	(intern)			1 = yes	
	(WOO EVAL)				
4.7.7	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether an external political	
	opportunity -		-77	reform fostered the sustainability curricula	
	Characteristics:		-99	implementation process. For instance, Bologna	
	Political reforms			reform in HEIs located in Europe.	
				0 - lack of described as missing	
				$1 - v_{0}c$	
				ı – yes	

4.	IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS (continued)				
4.7.8	Windows of	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether any other kind of	
	opportunity -		-77	favorable opportunity fostered the	
	Characteristics:		-99	sustainability curricula implementation process.	
	Other			For instance, special (limited) funding, a	
				research project, changes in local context (e.g.,	
	(WOO_O)			restructuring).	
				0 = lack of, described as missing	
				1 = yes	
4.8	Existence of a	nom.	[03]	Description of whether some type of a	
	coordination unit		-77	coordination unit is formed at the HEI to	
				organize the activities required to implement	
	(COORDINATION)			sustainability curricula. The coordination unit	
				can be individual persons, a steering	
				committee or digital platforms responsible for	
				organizing the activities, or simply a platform	
				for keeping track of the activities with no	
				assigned responsibility.	
				0 = lack of, described as a barrier	
				1 = medium/diverse	
				2 = yes, described as a driver	
				3 = other	
				Note re. an atypical example: a specific	
				coordination unit isn't created, but coordination	
				is stressed in another context, e.g., a strategic	
				plan is implemented, which contains explicit	
				provision for the implementation of	
				sustainability curricula.	

4.	IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS (continued)			
4.9	Communication	nom.	[03]	Description of whether some type of an
	strategy		-77	internal verbal or visual communication
				strategy (exchange of information between a
	(COMM)			sender and a receiver) is executed to spread
	. ,			information about the implementation of
				sustainability curricula to trigger a process of
				learning that happens within the institution.
				For instance, mailing lists, internal information
				campaigns, points of contact, specific books or
				materials about how to implement
				sustainability education. Digital types are
				included.
				0 = lack of, described as a barrier
				1 = diverse/in place but unclear impact
				2 = ves, described as a driver
				3 = other
				Note re. an atypical example: a participation
				process during the action research method, but
				also used intentionally to spread the vision; a
				collaborative approach to develop sustainability
				curricula (stakeholder involvement); methods for
191	Communication	hin	[0/1]	Statement of whether an information
4.9.1	strategy -	DITI.	[0/1] _77	campaign (affort to educate a large number of
	Characteristics:		_00	individuals and boost public awareness over a
	Information		-55	specific time) was used as a communication
	compoign			strategy to foster the implementation of
	campaign			sustainability curricula
				sustainability curricula.
				0 = lack of described as missing
				1 = yes
4.9.2	Communication	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether the communication
	strategy -		-77	strategy of the HEI was targeted to different
	Characteristics:		-99	stakeholder groups (internal/external) to foster
	Involvement of			the implementation of sustainability curricula.
	diff. stakeholders			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
	(COMM INVOLV			1 = yes
	STAKEH)			

4.	IMPLEMENTATION I	PROCESS (continued	D
4.9.3	Communication	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether a specific point of
	strategy -		-77	contact (e.g., specific contact persons, a center
	Characteristics:		-99	for ESD, a coordination unit) was used as a
	Point of contact			communication strategy to foster the
				implementation of sustainability curricula.
	(COMM CONTACT			
	POINT)			0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
4.9.4	Communication	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether any other kind of
	strategy -		-77	communication strategy (internal and external)
	Characteristics:		-99	was used to foster the implementation of
	Other			sustainability curricula, e.g., a website (or, less
				typically, lobbying).
	(COMM_O)			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes

2.11 Variable Category 5: Leadership

5.	LEADERSHIP		
5.1	Strategic planning nom.	[03]	Description of whether a systematic process
	(STRAT PLAN)	-77	(strategic planning) with objectives and steps
			for achieving some level of sustainability
			curricula implementation is in place.
			0 = lack of, described as a barrier
			1 = medium/diverse
			2 = yes, described as a driver
			3 = other
5.1.1	Strategic planning - qual.	Text	Description of the specific systematic process
	Description	-77	(strategic planning) with the objectives and
		-99	steps intended to achieve (any level of)
	(STRAT PLAN		sustainability curricula implementation.
	DESCRIP)		
			Take notes a) on the implementation strategies
			mentioned; b) on methods that were used; c)
			on special variables that are highlighted, e.g.,
			motivation or engagement strategies.
5.1.2	Strategic planning - qual.	Text	Description of the methods that were used
	Applied methods	-77	during the sustainability curricula
	for implementing	-99	implementation process, e.g., evaluation tools,
	change process		assessment, etc.
	(STRAT PLAN METHOD)		

5.	LEADERSHIP (continu	ued)		
5.2	Vision & mission	nom.	[03] -77	(+) Description of whether sustainability education or sustainability is represented in the
	(VISION)			HEI's vision, mission, charter, or a comparable source.
				 0 = not mentioned in vision 1 = mentioned in vision, which is available online 2 = mentioned in vision, which is available online and described as a driver in case material 3 = other (e.g., mentioned in case material, but not available online)
				Note: include information from the case material and the HEI's website or annual report.
5.3	Resources - Budget	nom.	[03] -77	Description of whether money and budgeting influences sustainability curricula
				 0 = lack of budget, described as a barrier 1 = diverse 2 = enough budget, described as a driver 3 = other
5.4	Resources - Time	nom.	[03]	Description of whether time influences
	(RES TIME)		-77	sustainability curricula implementation. For example, it is described that time affected formal planning, evaluation, reporting processes, and adding sustainability issues to curriculum.
				 0 = lack of time, described as a barrier 1 = diverse 2 = extra time, described as a driver 3 = other

5.	LEADERSHIP (contin	ued)		
5.5	Resources - Other	nom.	[03]	Description of whether resources other than
			-77	money or time (e.g., human resources or other
	(RES_O)			resources) influence sustainability curricula
				implementation. Include if human or generic
				resources are described without details relating
				to what kind of resources affected formal
				planning, evaluation, reporting processes, and
				adding sustainability issues to curriculum.
				0 = lack of resources
				1 = diverse
				2 = enough resources
				3 = other
				Note re. an atypical example: academic
				workload (as it not solely refers to time, but also
				to mental resources)

5.	LEADERSHIP (conti	nued)		
5.6	Internal priority	nom.	[03]	Description of the strategic planning and
	setting -		-77	prioritization of sustainability curricula is
	Formal/informal			operationalized in some official manifestation
				within the HEI and description of how the
	(INT PRIORITY			strategic planning and prioritization of
	FRML/INFRML)			sustainability curricula is executed within the
				HEI. Official manifestations include, e.g.,
				mission statements, official policies,
				declarations, sustainability or environmental
				plans, guidelines, learning outcome guidelines
				for a whole institution or division, etc. (can be
				on university or unit level).
				0 = lack of formalization
				1 = diverse (formalization, but weak informal
				priority setting)
				2 = yes
				3 = other (could be, for example,
				no information about formal, but weak or
				strong informal support)
				Note: exclude individual course or program-level
				learning outcomes (PLOs) that focus on ESD;
				these are coded under variable 3.9 (Summary
				described sustainability curricula).
5.6.1	Internal priority	qual.	Text	Description of what official manifestations exist
	setting - Formal		-77	that express the strategic planning and
	description		-99	prioritization of sustainability curricula within
				the HEI. For instance, mission statements,
	(INT PRIORITY			official policies, declarations, sustainability or
	FRML DESCRIP)			environmental plans, guidelines, learning
				outcome guidelines for the whole institution or
				division etc. (can be on university or unit level).
				Note: exclude individual course and program-
				level learning outcomes (PLOs) that focus on
				ESD; these are coded under variable 3.9
				(Summary described sustainability curricula).

5.	LEADERSHIP (contin	ued)		
5.7	Nature of	nom.	[02]	Description of the nature of leadership (top
	leadership		-77	management) in terms of supporting the
				implementation of sustainability curricula.
	(LEADERSHIP)			Leadership involves the establishment of a
				clear vision, communication strategies to share
				the vision and provide information, methods to
				realize the vision, and coordination to execute
				the implementation of sustainability curricula.
				0 = weak leadership (no support, no interest,
				no awareness)
				1 = inconsistent leadership (changes in the top
				management, different phases, changing
				priorities, vision but no strategy)
				2 = strong leadership (strong support, e.g.,
				vision, strategic planning, incentives)
5.8	Organizational	nom.	[03]	Description of the organizational culture
	culture -		-77	(expectations, experiences, philosophy, values
	Competitive or			that hold the organization together: in other
	collaborative			words, shared attitudes) of the HEI in terms of
	environment			a competitive or a collaborative atmosphere.
	(COLL ENVRNMT)			0 = barrier (the competitive environment of the
				organization is described as a barrier or the
				collaboration needs to be strengthened)
				1 = medium/diverse (some or diverse efforts to
				work collaboratively, but not described as a
				barrier)
				2 = driver (the collaborative environment of
				the organization is described as a driver)
				3 = other

5.	LEADERSHIP (cont	inued)		
5.9	Organizational	nom.	[03]	Description of the generic organizational
	structure		-77	structure and its influence affecting the sustainability curricula implementation process.
	(ORG STRCT)			For instance, descriptions of "silos" or "ivory towers" or academic traditions as barriers.
				0 = lack of structure, described as a barrier
				1 = diverse
				2 = sufficient (changed) structure, described as
				a driver
				3 = other

2.12 Variable Category	6: Supp	ort mechanisms
------------------------	---------	----------------

6.	SUPPORT MECHANI	SMS		
6.1	Professional	nom.	[03]	Description of whether mechanisms to assist or
	development		-77	encourage sustainability curricula
	opportunities			implementation are in place, providing and/or
				distributing high-level knowledge (provided by
	(PROF DEVELOP)			HEI).
				0 = lack of, described as a barrier
				1 = medium/diverse
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				3 = other (e.g., if professional development
				opportunities are used in the research method
				of the paper)
6.1.1	Professional	bin.	[0/1]	Description of whether faculty training
	development		-77	(provided by the HEI) was used as one method
	opportunities -		-99	to support staff in carrying out the
	Characteristics:			implementation of sustainability curricula.
	Faculty training			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
	(PROF DEVELOP			1 = yes
	FCLTY TRNG)			
6.1.2	Professional	bin.	[0/1]	Description of whether individual coaching was
	development		-77	used as one method to support staff in
	opportunities -		-99	carrying out the implementation of
	Characteristics:			sustainability curricula.
	Individual coaching			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
	(PROF DEVELOP			1 = yes
612	INDVL COACH)	1.1.	[0 /1]	
6.1.3	Professional	DIN.	[0/1]	Description of whether specific physical spaces
	development		-//	for exchange of expertise exist as one method
	opportunities -		-99	to support staff in carrying out the
				Implementation of sustainability curricula.
	Spaces for exchange			0 look of described or missing
	of expertise (group,			0 = lack of, described as missing
	network)			i – yes
	(PROF DEVELOP			
	SPACE)			

6.	SUPPORT MECHANI	SMS (con	tinued)	
6.1.4	Professional	nom.	[02]	Description of whether examples of good
	development		-77	teaching practices (materials, not persons) exist
	opportunities -		-99	as one method to support staff in carrying out
	Characteristics:			the implementation of sustainability curricula.
	Good teaching			
	practices			0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
	(PROF DEVELOP			
	GTP)			
6.1.5	Professional	nom.	[02]	Description of whether any kind of method
	development		-77	other than those mentioned above exist to
	opportunities -		-99	support staff in carrying out the
	Characteristics: Othe	91		implementation of sustainability curricula.
	(PROF DEVELOP_O)			0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
6.2	Incentives	nom.	[03]	Description of whether any kind of incentive is
			-77	created to motivate and encourage people
	(INCTIV)			(academics, faculty, students, and external
				stakeholders) to engage in the sustainability
				curricula implementation process.
				0 = lack of, described as a barrier
				1 = medium/diverse
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				3 = other
6.2.1	Incentives -	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether internal awards are
	Characteristics:		-77	created as incentives to motivate and
	Awards (intern)		-99	encourage people to engage in the
				sustainability curricula implementation process.
	(INCTIV INT			For instance, awards for innovative teaching
	AWRD)			and learning approaches.
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes

6.	SUPPORT MECHAN	IISMS (co	ntinued)	
6.2.2	Incentives -	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether external awards
	Characteristics:		-77	(governmental, local companies etc.) exists or
	Awards (extern)		-99	are created as incentives to motivate and
				encourage people to engage in the
	(INCTIV EXT			sustainability curricula implementation process.
	AWRD)			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
6.2.3	Incentives -	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether financial incentives (e.g.,
	Characteristics:		-77	raises or bonuses) are offered to motivate and
	Financial		-99	encourage people to engage in the
				sustainability curricula implementation process.
	(INCTIV FINANCE)			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
6.2.4	Incentives -	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether time advantages are
	Characteristics:		-77	offered as incentives to motivate people to
	Time		-99	engage in the sustainability curricula
				implementation process. For instance, a
	(INCTIV TIME)			reduction of regular working hours to have
				more time for working on implementing
				sustainability curricula.
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
6.2.5	Incentives -	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether a promotion (e.g.,
	Characteristics:		-77	granting tenure) is offered as an incentive to
	Promotion		-99	encourage people to engage in the
				sustainability curricula implementation process.
	(INCTIV PROMO)			
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes
6.2.6	Incentives -	bin.	[0/1]	Statement of whether any other kind of
	Characteristics:		-77	incentive besides those mentioned above is
	Other		-99	offered to motivate and encourage people to
				engage in the sustainability curricula
	(INCTIV_O)			implementation process.
				0 = lack of, described as missing
				1 = yes

6.	SUPPORT MECHAN	ISMS (con	tinued)	
6.3	Quality assurance	nom.	[04]	Description of whether any kind of
	mechanisms		-77	mechanisms or systems are in place to check
				the quality of sustainability education. Include
	(QAM)			evaluations, e.g., checking the content of
				courses/programs/curricula with the aim of
				ensuring or improving consistency with ESD.
				0 = lack of (no quality assurance mechanisms are established)
				1 = occasional/diverse (some sort of quality
				assurance mechanisms are occasionally
				applied, but not on a regular basis)
				2 = established (quality assurance mechanisms
				are constant and established, meaning they are
				institutionalized and have allocated resources)
				3 = research method (quality assurance
				mechanisms are used as a research method in
				the case studies, but it is unclear if they are
				institutionalized)
				4 = other

7.	INTERNAL STAKEHO	LDERS		
7.1	Involvement -	nom.	[03]	Description of how faculty take part in the
	Faculty		-77	sustainability curricula implementation process
				in terms of expressing and registering their
	(INVOLV FACULTY)			opinions, participation in decision-making,
				initiation or support of the sustainability
				curricula implementation process.
				0 = lack of
				1 = formal (participation led by the university)
				2 = informal (personal initiative)
				3 = other (e.g., involvement through research
				method)
				Note: exclude initiatives of single persons.
7.2	Involvement -	nom.	[03]	Description of how students take part in the
	Students		-77	sustainability curricula implementation process
				in terms of expressing and registering their
				opinions, participation in decision making,
	STUDENTS)			initiation or support of the sustainability
				curricula implementation process.
				0 = lack of
				1 = formal (participation led by the university)
				2 = informal (personal initiative)
				3 = other
				Note: exclude initiatives of single persons and
				student involvement in research projects or
				campus sustainability initiatives.

2.13 Variable Category 7: Internal Stakeholders

7.	INTERNAL STAKEH	OLDERS (a	ontinued)	
7.3	Involvement -	nom.	[03]	Description of how management staff take part
	Management		-77	in the sustainability curricula implementation
				process in terms of expressing and registering
	(INVOLV MGMT)			their opinions, participation in decision-
				making, initiation or support of the
				sustainability curricula implementation process.
				0 = lack of
				1 = formal (participation led by the university)
				2 = informal (personal initiative)
				3 = other
				Note: exclude initiatives of single persons.
7.4	Involvement -	nom.	[03]	Description of how individuals or organizations
	External		-77	not part of the HEI take part in the
	stakeholders			sustainability curricula implementation process
				in terms of expressing and registering their
	(INVOLV EXT			opinions, participation in decision-making,
	STAKEH)			initiation or support of the sustainability
				curricula implementation process.
				0 = lack of
				1 = formal (participation led by the university)
				2 = informal (personal initiative)
				3 = other
				Note: exclude initiatives of single persons.
7.5	Support -	nom.	[03]	Description of the commitment, willingness,
	Management		-77	and motivation of top management staff to
				steer and promote sustainability curricula
	(SUPP MGMT)			implementation.
				0 = no support, described as a barrier
				1 = medium/diverse support
				2 = high support, described as a driver
				3 = other

7.	INTERNAL STAKEH	OLDERS	(continued)	
7.6	Support - Administration (SUPP ADMIN)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of the commitment, willingness and motivation of administration to steer and promote sustainability curricula implementation.
				 0 = no support, described as a barrier 1 = medium/diverse support (e.g., if support from administration is described, but bureaucracy is also mentioned as a challenge) 2 = high support, described as a driver 3 = other (e.g., if bureaucracy is described as a challenge)
7.7	Support - Faculty (SUPP FACULTY)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of the commitment, willingness and motivation of faculty to steer and promote sustainability curricula implementation.
				 0 = no support, described as a barrier 1 = medium/diverse support 2 = high support, described as a driver 3 = other
7.8	Support - Generic (SUPP GNRC)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of the commitment, willingness, and motivation of nonspecific stakeholders to steer and promote sustainability curricula implementation. For instance, if it is described that the sustainability curricula implementation was widely accepted.
				 0 = no support (explicitly mentioned) 1 = diverse support (positive and negative support explicitly mentioned) 2 = high support (explicitly mentioned) 3 = other

7.	INTERNAL STAKEHO	LDERS (co	ontinued)	
7.9	Interdisciplinary	nom.	[03]	Description of faculty's understanding of
	competence -		-77	sustainability-related topics and ability to teach
	Faculty			these topics.
	(INTERDIS COMP			0 = lack of competence, described as a barrier
	FACULTY)			1 = medium/diverse competence
				2 = high competence, described as a driver
				3 = other
				Note re. an atypical example: A lack of shared
				understandings or shared language to discuss
				sustainability topics.
7.10	Perception of	nom.	[03]	Description faculty's beliefs and opinions
	sustainable		-77	regarding sustainable development generally
	development -			and the implementation of sustainability
	Faculty			curricula specifically.
	(PERC SD FACULTY)			0 = negative perception, barrier
				1 = medium/diverse perception
				2 = positive perception, driver
				3 = other (e.g., if there are diverse perceptions
				regarding the different dimensions)
				Note re. an atypical example: diverse attitudes
				regarding diverse sustainability dimensions (e.g.,
				positive perception of ecological sustainability,
				but negative perception of social sustainability).
7.11	Perception of	nom.	[03]	Description of faculty's general opinion on and
	change - Faculty		-77	willingness to accept change.
	(PERC CHNG			
	FACULTY)			0 = negative perception, barrier
				1 = diverse perception
				2 = positive perception, driver
				3 = other
7.12	Dissatisfaction with	nom.	[03]	Description of faculty's dissatisfaction with the
	the institutions		-77	institution's current program.
	current program -			
	Faculty			0 = no dissatisfaction, described as a barrier
				1 = diverse, not described as a driver
	(DISSAT FACULTY)			2 = high dissatisfaction, described as a driver
				3 = other

7.	INTERNAL STAKEHO	LDERS (c	ontinued)	
7.13	Attitude towards innovative T&L approaches - Faculty (ATT ITL FACULTY)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of the attitude toward innovative teaching and learning (T&L) approaches of faculty. 0 = negative attitude, barrier 1 = medium/diverse attitude 2 = positive attitude, driver 3 = other
				Note: include not just the overall culture, but also individual cases. If it is only mentioned on an individual level, place a comment in the coding protocol.
7.14	Perceived links to existing curriculum - Faculty (PERC CURR LINKS FACULTY)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of perceived links between sustainability as a topic (or different sustainability dimensions) to the existing curriculum as an influence on the implementation of sustainability curricula by faculty. 0 = negative perception, described as a barrier
				 1 = medium/diverse perception 2 = positive perception, described as a driver 3 = other Note: include not just an overall culture, but also individual cases.
7.15	Acceptance - Students (ACC STUDENTS)	nom.	[03] -77	Description of student awareness and acceptance of sustainability programs in terms of requesting and supporting such an implementation and/or by enrolling in such curricula.
				 0 = no acceptance, described as a barrier 1 = medium/diverse acceptance 2 = high acceptance, described as driver 3 = other

7.	INTERNAL STAKEH	OLDERS (a	continued)	
7.16	Engagement -	nom.	[03]	Description of the students' engagement
	Students		-77	regarding sustainability curriculum change.
	(ENGAGE			0 = lack of
	STUDENTS)			1 = yes, leads to curriculum change
				2 = yes, but ineffective (does not lead to
				curriculum change)
				3 = other (e.g., engagement in campus
				sustainability initiatives)
7.17	Sustainability	nom.	[03]	Description of whether sustainability
	champions		-77	champions (individuals that really shape
				sustainable development, transformative
	(SUS CHAMP)			leaders) actively steer sustainability curricula
				change. This could be single persons, small
				groups, or evolving groups (could be students,
				faculty, or other stakeholders).
				Ω – lack of described as a barrier
				1 – modium
				2 = vos described as a driver
				2 – yes, described as a driver
				3 = other

8.	SOCIOCULTURAL	CONTEXT		
8.1	Accrediting	nom.	[03]	Description of the influence of accrediting
	agencies		-77	agencies on sustainability curricula
				implementation. Accrediting agencies include
	(ACCRED A)			all external organizations responsible for
				accrediting studies or quality assessment
				(these could be, e.g., governmental or industry-
				based).
				0 = none described as a barrier
				1 = medium
				2 = ves_described as a driver
				3 = other (e.g., if some influence/involvement)
				is planned)
8.2	Professional	nom.	[03]	Description of the influence of external
	associations		-77	organizations that articulate the voices of
				employers and alumni (professional
	(PROF ASSOC)			associations) on sustainability curricula
				implementation.
				0 = none, described as a barrier
				1 = medium
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				3 = other (e.g., if some influence/involvement
				is planned)
8.3	Market forces	nom.	[03]	Description of the influence of market forces
			-77	on sustainability curricula implementation.
	(MARKET F)			Market forces include, for example, calls from
				industries and employers regarding output-
				orientation, competence development, and
				employability.
				0 = none, described as a barrier
				1 = medium
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				3 = other (e.g., if some influence/involvement
				is planned)

2.14 Variable Category 8: Sociocultural Context

8.	SOCIOCULTURAL CO	NTEXT	(continued)	
8.4	Media	nom.	[03]	Description of the influence of any kind of
			-77	media on sustainability curricula
	(MEDIA)			implementation.
				0 = none, described as a barrier
				I = medium
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				is planned)
8.5	Public discourse	nom.	[03]	Description of the influence of public discourse
			-77	(discussion of sustainability issues within the
	(PUB DISC)			society) on sustainability curricula
				implementation. For instance, sustainability
				problem awareness within society.
				0 = none, described as a barrier
				1 = medium
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				3 = other (e.g., if some influence/involvement
				is planned)
8.6	Government - State	nom.	[03]	Description of the influence of the government
	& federal laws		-77	on sustainability curricula implementation. For
				instance, specific laws or boundaries, in which
	(GOVERNM)			development may or may not take place (e.g.,
				ESD is mandated for all Engineering undergrad
				degrees), or the influence of local
				municipalities or ministries, are mentioned.
				0 = none, described as a barrier
				1 = medium
				2 = yes, described as a driver
				3 = other

8.	SOCIOCULTURAL	CONTEXT	(continued)	
8.7	Context - Other	qual.	Text	Description of the influence of other external
				factors or stakeholders (other than accrediting
	(CONTEXT_O)			agencies, professional associations, media,
				market forces, government, public discourse)
				on sustainability curricula implementation. For
				instance, NGOS, networks, partnerships, peer
				institutions or top-tier universities may serve as
				examples to promote sustainability curricula
				implementation.
				Note re. an atypical example: documents
				(including governmental guidelines etc.) are
				used to inspire the HEI's own ESD strategy. If
				some influence/involvement is planned, make a
				note in the coding protocol.
8.8	Local context	qual.	Text	Brief description of factors in the local/regional
				context (geography, societal/ecological
	(LOCAL CTXT)			problems, history, surrounding city/town) that
				influence the sustainability curricula
				implementation process. For instance, water
				issues, cultural traditions, globalization, climate
				destabilization, newness of higher education,
				autonomy of institutions, development of an
				institution in a specific local context.

9.	LEVEL OF SUSTAIN	ABILITY C	URRICULA	IMPLEMENTATION
9.1	Grade of activity (GOA)	ord.	[13] -77	Description of the level of activity in terms of time relating to sustainability curricula implementation efforts.
				 1 = recently started activities, meaning for <5y 2 = established activities, meaning for 5-10y 3 = long tradition of activities, meaning >10y
				Note: in most cases the timespan of the available publications refers to a specific earlier stage of the implementation process. We assume that the process is still ongoing (often depictable through the HEI's current annual reports or websites). To compare all cases, we decided to use the year in which we started the coding as an anchor point to estimate the time span. Example: If variable 4.1 Period of sustainability curricula implementation process_Start is coded as 2008, and we started our coding process in 2018, then we look back at ten years of implementation (=established activities).

2.15 Variable Category 9: Level of Sustainability Curricula Implementation

9.	LEVEL OF SUSTAINA	BILITY CU	RRICULA	IMPLEMENTATION (continued)
9.2	Sustainability	nom.	[03]	Rating of the sustainability curricula
	curricula			development within the HEI in terms of the
	implementation			approach of Sterling & Thomas (2006), which
	(RATING SUS			holds that sustainability curricula development
	IMPLEMENTATION)			can happen on a spectrum of different levels
				and depths. Sterling and Thomas differentiate
				between denial (no change), bolt-on
				(education about sustainability), build-in
				(education for sustainability), and curriculum
				redesign (sustainability education) (Sterling
				und Thomas 2006).
				0 = no change
				1 = bolt-on (Sustainability issues inform
				disciplinary topics with the integration of
				sustainability into existing courses or
				program(s).)
				2 = build-in (Sustainability is tackled via
				interdisciplinary collaboration with the creation
				of a new discipline or cross-disciplinary
				sustainability courses or programs. Or, ESD is
				at least in HEI's current vision (HEI's annual
				report or website) plus in ESD
				courses/programs.)
				3 = redesign (Sustainability issues are
				integrated into common core requirements
				and/or the vision—case material (earlier stage
				– depends on publication date) and online
				(current state)—of the HEI. In addition, there
				has to be medium or strong leadership
				support.)
L				••

9.	LEVEL OF SUSTAINA	BILITY CU	RRICULUI	M DEVELOPMENT (continued)
9.3	Areas of activity -	ord.	[03]	Description of the level of activity and effort
	Research		-77	(not success) in terms of commitment to the
				area of sustainability research.
	(GOA_RESEARCH)			
				0 = no specific activities
				1 = active (the area is mentioned, but is not the
				focus of the HEI)
				2 = significant (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives etc.)
				3 = core focus (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives etc., and the
				commitment is determined in strategic papers,
				vision etc.)
9.4	Areas of activity -	ord.	[03]	Description of the level of activity and effort
	Campus operations		-77	(not success) in terms of commitment to
				campus sustainability. For instance, information
	(GOA_CAMPUS)			on energy, waste, and sustainability
				management systems.
				0 = no specific activities
				1 = active (the area is mentioned, but is not the
				focus of the HEI)
				2 = significant (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives etc.)
				3 = core focus (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives etc., and the
				commitment is determined in strategic papers,
				vision etc.)

9.	LEVEL OF SUSTAINA	BILITY CU	IRRICULA	IMPLEMENTATION (continued)
9.5	Areas of activity -	ord.	[03]	Description of the level of activity in terms of
	Outreach		-77	sustainability outreach. Include activities that
				connect research and other activities of the HEI
	(GOA_OUTREACH)			to society and specific communities, e.g.,
				partnerships with local communities to support
				sustainable development.
				0 = no specific activities
				1 = active (the area is mentioned, but is not the
				focus of the HEI)
				2 = significant (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives etc.)
				3 = core focus (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives, etc., and the
				commitment is determined in strategic papers,
				vision etc.)
9.6	Areas of activity -	ord.	[03]	Description of the level of activity and effort
	Synergies		-77	(not success) in terms of building interactions
				or cooperation between teaching and learning
	(GOA_SYN)			(T&L), sustainability research, and campus
				sustainability, which produces a combined
				effect greater than the sum of their separate
				effects.
				0 = no specific activities
				1 = active (the area is mentioned but is not the
				focus of the HEI.)
				2 = significant (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives etc.)
				3 = core focus (the commitment becomes
				visible in projects, initiatives, etc., and the
				commitment is determined in strategic papers,
				vision etc.)
9.7	Origin of	nom.	[15]	Description of the activity that started other
	sustainability		-77	sustainability activities.
	activities			1
				I = Research
	(GOA_ORIGIN)			2 = Teaching & Learning
				3 = Campus Sustainability
				4 = Outreach
				5 = Other

References

- Banga Chhokar, Kiran (2010): Higher education and curriculum innovation for sustainable development in India. In: Int J of Sus in Higher Ed 11 (2), S. 141–152. DOI: 10.1108/14676371011031865.
- Barth, Matthias (2013): Many roads lead to sustainability. A process-oriented analysis of change in higher education. In: Int J of Sus in Higher Ed 14 (2), S. 160–175. DOI: 10.1108/14676371311312879.
- Barth, Matthias (2015): Implementing sustainability in higher education. Learning in an age of transformation. London: Routledge (Routledge studies in sustainable development).
- Barth, Matthias; Thomas, Ian (2012): Synthesising case-study research ready for the next step? In: Environmental Education Research 18 (6), S. 751–764.
- Cebrián, Gisela (2017): A collaborative action research project towards embedding ESD within the higher education curriculum. In: Int J of Sus in Higher Ed 18 (6), S. 857–876. DOI: 10.1108/IJSHE-02-2016-0038.
- Ferrer-Balas; Adachi, J.; Banas, S.; Davidson, C. I.; Hoshikoshi, A.; Mishra, A. et al. (2008): An international comparative analysis of sustainability transformation across seven universities. In: Int J of Sus in Higher Ed 9 (3), S. 295–316. DOI: 10.1108/14676370810885907.
- Fien, John (2002): Advancing sustainability in higher education. Issues and opportunities for research. In: International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education 3 (3), S. 243–253.
- Hurney, Carol A.; Nash, Carole; Hartman, Christie-Joy B.; Brantmeier, Edward J. (2016): Incorporating sustainability content and pedagogy through faculty development. In: Int J of Sus in Higher Ed 17 (5), S. 582–600. DOI: 10.1108/IJSHE-12-2014-0180.
- Junyent, Mercè; Geli de Ciurana, Anna M. (2008): Education for sustainability in university studies. A model for reorienting the curriculum. In: British Educational Research Journal 34 (6), S. 763–782. DOI: 10.1080/01411920802041343.
- Kitamura, Yuto; Hoshii, Naoko (2010): Education for sustainable development at Universities in Japan. In: International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education 11 (3), S. 202–216. DOI: 10.1108/14676371011058514.
- Lidgren, Alexander; Rodhe, Håkan; Huisingh, Don (2006): A systemic approach to incorporate sustainability into university courses and curricula. In: Journal of Cleaner Production 14 (9-11), S. 797–809. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2005.12.011.
- Lucas, William A. (1974): The case survey method. Aggregating case experience. Santa Monica, Calif.: Rand Corporation.
- Muhar, Andreas; Visser, Jan; van Breda, John (2013): Experiences from establishing structured interand transdisciplinary doctoral programs in sustainability: a comparison of two cases in South Africa and Austria. In: Journal of Cleaner Production 61, S. 122–129. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.031.

- Newig, Jens; Fritsch, Oliver (2009): The case survey method and applications in political science. Paper presented at the APSA 2009 meeting, 3-6 September 2009, Toronto. Panel on "Case study meta-analysis: Methodological challenges and applications in the political sciences".
- Segovia, Victoria M.; Galang, Angelina P. (2002): Sustainable development in higher education in the Philippines: the case of MiriamCollege. In: Higher Education Policy (15), S. 187–195.
- Sterling, Stephen; Scott, William (2008): Higher education and ESD in England: a critical commentary on recent initiatives. In: Environmental Education Research 14 (4), S. 386–398. DOI: 10.1080/13504620802344001.
- Sterling, Stephen; Thomas, Ian (2006): Education for sustainability: the role of capabilities in guiding university curricula. In: International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development 1 (4), S. 349–370.
- Thomas, Ian; Nicita, Jennifer (2002): Sustainability Education and Australian Universities. In: Environmental Education Research 8 (4), S. 475–492. DOI: 10.1080/1350462022000026845.
- Trechsel, Lilian J.; Zimmermann, Anne B.; Graf, David; Herweg, Karl; Lundsgaard-Hansen, Lara; Rufer, Lydia et al. (2018): Mainstreaming Education for Sustainable Development at a Swiss University: Navigating the Traps of Institutionalization. In: High Educ Policy 31 (4), S. 471–490. DOI: 10.1057/s41307-018-0102-z.
- United Nations (UN) (2018): Statistics Division. Methodology Standard country or area codes for statistical use (M49). Online verfügbar unter https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methodology/m49/, zuletzt geprüft am 06.06.2018.
- Velazquez, Luis; Munguia, Nora; Sanchez, Margarita (2005): Deterring sustainability in higher education institutions. In: Int J of Sus in Higher Ed 6 (4), S. 383–391. DOI: 10.1108/14676370510623865.
- Verhulst, E.; Lambrechts, W. (2015): Fostering the incorporation of sustainable development in higher education. Lessons learned from a change management perspective. In: Journal of Cleaner Production 106, S. 189–204. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.049.
- Weiss, Marie; Barth, Matthias (2019): Global research landscape of sustainability curricula implementation in higher education. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, doi: 10.1108/IJSHE-10-2018-0190.
- Weiss, Marie; Barth, Matthias (2020): Comparative analysis of sustainability curricula implementation processes in Higher Education Institutions: A list of case studies that went into the analysis (N=230). Leuphana University Lüneburg. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.16894.33606. Retrieved from: https://bit.ly/EFCA-CaseUniverse.

Yin, Robert K.; Heald, Karen A. (1975): Using the Case Survey Method to Analyze Policy Studies. In: Administrative Science Quarterly 20 (3), S. 371–381.

Appendix

No. 1 Case study lists structured by their relevance

Relevance 1 case studies (N=133)

Continent	Country	Name of the Higher Education Institution
Africa	Botswana	University of Botswana (UB)
Africa	South Africa	Rhodes University
Africa	Tanzania	University of Dar es Salaam
Asia	China	Beijing Normal University (BNU)
Asia	China	Tsinghua University
Asia	India	Anna University
Asia	India	Indira Gandhi Open National University (IGOU)
Asia	India	Jadavpur University
Asia	India	Jammu University
Asia	India	Symbiosis International University
Asia	India	TERI University
Asia	India	University of Hyderabad
Asia	India	University of Madras
Asia	India	University of Pune
Asia	Indonesia	Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM)
Asia	Iran	Amirkabir University of Technology (AUT)
Asia	Japan	Hokkaido University
Asia	Japan	Ibaraki University
Asia	Japan	Kobe University
Asia	Japan	Kyoto University
Asia	Japan	Osaka University
Asia	Japan	Shinshu University (SU)
Asia	Japan	University of Tokyo
Asia	Malaysia	National University of Malaysia
Asia	Malaysia	University Sains Malaysia (USM)
Asia	Oman	Sultan Qaboos University
Asia	Philippines	Miriam College
Asia	South-Korea	Yonsei University (YU)
Asia	Thailand	Asian Institute of Technology (AIT)
Asia	Vietnam	Hanoi National University of Education (HNUE)
Asia	Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh University of Pedagogy (HCMUP)
Asia	Vietnam	Hue University of Education (HUEd)
Asia	Vietnam	Quang Nam University (QNU)
Asia	Vietnam	University of Da Nang, Danang University of Education (DUEd)

Table 5: Relevance 1 case studies

Continent	Country	Name of the Higher Education Institution (continued)
Europe	Bulgaria	University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy
		(UACEG)
Europe	Denmark	Aalborg University
Europe	Germany	Leuphana University
Europe	Germany	University of Tübingen
Europe	Greece	University of Aegean
Europe	Greece	University of Thessaloniki
Europe	Latvia	Daugavpils University
Europe	Latvia	Liepaja University (LiepU)
Europe	Latvia	Rezekne Higher Education Establishment (RHEE)
Europe	Latvia	University of Latvia
Europe	Netherlands	Delft University of Technology (DUT)
Europe	Netherlands	Eindhoven University
Europe	Netherlands	Erasmus University of Rotterdam
Europe	Netherlands	Van Hall Larenstein University of Applied Science
Europe	Spain	Technical University of Catalonia (UPC)
Europe	Spain	Technical University of Valencia (TUV)
Europe	Spain	University of Zaragoza
Europe	Sweden	Chalmers University of Technology
Europe	Sweden	KTH Royal Institute of Technology
Europe	Sweden	Linköping University
Europe	Sweden	Lund University
Europe	Switzerland	ETH Zurich
Europe	Switzerland	Zurich University of Applied Sciences
Europe	UK	Anglia Ruskin University
Europe	UK	Bournemouth University
Europe	UK	Cambridge University
Europe	UK	De Montfort University
Europe	UK	Newcastle University
Europe	UK	University of Bristol
Europe	UK	University of Gloucestershire
Europe	UK	University of Huddersfield
Europe	UK	University of Leeds
Europe	UK	University of Plymouth
Europe	UK	University of Southampton
Europe	UK	University of Strathclyde
Europe	UK	University of the West of England
Europe	UK	University of Wales Trinity Saint David
Latin America and the	Brazil	Methodist University of São Paulo (Universidade
Caribbean		Metodista de São Paulo (UMESP))
Latin America and the	Ecuador	Universidad Técnica del Norte
Caribbean		
Latin America and the	Jamaica	University of the West Indies
Caribbean		
Latin America and the	Mexico	Metropolitan Autonomous University
Caribbean		· · · ·

Latin America and the Mexico Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Education Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico National Autonomous University of Mexico Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico University of Sonora Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico University of Sonora Caribbean North America Canada Bishop's University North America Canada Bishop's University North America Canada Dalhousie University North America Canada Dalhousie University North America Canada Universite de Sherbrooke North America Canada University of Alberta North America Canada University of Alberta North America Canada University of Guelph North America Canada University Northridge (CSUN) North America USA Arizona State University (ASU) North America USA California State University North America USA Erea College North America USA Eremory University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Indiana University North America USA Indiana University North America USA Indiana University North America USA Indiana University Bloomington North America USA Northern Arizona University North America USA Northern Arizona University North America USA Philadelphia University (OSU) North America USA San José State University North America USA San José State University North America USA Usi Tulane University of Colorado Boulder North America USA University of Colorado Boulder North America USA University of Colorado Boulder	Continent	Country	Name of the Higher Education Institution (continued)
Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico National Autonomous University of Mexico Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico University of Sonora Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico University of Sonora Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico University of Sonora Caribbean North America Canada Bishop's University North America Canada Dalhousie University North America Canada University of Alberta North America Canada University of Alberta North America Canada University of Guelph North America Canada University of Guelph North America Canada University of Guelph North America USA Carada Carloregie Mellon University North America USA Emory University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Indiana University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Indiana University North America USA Indiana University North America USA Indiana University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Indiana University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Indiana University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Indiana University North America USA Indiana No	Latin America and the	Mexico	Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Education
Latin America and the CaribbeanMexicoNational Autonomous University of MexicoLatin America and the CaribbeanMexicoUniversity of SonoraLatin America and the MexicoMexicoUniversity of SonoraCaribbeanSishop's UniversityNorth AmericaNorth AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of SonoraNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of SonoraNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of SonoraNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia University (JSU)North AmericaU	Caribbean		
Caribbean Latin America and the Mexico Universidad Veracruzana Caribbean University of Sonora Caribbean Sonora Caribbean Sishop's University North America Canada Bishop's University North America Canada Dalhousie University North America Canada University of Alberta North America North America Canada University of British Columbia (UBC) North America North America Canada University of Guelph North America North America Canada University of Guelph North America Canada University of Guelph North America USA Arizona State University (ASU) North America USA Berea College North America USA Carnegie Mellon University North America USA Emory University North America USA Ferrum College North America USA Florida Gulf Coast University North America USA Indiaau University Bloomington	Latin America and the	Mexico	National Autonomous University of Mexico
Latin America and the MexicoUniversidad VeracruzanaCaribbeanLatin America and the MexicoUniversity of SonoraNorth AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaVork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACarlegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarlegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAItolaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAItolaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison UniversityNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison UniversityNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison UniversityNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSA <t< td=""><td>Caribbean</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Caribbean		
CaribbeanLatin America and the MexicoUniversity of SonoraCaribbeanNorth AmericaNorth AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of SoloreNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaVork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSAArizona State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIbaaIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAIbaaJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorth AmericaUSANorth AmericaUSANorth AmericaUSA	Latin America and the	Mexico	Universidad Veracruzana
Latin America and the CaribbeanMexicoUniversity of SonoraNorth AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaBritish Columbia Institute of TechnologyNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of SolephNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (MU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia Univers	Caribbean		
CaribbeanNorth AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversité de SherbrookeNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACalifornia State UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSA <td< td=""><td>Latin America and the</td><td>Mexico</td><td>University of Sonora</td></td<>	Latin America and the	Mexico	University of Sonora
North AmericaCanadaBishop's UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaBritish Columbia Institute of TechnologyNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (MUU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona University (OSU)North AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia University (OSU)North A	Caribbean		
North AmericaCanadaBritish Columbia Institute of TechnologyNorth AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaYork University (ASU)North AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona University (OSU)North AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSA	North America	Canada	Bishop's University
North AmericaCanadaDalhousie UniversityNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSANortheresityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrinceton	North America	Canada	British Columbia Institute of Technology
North AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSAArizona State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, S	North America	Canada	Dalhousie University
North AmericaCanadaUniversity of AlbertaNorth AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACalifornia State UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane University <tr< td=""><td>North America</td><td>Canada</td><td>Université de Sherbrooke</td></tr<>	North America	Canada	Université de Sherbrooke
North AmericaCanadaUniversity of British Columbia (UBC)North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACalifornia State UniversityNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAErmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUsiversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colo	North America	Canada	University of Alberta
North AmericaCanadaUniversity of GuelphNorth AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACanegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University UIMU)North AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUSANorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAYohio State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUsi Yohio State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUsi Yohio State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUsi Yoh	North America	Canada	University of British Columbia (UBC)
North AmericaCanadaYork UniversityNorth AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	Canada	University of Guelph
North AmericaUSAArizona State University (ASU)North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	Canada	York University
North AmericaUSABerea CollegeNorth AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUsity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUsity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUsity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boul	North America	USA	Arizona State University (ASU)
North AmericaUSACalifornia State University, Northridge (CSUN)North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North America <td< td=""><td>North America</td><td>USA</td><td>Berea College</td></td<>	North America	USA	Berea College
North AmericaUSACarnegie Mellon UniversityNorth AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	USA	California State University, Northridge (CSUN)
North AmericaUSAEmory UniversityNorth AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	USA	Carnegie Mellon University
North AmericaUSAFerrum CollegeNorth AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	USA	Emory University
North AmericaUSAFlorida Gulf Coast UniversityNorth AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)	North America	USA	Ferrum College
North AmericaUSAGeorge Washington UniversityNorth AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	USA	Florida Gulf Coast University
North AmericaUSAIndiana University BloomingtonNorth AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversityNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)	North America	USA	George Washington University
North AmericaUSAIthaca CollegeNorth AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado Boulder	North America	USA	Indiana University Bloomington
North AmericaUSAJames Madison University (JMU)North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Ithaca College
North AmericaUSAJohns HopkinsNorth AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)	North America	USA	James Madison University (JMU)
North AmericaUSAMiddlebury CollegeNorth AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)	North America	USA	Johns Hopkins
North AmericaUSANorthern Arizona UniversityNorth AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Middlebury College
North AmericaUSAOhio State University (OSU)North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Northern Arizona University
North AmericaUSAPhiladelphia UniversityNorth AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Ohio State University (OSU)
North AmericaUSAPrincetonNorth AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Philadelphia University
North AmericaUSASan José State UniversityNorth AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Princeton
North AmericaUSATulane UniversityNorth AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	San José State University
North AmericaUSAUnity CollegeNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Tulane University
North AmericaUSAUniversity of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)North AmericaUSAUniversity of Colorado BoulderNorth AmericaUSAUniversity of Hawaii	North America	USA	Unity College
North America USA University of Colorado Boulder North America USA University of Hawaii	North America	USA	University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC)
North America LISA University of Hawaii	North America	USA	University of Colorado Boulder
	North America	USA	University of Hawaii
North America USA University of Minnesota	North America	USA	University of Minnesota
North America USA University of New Hampshire	North America	USA	University of New Hampshire
North America USA University of New Haven	North America	USA	University of New Haven
North America USA University of Northern Iowa	North America	USA	University of Northern Iowa
North America USA University of Pennsylvania (Penn)	North America	USA	University of Pennsylvania (Penn)
North America USA University of South Carolina	North America	USA	University of South Carolina
North America USA University of Utah	North America	USA	University of Utah

Continent	Country	Name of the Higher Education Institution (continued)
North America	USA	University of Vermont (UVM)
North America	USA	Yale
Oceania and Australia	12 Islands	University of the South Pacific
	Nation	
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Deakin University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Edith Cowan University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	James Cook University (JCU)
Oceania and Australia	Australia	La Trobe University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Monash University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Murdoch University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Oceania and Australian Catholic University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Oceania and Australian National University (ANU)
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT)
Oceania and Australia	Australia	University
	Australia	
Oceania and Australia	Australia	University of South Oceania and Australia
Oceania and Australia	Australia	University of Tasmania
Oceania and Australia	Australia	University of Technology (UTS)
Oceania and Australia	Australia	University of Wollongong

Relevance 2 case studies (N=87)

Table 6: Relevance 2 case studies

Continent	Country	Name of Higher Education Institution
Africa	South Africa	Stellenbosch University
Africa	South Africa	University of South Africa (UNISA)
Asia	China	Tongji University
Asia	India	Apeejay School of Management
Asia	Israel	Green Valley College
Asia	Jordan	Amman University
Asia	Jordan	Hashemite University
Asia	Lebanon	Notre Dame University
Asia	Malaysia	University Malaysia Sarawak
Asia	Thailand	Maejo Universities
Asia	Turkey	Bilkent University
Europe	Austria	BOKU University
Europe	Austria	University of Graz
Europe	Czech	Technical University of Ostrava
	Republic	
Europe	Denmark	Roskilde University
Europe	Denmark	Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University
Europe	Denmark	University of Copenhagen
Europe	Germany	University of Applied Sciences Zittau/Goerlitz
Europe	Germany	University of Paderborn
Europe	Greece	University of Thessaly

Continent	Country	Name of the Higher Education Institution (continued)
Europe	Ireland	St Angela's College
Europe	Ireland	University of Limerick
Europe	Italy	Polytechnic University of Milan
Europe	Italy	University of Milano-Bicocca
Europe	Lithuania	Kaunas University of Technology
Europe	Netherlands	University of Amsterdam
Europe	Netherlands	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VU)
Europe	Netherlands	Zeeland University of Applied Sciences (ZU)
Europe	Russia	St Petersburg State University
Europe	Sweden	Blekinge Institute of Technology (BTH)
Europe	Turkey	Bogazici University
Europe	UK	Canterbury Christ Church University
Europe	UK	Keele University
Europe	UK	Manchester Metropolitan University (MMU)
Europe	UK	Middlesex University
Europe	UK	The University of Nottingham
Europe	UK	University of Bradford
Europe	UK	University of Chester
Europe	UK	University of Leicester
Europe	UK	University of Manchester
Europe	UK	University of Surrey
Europe	UK	University of Worcester
Europe	UK	University of X
Latin America and the	Brazil	Paulista University
Caribbean		
Latin America and the	Jamaica	Bethlehem Moravian College
Caribbean		
Latin America and the	Jamaica	Edna Manley College of the Visual and Performing Arts
Caribbean		
Latin America and the	Jamaica	Moneague College
Caribbean		
Latin America and the	Jamaica	St. Joseph's Teachers' College (SJTC)
Caribbean		
North America	Canada	Brock University
North America	Canada	
North America	Canada	
North America	Canada	Ryerson University
North America	Canada	Simon Fraser University (SFU)
North America	Canada	University of Prince Edward Island
North America	Canada	
North America		
North America	USA	Appaiachian State University
North America	USA	City College of New York
North America	USA	
North America	USA	Colorado State University
North America	USA	Cornell University

Continent	Country	Name of the Higher Education Institution (continued)
North America	USA	Georgia Institute of Technology
North America	USA	Green Mountain College
North America	USA	Hobart & William Smith Colleges (HWS)
North America	USA	Kettering University
North America	USA	Michigan State University
North America	USA	Northland College
North America	USA	Oklahoma State University
North America	USA	Pennsylvania State University
North America	USA	Portland State University
North America	USA	Salisbury University
North America	USA	San Diego State University
North America	USA	Tufts University
North America	USA	University of Alaska Fairbanks
North America	USA	University of Arizona
North America	USA	University of Delaware
North America	USA	University of Guam
North America	USA	University of Michigan
North America	USA	University of Oklahoma
North America	USA	University of Texas-Pan American (UTPA)
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Charles Sturt University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Curtin University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Griffith University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Queensland University of Technology (QUT)
Oceania and Australia	Australia	Southern Cross University
Oceania and Australia	Australia	University of Sydney
Oceania and Australia	New Zealand	Victoria University of Wellington

No. 2 Factsheet – Example

Case ID:

HEI name:

Coder ID:

Date(s) of Coding*:

*Note: please include all dates separated by commas

VARIABLE	NOTES
1.4 Further references	
(REF)	
3.8 Summary described sustainability	
curricula	
(SUM DESCRBD CURRI)	
Take notes on the information described	
below . You don't have to describe it in this	
order, just be sure to capture information on	
all the factors described below. If something.	
seems very important or if it helps to	
structure the information, please underline	
the selected text or format the text in bold .	
- Described level (one course, program,	
curricula, training)	
- larget audience (students, faculty,	
stakeholders, other)	
- Degree(s) of the mentioned sustainability	
curricula (BA, MA, PhD, faculty training,	
certificate, other)	
- Name(s) of the described sustainability	
curricula	
- Applied teaching and learning	
approach and methods (see also Codebook	
3.8)	
- Learning objectives (e.g. sustainability	
competencies	
- Program structure	
4.5 Description of the sustainability curricula implementation process

(DESCRIP SCIP)

Brief description of the implementation process for the sustainability curricula.

Take notes on ALL information about the implementation process, e.g. the information described in the bullet points. You don't have to describe it in this order, just be sure to capture all information about the factors described below with enough context information! Don't summarize too much; you can copy/paste passages from the case study. If something seems very important or if it helps to structure the information, please underline the selected text or format the text in bold.

- **All phases with time scales** (include notes about the **initial situation**)

- **All emphasized variables** (drivers and barriers) and in which phase they were important

- **Grade of activity** (active, significant, core focus) per phase and whether these were successful

- *Internal priority setting* and whether it changed during the process (capture timescale/phase)

- Planned improvements

- **Figures** if provided by the case study (include figures at the end of the table with a reference in this cell)

5.1.1 Strategic planning - Description

(STRAT PLAN DESCRIP)

Description of the specific systematic process
(strategic planning) intended to achieve
any level (even small-scale
improvements) of sustainability
curricula implementation, with all
objectives and steps described.
Take notes on all information regarding
strategy aimed at fostering ESD, e.g.,
information on the bullet points
described below. You don't have to describe
it in this order, just be sure to capture all
information regarding the factors described
below. If something seems very important or
if it helps to structure the information, please
underline the selected text or format the text
in bold .
- Implementation strategies mentioned,
e.g., a sustainability plan with different steps
- Special variables that were emphasized,
e.g., motivation or engagement strategies.
- Figures if provided by the case study
(include figures at the end of the table with a
reference in this cell)
5.1.2 Strategic planning - Applied
methods for implementing change
process
(STRAT PLAN METHOD)
Description of the methods that were used
during the sustainability curricula
implementation process (e.g., evaluation
tools, assessment, action-research etc.)
8.8 Local context
(LOCAL CTXT)

Brief description of factors in the	
local/regional context (geography,	
societal/ecological problems, history,	
surrounding city/town/geopolitical	
context/traditions etc.) that influence the	
sustainability curricula implementation	
process.	
Additional notes	
Other important notes about the case	
- Your impression of the case study. What	
would you tell me in one sentence about it,	
if I haven't read it and want to know	
specifics about the implementation strategy	
and its drivers/barriers.	
-Everything that seems important to you	
but isn't captured in the variables.	
Coding protocol	
Please make notes on your coding	
decisions for EVERY variable. You can	
copy/paste text passages on which you	
base your decisions to make your point	
clear. If unsure how to code an item, please	
state the problem and discuss it with the	
other coders.	