International Knowledge Spillovers: The Benefits from Employing Immigrants

ORKING

by
Jürgen Bitzer, Erkan Gören
and Sanne Hiller

University of Lüneburg Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 323

November 2014

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/publikationen/working-papers.html

ISSN 1860 - 5508

International Knowledge Spillovers:

The Benefits from Employing Immigrants *

JÜRGEN BITZER[†]

Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg

ERKAN GÖREN[‡]

Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg and Aarhus University

SANNE HILLER§

Ruhr-University Bochum and Aarhus University

This Version: November 2014

Abstract

This paper explores the role of immigrant employees for a firm's capability to absorb international knowledge. Using matched employer-employee data from Denmark for the years 1999 to 2009, we are able to show that non-Danish employees contribute significantly to a firm's economic output through their ability to access international knowledge. The immigrants' impact increases if they come from technological advanced countries, have a high educational level, and are employed in high skilled positions. However, the latter does not hold for immigrant managers.

Keywords: R&D Spillovers, Absorptive Capacity, Firm-Level Analysis,

Foreign Workers, Immigrants

JEL Classification Numbers: D20, J82, L20, O30

^{*}We would like to thank Holger Görg, Ingo Geishecker and the seminar participants at the 16th Annual Conference of the European Trade Study Group 2014, LMU Munich, the Macro-Seminar, Ruhr-University Bochum, and the Lüneburg Workshop in Economics 2014, for useful comments and suggestions. All remaining errors are our own.

[†]Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Department of Economics, Campus Haarentor, Building A5, 26111 Oldenburg, Germany, Tel.: +49-441-798-4217, e-mail: juergen.bitzer@uni-oldenburg.de.

[‡]Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Department of Economics, Campus Haarentor, Building A5, 26111 Oldenburg, Germany and Department of Economics, Aarhus University, Denmark, Tel.: +49-441-798-4292, e-mail: erkan.goeren@uni-oldenburg.de.

[§]Ruhr-University Bochum, Department of Economics, Universitätsstr. 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany and Department of Economics, Aarhus University, Hermodsvej 22, 8230 Aabyhøj, Denmark, e-mail: sanne.hiller@rub.de.

1 Introduction

Following the seminal paper of Coe and Helpman (1995) a large body of literature has emerged devoted to identifying the channels through which international knowledge spillovers occur and their impact on output and productivity (for reviews of the literature, see Hall et al., 2010 or Keller, 2004). While there has been substantial progress in the identification and analysis of several diffusion channels, the role of employees as a potential diffusion channel for international knowledge spillovers has been vastly neglected. This is rather surprising, because employees are an obvious and crucial factor in the absorption of knowledge and form the absorptive capacity (Cohen and Levinthal, 1989) of economic entities, be it countries, sectors or firms. To absorb foreign knowledge successfully, it is necessary to "evaluate the technological and commercial potential of knowledge in a particular domain, assimilate it, and apply it" (Cohen and Levinthal 1994, p. 227). Thus, countries, industries, or firms have to incur efforts to accumulate a certain amount of technological capability, to be able to acquire technological knowledge from the external environment. Obviously, employees play an active role in the identification, assimilation and application of foreign knowledge and are therefore an important channel for knowledge spillovers.

The empirical approximation of an economic entity's absorptive capacity usually closely follows the notion of Cohen and Levinthal (1989) that the absorptive capacity refers to a firm's stock of prior knowledge, which positively depends on its human capital stock and R&D expenditures. The importance of the two latter factors rises as usual with the complexity of external knowledge. For example, Mancusi (2008) shows for a panel of OECD industries that absorptive capacity, approximated by cross-industry patent citations, contributes significantly to innovation activity in laggard countries. Griffith et al. (2004), approximate absorptive capacity by the level of R&D intensity and illustrate, for a panel of industries in twelve OECD countries, that it stimulates TFP growth indirectly through technology transfer, once again, pointing to the importance of absorptive capacity. At the firm level, Poldahl (2012) investigates the impact of various domestic and international R&D intensity measures on firms' TFP growth in a panel of Swedish manufacturing firms. Their results, in accordance to previous studies, uncover the importance of absorptive capacity for firms' TFP growth. The largest number of studies in this branch use human capital as a proxy of absorptive capacity, as analyzed in Fracasso and Marzetti (2014), Ang et al. (2011), Sena and Anon Higon (2014), Kneller (2005), Kneller and Stevens (2006), among others. They all arrive at the conclusion that firms' and sectors' absorptive capacity is essential to reap the gains from international knowledge spillovers.

We build upon this literature but follow a different path by taking up an idea in the less often cited part of Arrow's (1969) paper in which he states that transfer of knowledge takes place via different communication channels exhibiting different costs, "where these costs include the ability of the sender to "code" the information and the recipient to "decode" it." (see p. 33). Arrow later in his paper elucidates that the coding/decoding process includes not only prior technological knowledge but also language, culture and personal contacts. These non-technical aspects of the process of knowledge absorption, which determine the absorptive capacity of countries, sectors or firms are at the center stage of our analysis. In line with the considerations of Arrow (1969), the authors Cohen and Levinthal (1990) also emphasize the importance of communication systems between organizational structures and the external environment for the firm's absorptive capacity. At the basic level, individual actors equipped with a particular language can act as a gatekeeper to transfer knowledge from the external environment to different subunits of the firm.

Arrows arguments are supported by the recent empirical study of Kerr (2008), who argues in favor of international ethnic scientific networks for the diffusion of knowledge across nations. A striking result of his study is that foreign researchers outside are found to cite U.S. researchers of their own ethnicity more frequently than researchers from other ethnic groups, contributing significantly to technology diffusion between developed and emerging countries. His results suggest that industrial output in less advanced economies rises with co-ethnic patent citations in the United States, highlighting the importance of technology diffusion along ethnic lines. Ethnic scientific networks increase awareness of recent technological developments and can aid trust in otherwise uncertain legal environments. They matter for more than pure language skills, which by themselves are of importance for international interactions (Melitz and Toubal, 2013; Isphording and Otten, 2013). For example, Rauch (2001) argues that ethnic communities outside a country can foster trade flows as they are considered trusted intermediaries with strong ties to their home country. The importance of social capital in co-ethnic networks that facilitate knowledge exchange between innovators through enhanced trustworthiness has been analysed by Coleman (1988) and Kalnins and Chung (2006). Their functioning of reputation intermediaries in industries where tacit knowledge is important has been shown by Kapur (2001). Futhermore, Peri and Requena-Silvente (2010) illustrate that migrant networks lower barriers

¹In this context he gives an example on jet engines: As British authorities decided to transfer the plans for the jet engine to the U.S. during the Second World War, it took U.S. researchers ten months for them to redraw the plans making it suitable for American usage.

to international trade in particular for differentiated products, where contracts are likely to be incomplete, i.e., when the need for communication is large, because full codification is difficult. In this vein, other studies have mentioned the specific attributes of knowledge for the process of diffusion and absorption. Specifically, Sorenson et al. (2006) emphasize the importance of social proximity when receiving and extending knowledge of moderate complexity. While simple knowledge diffuses equally strong among socially near and distant recipients due to factors of unaided recipients search, the diffusion of moderate knowledge is considerably enhanced by social proximity which requires a certain amount of interpersonal exchange between actors. Pertaining to the sources of technology transfers, Agrawal et al. (2008) have shown that social proximity (e.g., co-ethnic networks) within members of U.S. resident Indian diaspora substitutes for geographical proximity in their role for knowledge diffusion. Their result is particulary relevant for firms recruiting foreign workers to increase their innovation capacities through their access to international knowledge flows: hiring immigrants may - to some extent - remove the need of "incurring the cost of moving teacher and student into the same geographical location" (Keller, 2004, p.756) to pass on tacit knowledge. Firms' hiring decisions matter for inter-firm knowledge transmission (Poole, 2013; Balsvik, 2011), in particular if moving workers are highly educated or technicians (Parotta and Pozzoli, 2012).²

Thus, Arrow's (1969) considerations and the mentioned empirical evidence on co-ethnic networks suggest that immigrant employees might be an important channel for the diffusion of international knowledge spillovers.

Our paper therefore addresses the question whether immigrant employment improves firms' absorptive capacity for foreign knowledge. The contribution of our paper is threefold. First, by using detailed employee data we are able to differentiate the immigrants by origin, educational level and occupational position enabling us to construct highly detailed proxies of firms' absorptive capacity and with it, to identify the importance of the individual groups of immigrants for the diffusion of foreign knowledge. Thereby, we additionally contribute to the literature on the costs and benefits of ethnic diversity in firms. Second, by combining these proxies with international R&D capital stocks we are able to establish a direct link between foreign knowledge and a firm's immigration-based absorptive capacity. Helping to differentiate between the impact of the immigrants' personal skills on firms' output/productivity from their impact via the absorbtion of foreign knowledge. Third, our estimations uncover if the immigrants are an important

²Other studies have investigated the impact of foreign experts on firm's TFP growth, and value added per worker in domestic firms (Görg and Strobl, 2005; Markusen and Trofimenko, 2009; Malchow-Møller et al., 2011).

channel for the diffusion of foreign knowledge thereby extending the literature on international knowledge spillovers.

Based on Coe and Helpman (1995), and the subsequent literature, we apply a production function approach. For our econometric analysis, we combine a matched employer-employee data set from Denmark during the years 1999 to 2009 with data on international R&D capital stocks for OECD countries. This enables us to control for a broad range of firm-specific variables such as physical capital stock, intermediate goods, size of the labour stock, average firm tenure, ethnic diversity. In addition, we also account for industry-year, commuting, and time fixed effects to control for unobserved heterogeneity across industry affiliations, regions, and economy-wide effects.

Our empirical results show that employing immigrants increases firms' absorptive capacity, resulting in a significantly higher output elasticity with respect to foreign knowledge. However, this effect is identified only if we take the origin, educational level or occupational position of the immigrants into account. Hiring a larger share of immigrants from technological advanced countries increases the output elasticity with respect to international R&D knowledge stocks. Furthermore, we are able to show that foreign knowledge's output elasticity increases with the educational level of the employed immigrants. The same is true for the occupational positions of the immigrants. However, the output elasticity with respect to foreign knowledge of immigrant managers is lower than that of high skilled immigrants without executive functions and becomes insignificant in the fully specified model, controlling for the full range of occupational positions of the employed immigrants. Additionally, we confirm existing findings that workforce ethnic diversity is associated with a negative output elasticity (e.g., Parrotta et al., 2014a). Despite our negative impact of workforce ethnic diversity on firms' gross production, perhaps, through increased communication costs, our findings point to the economic importance of a diverse labour force for the firm's capability to access international knowledge. Finally, our results are robust to the inclusion of a broad range of additional control variables and variations in the estimation specification.

The remaining parts of the paper are organized as follows. In Section 2 we outline our empirical approach that constitutes the basis of the empirical analysis. Section 3 describes the data and methods behind the construction of firm-specific international R&D knowledge stocks. Section 4 presents the empirical results, and Section 5 checks the robustness of the results to various sample sizes and among different specifications. Finally, Section 6 concludes by summarizing the main results.

2 Empirical Approach

2.1 Estimation Set Up

As pointed out by Arrow (1969) the absorption of knowledge reaches beyond the pure technological prior knowledge but also includes aspects like language, culture, personal contacts and social-ethnic networks. The absorption of knowledge from foreign sources therefore requires the access to foreign language, foreign culture, foreign persons and foreign social networks. Obviously a simple strategy to acquire such an access is to hire foreigners. The employment of foreigners would therefore increase the absorptive capacity which in turn should increase the benefits from foreign knowledge. Following Coe and Helpman (1995), we estimate a production function to empirically uncover the impact of employing foreign workers on economic performance through the access of international knowledge. However, we refrain from estimating the reduced TFP form because of two econometric reasons. First, by regressing value added on labor and capital to obtain TFP, approximated by the residual of the estimation, it would be implicitly assumed that labor and capital are uncorrelated with technological progress, which is captured in the residuals. If this assumption does not hold, the estimated coefficients are biased and thus the residuals, and with it TFP, are miscalculated. Furthermore, using different measures to explain TFP in the second step of the regressions strengthens the doubts about the correct specification of the first stage of the regression to obtain TFP.³ Second, using value added as variable to preserve TFP also implicitly assumes that changes in value added are solely caused by changes in labor and capital. However, organisational changes in the production structure, e.g. caused by outsourcing, are not taken into account and blur the direct production link between value added and labor and capital. We therefore estimate a fully specified model, using gross production as dependent variable and control for intermediates. The classical set up on the country level is then given by the following regression equation:

$$\log Y_{ct} = \alpha + \beta_1 \log L_{ct} + \beta_2 \log K_{ct} + \beta_3 \log M_{ct} + \beta_4 \log S_{ct}^d + \beta_5 \log S_{ct}^f + \beta_6 \mathcal{X}_{ct} + \alpha_c + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{ct}, \quad (1)$$

where $\log Y$ is the log of gross production, $\log L$, $\log K$, and $\log M$ are the logs of labour, capital, and materials, respectively. $\log S^d$ is the domestic R&D capital stock and $\log S^f$ is the R&D capital stock of foreign countries. The variable \mathcal{X}_{ct} captures the influence of the foreign R&D capital stock (S^f) via the absorptive capacity of a country. Subscripts c and t refer to the index for the corresponding country and time, respectively. Unfortunately, and somewhat

³For a review on the problems to determine TFP see Hulten (2001). For the problem of capital utilization for TFP calculation see Hulten (1986), Burnside et al. (1996), Berndt et al. (1986).

surprisingly, detailed data on employees (e.g., education level, work experience, age structure) are not available on the country level, even not for industrialized countries. Therefore, we have to come back to the firm level, where such detailed employee data is available. However, transferring the basic econometric set up in equation 1 to the firm level requires various adjustments. While the control for the traditional inputs can be taken over one to one, the mapping of the different types of knowledge is more sophisticated. Taking the R&D capital stock variable to the firm level would require to split the variable up into own and external domestic R&D capital, to capture the effects of a firm's own R&D efforts and those of other domestic firms via knowledge spillovers. However, the coverage of R&D expenditures in firm level data is usually very limited, preventing the construction of the required R&D capital stocks. For this reason, only a few studies for Danish firms and with a limited number of observations exist to uncover the influence of a firm's R&D capital stock on its economic performance (e.g., Dilling-Hansen et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2004). Therefore, we control for the aggregated Danish R&D capital stock, but refrain from using R&D capital stocks on firm level. This of course comes at the expense of being unable to distinguish between the effects of own R&D capital stock and the effects of domestic knowledge spillovers on output; however, our focus is on international rather than domestic spillovers. Since the total domestic R&D capital stock does not vary between the firms in a year, the effect is captured by the time-fixed effects. The same applies to the foreign R&D capital stock, which varies over time, but not between firms in a year. Thus, we properly control for both variables $\log S^d$ and $\log S^f$ in our basic set-up; however, their concrete elasticity can not be identified. Finally, our variable of interest is a function of the firm specific proxy of its absorptive capacity and the foreign R&D capital stock $\log S_{ct}^f$. Thus, \mathcal{X}_{ct} is a firm specify variable which can be included into the estimation equation without any further troubles. Extending equation (1) to firm i, industry j, and time t along with additional firm-specific controls results in the following estimation equation:

$$\log Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 \log L_{it} + \beta_2 \log K_{it} + \beta_3 \log M_{it} + \beta_4 \mathcal{X}_{it} + \mathbf{X}_{it} \beta + \alpha_{ct} + \alpha_{jt} + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{it}, \quad (2)$$

where $\log Y_{it}$ is the the log of gross production (measured in total sales of goods and services) of firm i at time t. $\log L_{it}$, $\log K_{it}$, and $\log M_{it}$ are the logs of labour, capital, and materials, respectively. The variable of interest, \mathcal{X}_{it} , refers to our measure for the effect of international R&D capital stocks on a firm's gross production outcomes via increased absorptive capacity through the employment of foreign workers. A detailed discussion regarding the construction of this variable is provided in the next section. In addition, we also take into account a broad range of firm-specific control variables, summarized in the matrix \mathbf{X}_{it} . This includes a measure

of ethnic diversity, the log of average firm tenure in years, the share of men employees, the share of managers, and a dummy variable indicating whether the firm is foreign-owned or not. Furthermore, we also incorporate firm specific controls indicating the share of employees belonging to each age distribution quartile, the share of employees with low-, mid-, and high-skilled occupations, and the share of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education. Thus, we are able to capture differences in firms' absorptive capacity on the employment level and thus control for Cohen and Levinthal's (1989) notion of prior knowledge.

In addition, the variables α_{ct} , α_{jt} and α_t refer to commuting fixed effects, industry-year fixed effects, and country-wide year fixed effects, respectively, to control for unobserved heterogeneity across industries, regions, and years. These fixed effects specifications warrant some careful discussions. First, the industry-year fixed effects remove all trends specific to the industry under consideration but are common to the firms belonging to that industry. These common trends include such factors as demand shifts and price changes, as well as differences in management skills, and industry-specific technology opportunity conditions. Second, the time-fixed effects remove trends common to the firms within Denmark. This variable captures economy-wide influences on the firm level such as the Danish legal system, the general knowledge stock, firms' own R&D knowledge stock, which is incorporated in the Danish total R&D capital stock, and economy-wide measurement errors in deflators common to all firms or industries. Third, we also incorporate commuting fixed effects into our regression analysis to control for differences in labour market policies, infrastructure quality, and assistance to industrial sectors across economic regions (Andersen, 2002).

We forego the use of firm-fixed effects in the empirical analysis for two reasons: firstly, Griliches and Hausman (1986) highlight that the inclusion of many fixed effects may exacerbate problems that arise from measurement error, for instance attenuation bias. Secondly, Table 2 indicates that much greater variation of ethnic groups from OECD countries exists across firms than across time within firms. To the extent that this small variation within firms is what being captured by the firm fixed effects, this circumstance will make it difficult to disentangle the impact of the ethnic- and education-weighted foreign R&D capital stock variable from the general firm-specific effect. As an additional benefit, this makes our findings more easily comparable with a related study on the direct impact of diversity on firm productivity by Parotta et. al. (2014). Reassuringly, robustness checks with firm fixed effects comfortingly corroborate our main result. Finally, ε_{it} is a firm-specific error term. Summary statistics and pairwise correlations for the samples used in the empirical analysis are provided in the appendix.

To a large extent, endogeneity concerns are ameliorated by inclusion of different sets of fixed

effects. In particular, our results are not driven by unobserved price or demand shocks at the industry level. Yet, even though the foreign R&D capital stock is likely to be exogenous to the individual Danish firm, it might be that some Danish MNEs conduct R&D activities abroad, thereby contributing to the foreign knowledge stock. Similar to Keller (2002), we address this concern by excluding MNEs in our robustness checks. A third important source of endogeneity is located at the firm-level: firms with substantial gross output are likely to be more successful in hiring qualified migrants, as they are likely to have more capacities for recruitment of workers. We tackle this problem from three sides: First, systematically better hires in large firms are likely to be driven by organizational advantages of the firm. These features rarely change over time and are consequently purged by firm fixed effects. Secondly, the quality of hires is likely to depend also on the composition of the management, which we control for in our specifications. Thirdly, we provide results where we include all regressors in their first lag. Neither of these modifications changes our main conclusions.

2.2 Approximation of Absorptive Capacity and Knowledge Spillovers

We follow the discussion in Coe and Helpman (1995) to establish a direct link between firms' absorptive capacity based on their immigrants and the international knowledge stock by constructing a weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks (s^f), where the weight is a measure of a firm's absorptive capacity provided by the employed immigrants.⁴ The robustness of the main results with respect to the used weights are assessed on behalf of three different specifications in the construction of the foreign R&D variable.

In a first step, we account for the origin of the immigrants. For that we follow the procedure of Coe and Helpman (1995) and construct an ethnic-weighted variable, where the weight is the share of immigrants from a certain country. Therefore, \mathcal{X}_{it} becomes then:

$$\log s_{it}^{f,ew} = \log \left(\sum_{c \in S_{it}} \left(\frac{L_{ict}^{For}}{L_{it}^{For}} s_{ct}^f \right) \right), \tag{3}$$

where S_{it} is the set of foreign workers in firm i for period t belonging to countries for which data on R&D capital stocks is available, L_{ict}^{For} is the number of immigrants engaged in firm i from country c and L_{it}^{For} is the total number of immigrants in firm i. Thus, the construction

⁴The trade-weighted R&D capital stock suggested by Coe and Helpman (1995) indeed reflect trade-related spillovers as discussed in Coe and Helpman (1999) after having been questioned by Keller (1998).

ensures that, ceteris paribus, firms with a higher share of immigrants from technological advanced countries (here approximated by size of the R&D capital stock) have a larger $\log s_{it}^{f,ew}$ and vice versa. Again, we also differentiate the immigrant workforce by educational level, this time by constructing three separate variables for immigrants with basic, secondary and tertiary education. As an example, the foreign R&D variable $s_{it}^{f,ew,B}$ then includes only immigrants with basic education.

In the next step, we further differentiate the educational aspect of the absorptive capacity by constructing an ethnic-education-weighted measure of foreign R&D capital stocks for each firm in year t as follows:

$$\log s_{it}^{f,ewedu} = \log \left(\sum_{c \in S_{it}} \left(\frac{L_{ict}^{For,B}}{L_{it}^{For}} s_{ct}^{f} H^{B} + \frac{L_{ict}^{For,S}}{L_{it}^{For}} s_{ct}^{f} H^{S} + \frac{L_{ict}^{For,T}}{L_{it}^{For}} s_{ct}^{f} H^{T} \right) \right), \tag{4}$$

where $L_{ict}^{For,\theta}$ is the number of immigrants engaged in firm i from country c with education level $\theta = (Basic, Secondary, Tertiary)$, respectively.⁵ H^{θ} is the theoretical cumulative duration in years for the education level θ . Information on the theoretical duration for each education type is taken from the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), as reported by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). This time, ceteris paribus, firms with a higher share of immigrants from technological advanced countries (here approximated by size of the R&D capital stock) and higher educational level have a larger $\log s_{it}^{f,ewedu}$ and vice versa. As for the ethnic-weighted measure, we also construct the ethnic-education-weighted measure for each educational level separately (e.g., for basic education then this would correspond to $\log s_{it}^{f,ewedu,B}$).

Finally, particularly for immigrants, the occupational position might not correspond to the educational level, e.g., due to problems with the approval of foreign education certificates. Therefore, we construct an ethnic-occupational-position-weighted measure for each single occupational level (low-skilled, mid-skilled, high-skilled, manager, others). It is again constructed according to the procedure of Coe and Helpman (1995), where the weight this time is the share of immigrants on a certain occupational position:

$$\log s_{it}^{f,ewoccu,\phi} = \log \left(\sum_{c \in S_{it}} \left(\frac{L_{ict}^{For,\phi}}{L_{it}^{For}} s_{ct}^f \right) \right), \tag{5}$$

where $L_{ict}^{For,\phi}$ is the number of persons engaged in firm i from country c with occupational level $\phi = (low, mid, high, manager, others)$.⁶ According to this definition, ceteris paribus, firms with

 $^{^5}$ Detailed information on the classification of the educational levels are provided in the appendix.

⁶Detailed information on the classification of occupational positions are provided in the appendix.

a higher share of immigrants from technological advanced countries (again approximated by size of the R&D capital stock) and employed in a higher occupational position have a larger $\log s_{it}^{f,ewoccu,\phi}$ and vice versa.

3 Data Description

3.1 Data Sources

In evaluating the impact of immigrants on firm's economic performance through their access to international R&D knowledge stocks, this study utilizes a longitudinal employer-employee data set provided from a variety of statistical registers by Statistics Denmark (henceforth DS). The starting point in data preparation is the Integrated Database for Labour Market Research (henceforth IDA). IDA integrates three databases on the personal, employee, and workplace level for any given year. It provides valuable information on a wide range of individual characteristics, containing, e.g., gender, age, country of origin, education level, labour market experience, earnings, and current occupation on each individual employed in Danish firms during the entire period 1995 to 2009. The link between individuals and workplaces are uniquely identified each year at the end of November. The extracted information on each individual is then aggregated to obtain firm-specific variables, such as the number of full-time employees, average firm tenure, age distribution, shares of males, managers, highly-skilled workers, and the shares of workers belonging to basic, secondary, tertiary, and university education. Furthermore, a variable is created that reflects the ethnic composition of each firm based on the data indicating the country of origin for each individual. In addition, business accounts data is provided by the statistical register REGNSKAB, from which we extract such variables as gross production (total sales of goods and services), intermediate goods (purchase as goods, helping materials, and packaging), and the capital stock (total assets). REGNSKAB covers the construction and retail trade industries at the firm level from 1994 onwards, manufacturing industries beginning in 1995, wholesale trade was included from 1998 onwards, and the remaining private industries beginning from 1999 onwards. Finally, we also establish a link to a firm's foreign trade statistics. This statistical register provides detailed information on bilateral import and export sales with information on destination markets, and traded products based on an 8-digit classification scheme. We use this additional data source to construct an import- and export-weighted international R&D knowledge stock, to test the robustness of our main results to trade-related knowledge spillovers.

For the construction of the ethnic-education-weighted measure we use the information on the

theoretical duration for each education type from the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), as reported by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). ISCED acts as an international framework for comparisons of various education statistics across countries. The last Revision of ISCED in the year 2011 is intended to capture recent developments in educational systems worldwide. The Danish education system categorizes each individual in accordance to this classification scheme, from which we derive the theoretical duration of each education level in Denmark as follows: pre-primary education (1 year), primary education (6 years), lower secondary education (3 years), upper secondary education (3 years), post-secondary/non-tertiary education (2 years), short-cycle tertiary education (3 years), Bachelor (3 years), Master (2 years), and Doctoral programmes (3 years).

Finally, the Data for the construction of R&D capital stocks in 27 countries⁷ is provided by the OECD's Analytical Business Enterprise Research and Development (ANBERD) database.

3.2 Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 provides descriptive statistics for the main variables utilized in the empirical analysis for firms employing at least ten workers. The last choice was set to ensure a certain degree of variability of foreign workers across firms when constructing firm-specific international R&D knowledge stocks. Table 3 lists the gross production deciles along with information according to the share of foreign workers with basic, secondary and tertiary education. This table visualizes the relationship between a firm's economic performance and its share of foreign employees. For example, firms belonging to the first gross production decile have on average 4.4799% foreign workers with basic education. It is worth mentioning that the share of foreign workers with basic education seems to be not related to higher gross production deciles. A somewhat different picture emerges when turning to the share of foreign employees with secondary education. Those firms belonging to the higher gross production deciles also employ on average more foreigners with secondary education. This tendency is further reinforced when focusing on the median values which is positively correlated with the gross production deciles. The same picture holds when looking at those foreign employees with tertiary education. These employees may be of particular interest to firms as they enhance the firm's production possibility frontier, perhaps, through their unique social capabilities to establish a link between different subunits of the firm with the external knowledge environment. Indeed, firms belonging to the top gross production decile employ on average 0.4713% foreign employees with tertiary education. In comparison,

⁷See Table 4 for a list of the countries included in the empirical analysis.

firms residing in the fifth gross production decile have on average 0.2860% foreign workers with tertiary education. A full list of descriptive statistics on the variables included in each of the model specifications is given in Tables 5 and 7, respectively.

4 Results

Table 9 provides first results on the relationship between firms' economic performance and international knowledge absorbed by the employed immigrants. The results presented in column (1) refer to the base specification and show the estimated elasticities for the three main input factors. The estimated coefficients associated with labour, capital, and materials are of the expected positive signs and jointly sum up to unity, establishing the assumption of constant returns to scale in production.

From the findings in Coe and Helpman (1995) and the subsequent literature, it is well known that it makes a significant difference from which country technology is sourced. Obviously, countries which are technologically advanced offer more knowledge to be absorbed than countries which are technological laggards. We therefore account for an immigrant's origin and thereby test if it matters for a firm's absorptive capacity improvement. As described above, we therefore follow the procedure of Coe and Helpman (1995) and construct an ethnic-weighted measure of international R&D capital stocks (see equation 3). In column (2) the ethnic-weighted measure of international R&D capital stocks is introduced. The coefficient is highly significant and confirms our assumptions that firms benefit from the employment of immigrants through the absorbed international knowledge. Our measure further suggests that employing immigrants from technologically advanced countries increases the benefits to be earned in terms of a higher output with respect to foreign R&D knowledge stocks.

In addition, we assess the impact of the immigrants' education for each educational level separately (columns 3-6). All immigrants from OECD countries, regardless of their educational level, offer a positive markup on the output elasticity of international knowledge vis-à-vis firms without and those with non-OECD immigrants. Again, OECD-immigrants with tertiary education offer the highest benefits. The latter result deserves further investigation, as it suggests, in line with Stoyanov and Zubanov (2012), that immigrants with higher education might play a prominent role in the absorption of knowledge. Our measures so far simply split the sample into groups with basic, secondary and tertiary immigrants, and are therefore a simple count-based weight. To emphasize the differences in accumulated human capital of the education level, we introduce the average duration of education into our measure (see equation 4 for further details).

Therefore, the following Table 10 shows the main results for our preferred measure indicating the extent of a firm's access to international R&D knowledge stocks when employing immigrants, as discussed above. The estimates in column (1) are shown for comparison purposes. Column (2) adds the ethnic-education-weighted foreign R&D capital stock measure (Log $s^{f,ewedu}$) into the base specification. The estimated coefficient associated with Log $s^{f,ewedu}$ is of the expected positive sign and statistically significant at the 1% level. The output elasticity with respect to this measure equals 0.0009. To assess the impact of the different educational level separately, we construct our measure for each of the educational level and introduce them first separately (colums 3-5) and finally together (column 6). Again our previous result that immigrants from all educational levels increase firms absorptive capacity but immigrants with tertiary education offer the highest benefits from absorbing foreign knowledge, is further confirmed.

Finally, employees might not work in an occupational position in accordance with their educational level. In particular, immigrants might suffer from problems with the approval of their foreign education certificates, resulting in lower occupational position (Pohl Nielsen, 2011). Also, the opposite mismatch may be the case. Furthermore, the educational level approximates the human capital at the beginning of one business career neglecting advances in human capital through training on the job. Additionally, the occupation position provides an accurate assessment of the actual employees' activities within the firm which might be a closer approximation of our convention of absorptive capacity. Thus, we construct an ethnic-occupation-position weighted measure (see equation 5). In each column (1) to (6) of table 11 we introduce one of the separate measures for the different occupational levels indicating low-skilled, mid-skilled, high-skilled, managers, and others. With exception of the positions not classified (others), all immigrants add to the output elasticity towards foreign knowledge. The highest contribution is generated by high skilled immigrants without executive functions (0.0016), followed by managers (0.0013), immigrants with low and medium positions (0.0009 and 0.0008, respectively). Interestingly, the impact of managers is rendered insignificant in the fully specified model (column 6). As the correlations between the different measures do not exceed 0.1838, multicollinearity seems not to be the reason for that result. This finding is in accordance with Parrotta and Pozzoli (2012) who emphasize that highly educated technicians are knowledge carriers, and does directly relate back to Arrow's (1969) original idea where both prior technical knowledge and non-technical skills are ingredients for knowledge transmission.

Another notable result of the analysis is the negative sign associated with the ethnic diversity measure which is in line with previous studies. Prior research has shown the negative effect of diversity on firms' economic performance (Parrotta et al., 2014a). The argument is that eth-

nic diversity comes along with costs and benefits for firms' productivity. The negative effect is transmitted through higher communication costs and lower interpersonal trust, whereas the positive effect is transmitted through enhanced innovation activity (Alesina and La Ferrara, 2005). We argue that firms benefit from their increased absorptive capacity to acquire international knowledge - which is in line with a positive impact of ethnic diversity on innovative activity as found by Parrotta et al. (2014b).

In sum, firms employing foreign workers have on average higher gross production levels through their increased absorptive capacity to acquire international R&D knowledge stocks. Furthermore, the higher the share of immigrants from technologically advanced countries and the higher the education or the occupational position of the employed immigrants, the higher their contribution is. This relativises the finding that ethnic diversity exhibits a negative impact in all tested specifications.

5 Robustness Analysis

This section establishes the robustness of the previous results to different sample sizes and among various specifications. The results are shown in Tables 12, 13 and 14. Column (1) of table 12 shows the main results using the definition in equation 4 for the foreign R&D capital stock variable. This specification corresponds to that in column (2), Table 10, and is shown for comparison purposes.

Although we already control through the diversity measure, the firms education and occupation characteristics for the direkt impact of immigrants on output, we add in column (2) a dummy indicating if a firm employs immigrants. As expected, the dummy is insignificant and the coefficient of the ethnic-education-weighted foreign R&D capital stock variable does not change. The results reported in column (3) of Table 12 restrict the analysis to non-exporting firms. This results in the exclusion of 30,941 observations from the base sample. The exclusion of exporters from the base sample alleviates, to some extent, knowledge spillovers triggered for example by export sales. Reassuringly, the estimates are not sensitive to the exclusion of exporters from the estimation sample. In contrast, the estimated coefficient associated with the foreign R&D capital stock variable increases substantially to about 0.0017 and is statistically significant at the 1% level. This result suggests that non-exporters benefit more from foreign workers than exporting firms. One possible reason for the importance of foreigners for non-exporters could be their functioning as possible substitutes to international technology diffusion by export activity, for example, through co-ethnic networks. Furthermore, column (4) maintains the robustness of

the results to the exclusion of multinational firms which might be particularly good in absorbing international knowledge spillovers due to their international structure and could, therefore, drive the main results in our empirical analysis. The estimated coefficient associated with the foreign R&D capital stock variable retains its positive sign and still is highly significant. This suggests that our previous results are not driven by R&D investments of Danish multinational companies abroad. Case studies have shown the importance of technology diffusion for the high-tech pharmaceutical and computer industries and Keller (2004) argues that endogeneity concerns are more pronounced in R&D intense industries (p. 761). Column (5), therefore, assesses the robustness of the results excluding the high-tech chemical (which incorporates the pharmaceutical industry) and computer industry from the base sample. The estimated coefficient associated with Log $s^{f,ewedu}$ remains positive and is statistically significant at the 1% level. Thus, the results are not driven by these industries. As a further robustness check, the results shown in column (6) exclude firms employing foreign workers from non-OECD countries. Therefore, the estimated coefficient on the foreign R&D capital stock variable then indicates the impact on gross production for firms employing foreign workers from OECD countries in comparison to firms employing exclusively Danish workers. This criterion restricts the analysis to 30, 497 observations. However, the estimated coefficient on the foreign R&D capital stock variable is positive and increases substantially to about 0.0014. This estimated impact is statistically significant at the 1% level.⁸

Furthermore, to rule out the possibility that our ethnic based R&D capital stock measure captures knowledge spillovers triggered by trade relationships, column (7) includes an import- and export-weighted foreign R&D capital stock variable into the regression equation. Specifically, the two latter variables are constructed according to $\sum_{c \in T_{it}} \left(\omega_{ict} s_{ct}^f\right)$, where ω_{ic} refers to the bilateral import- and export-share of a firm's i trading partner countries, respectively. In addition, T_{it} is the set of firm i's trading partners in year t. This specification excludes 18,349 observations from the base sample. However, the qualitative results remain unchanged to the inclusion of trade-weighted foreign R&D capital stocks. Interestingly, the positive coefficient associated with the import-weighted R&D capital stock confirms the findings in Coe and Helpman (1995). Regarding the coefficient associated with the export-weighted foreign R&D capital stock measure, the contributions by Clerides et al. (1998) and Bernard and Jensen (1999), for example,

⁸Because data on R&D capital stocks are unequally available across countries, we also restricted the estimation sample to the 2002-2006 time period. The main conclusions of the paper regarding this additional robustness test remain unaffected. These results are available from the authors upon request.

triggered a number of empirical studies investigating the relationship between export-learning and a wide range of firm characteristics, particularly firm productivity. In general, numerous case studies point to a beneficial effect on firms' productivity when exporting (for a survey of the literature see Wagner, 2007). The learning-by-exporting hypothesis points to knowledge flows coming from foreign buyers when firms are engaged in international trade. However, our export-weighted R&D capital stock measure enters with a negative sign into the regression analysis, suggesting that firms' gross production decreases when they export into high-R&D partner countries. This result would be consistent with the notion that firms' technology diffuses to potential competitors abroad, thus, negatively affecting a firm's own economic success.

Potential endogeneity bias could arise from the fact that economically well-performing firms may respond by hiring foreign workers who are likely to be in the preferred position to absorb international knowledge more effectively, therefore, creating an empirical artifact between a firm's gross production and our education-weighted R&D knowledge stock measure. Therefore, we use one-year lagged variables of our R&D knowledge stocks, as they were predetermined, in a way that consistent estimators for the corresponding R&D elasticities can be derived when they appear as exogenous regressors in the regression equation. Table 13 presents results where foreign knowledge stocks are measured in year t-1. Reassuringly, the positive association between firms' gross production and its international R&D knowledge stock measure is maintained and rather robust, as shown in column (1). The regression analysis shown in columns (2) to (5) is dedicated to maintaining our results to the inclusion of lagged international R&D knowledge stocks by education types. The qualitative results remain unaffected. Finally, the results remain robust when we further control for international knowledge spillovers triggered by imports and exports, as shown in column (6).

Yet, it may be that firms with a systematically better management or a superior organizational structure are more apt to hire better workers and at the same time benefit from a higher level of gross output. These firm characteristics are not likely to vary strongly over time. Thus, we address this other source of endogeneity by including firm-fixed effects in our model. The results are given in Table 14. In column (1), the base specification is re-estimated. While the coefficients of the traditional inputs remain positive and significant, two of the control variables (Ethnic diversity, Managers) turn insignificant and the coefficient of the Males dummy changes its sign. These changes remain stable throughout all further estimations in columns (2)-(7). The results of the estimation with our ethnic-education weighted measure are reported in column (2). The coefficient remains significant but is slightly lower than in the previous estimations. In our further estimations differentiating our measure with respect to education, only the variable

for the immigrants with tertiary education remains significant. As a final robustness test, the analysis shown in column (7) includes a recodification dummy which equals one for firms with zero foreign R&D knowledge stocks. Although, the estimated coefficient on this dummy variable is not significant, the estimated coefficient associated with Log $s^{f,ewedu}$ is rather unaffected in magnitude and statistical significance.

6 Conclusion

This paper investigates the question if immigrant employees are an important channel for international knowledge spillovers. Based on Danish firm-level data and aggregated R&D capital stock data for OECD countries, the estimations show that immigrant employees are indeed an important diffusion channel for international knowledge spillovers contributing significantly to firms' output elasticity with respect to foreign knowledge. However, the composition of the foreign staff with respect to origin, education and occupational position has an important impact on the size of the effect on output. The higher the share of immigrants from technologically advanced countries and the higher their educational level (or occupational position), the larger the impact on a firm's output elasticity of foreign knowledge is. However, the only exception are immigrant managers for whom we do not find such a significant effect. Through a large number of checks, the robustness of the results is confirmed. Among these checks, a measure of workforce ethnic diversity is included as a control variable and reveals a negative direct impact of workforce ethnic diversity on firms' gross production. Thus, the positive impact of an ethnicdiverse labour force for firms' capability to access international knowledge via culture, language and social networks might on the other hand increase communication costs and cause a lack of interpersonal trust resulting simultaneously in a negative impact on firms' output. However, an assessment of costs and benefits of ethnic diversity in an unified framework is beyond the scope of the paper and has to be left to future research.

References

- [1] Agrawal, A., Kapur, D., and McHale, J. (2008). 'How Do Spatial and Social Proximity Influence Knowledge Flows? Evidence from Patent Data', *Journal of Urban Economics*, Vol. 64, pp. 258 269.
- [2] Alesina, A., and Ferrara, E. (2005). 'Ethnic Diversity and Economic Performance', Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. 43(3), pp. 762 800.
- [3] Andersen, A. K. (2002). 'Are Commuting Areas Relevant for the Delimitation of Administrative Regions in Denmark?', Regional Studies, Vol. 36(8), pp. 833 — 844.
- [4] Ang, J. B., Madsen, J. B., and Islam, M. R. (2011). 'The Effects of Human Capital Composition on Technological Convergence', *Journal of Macroeconomics*, Vol. 33, pp. 465 — 476.
- [5] Arrow, K. (1969). 'Classificatory Notes on the Production and Transmission of Technological Knowledge', American Economic Review, Vol. 59(2), pp. 29 -- 35.
- [6] Balsvik, R. (2011). 'Is Labor Mobility a Channel for Spillovers from Multinationals?', Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 93(1), pp. 285-297.
- [7] Bernard, A., and Jensen, B. (1999). 'Exceptional Exporter Performance: Cause, Effect, or Both?', Journal of International Economics, Vol. 47(1), pp. 1 – 25.
- [8] Berndt, E. R. and Fuss M. A. (1986). 'Productivity Measurement with Adjustments for Variations in Capacity Utilization and Other Forms of Temporary Equilibrium?', in: *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 33, No. 1-2, pp. 7-29.
- [9] Burnside, A. C., Eichenbaum, M. S. and Rebelo, S. T. (1996). 'Sectoral Solow Residuals', in: European Economic Review, Vol. 40, pp. 861-869.
- [10] Clerides, S., Lach, S., and Tybout, J. (1998). 'Is Learning by Exporting Important? Microdynamic Evidence from Colombia, Mexico, and Morocco', The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 113(3), pp. 903 – 948.
- [11] Coe, D. T., and Helpman, E. (1995). 'International R&D Spillovers', European Economic Review, Vol. 39, pp. 859 887.
- [12] Coe, D. T., and Hoffmaister, A. W. (1999). 'Are there International R&D Spillovers among Randomly Matched Trade Partners? A Response to Keller', IMF Working Paper, WP99/18.
- [13] Cohen, W. M., and Levinthal, D. A. (1989). 'Innovation and Learning: The two Faces of R&D', The Economic Journal, Vol. 99(397), pp. 569 596.
- [14] Cohen, W. M., and Levinthal, D. A. (1990). 'Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective on Learning and Innovation', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 35, pp. 128 152.
- [15] Cohen, W. M., and Levinthal, D. A. (1994). 'Fortune Favors the Prepared Firm', Management Science, Vol. 40(2), pp. 227 251.
- [16] Coleman, J. (1988). 'Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital', American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 94, pp. 95 – 120.
- [17] Dilling-Hansen, M., Madsen, E. S. and Smith, V. (2003). 'Efficiency, R&D and Ownership Some Empirical Evidence', International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 83, pp. 85 – 94.
- [18] Fracasso, A., and Marzetti, G. V. (2014). 'International R&D Spillovers, Absorptive Capacity and Relative Backwardness: A Panel Smooth Transition Regression Model', *International Economic Journal*, Vol. 28(1), pp. 137 – 160.

- [19] Görg, H., and Strobl, E. (2005). 'Spillovers from Foreign Firms through Worker Mobility: An Empirical Investigation', Scandinavian Journal of Economics, Vol. 107(4), 693 709.
- [20] Griffith, R., Redding, S. and Van Reenen, J. (2004). 'Mapping the Two Faces of R&D: Productivity Growth in a Panel of OECD Industries', The Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 86(4), pp. 883 --895.
- [21] Griliches, Z., and Hausman, J. A. (1986). 'Errors in variables in panel data', Journal of Econometrics, Vol. 31(1), pp. 93 118.
- [22] Hall, B. H., and Mairesse, J. (1995). 'Exploring the Relationship between R&D and Productivity in French Manufacturing Firms', *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 65, pp. 263 -- 293.
- [23] Hall, B. H., Mairesse, J., and Mohnen, P. (2010). 'Measuring the Returns to R&D', in: B. H. Hall and N. Rosenberg (eds.): Handbook of the Economics of Innovation, Vol. 2, pp. 1033 -- 1082.
- [24] Hulten, C. R. (1986). 'Productivity Change, Capacity Utilization, and the Sources of Efficiency Growth', in: Journal of Econometrics, Vol. 33, No. 1-2, pp. 31-50.
- [25] Hulten, C. R. (1991). 'The Measurement of Capital', in: E. R. Berndt und J. E. Triplett (eds.): Fifty Years of Economic Measurement: The Jubilee of the Conference on Research in Income and Wealth, NBER Studies in Income and Wealth, Vol. 54, Chicago, pp. 119 158.
- [26] Hulten, C. R. (2001). 'Total Factor Productivity. A Short Biography', in: C. R. Hulten, E. R. Dean and M. J. Harper (eds.): New Developments in Productivity Analysis, NBER Studies in Income and Wealth, Vol. 63, Chicago, pp. 1 – 54.
- [27] **Isphording, I.E. and Otten, S. (2013**. 'The Costs of Babylon—Linguistic Distance in Applied Economics', in: *Review of International Economics*, Vol. 21(2), pp. 354 369.
- [28] Kalnins, A. and Chung, W. (2006). 'Social Capital, Geography, and Survival: Gujarati Immigrant Entrepreneurs in the US lodging Industry', *Management Science*, Vol. 52(2), pp. 233 247.
- [29] **Kapur, D. (2001)**. 'Diasporas and Technology Transfer', *Journal of Human Development*, Vol. 2(2), pp. 265 286.
- [30] Keller, W. (1998). 'Are international R&D spillovers trade-related? Analyzing spillovers among randomly matched trade partners,', European Economic Review, Vol. 42(8), pp. 1469 1481.
- [31] **Keller, W. (2002)**. 'Geographic Localization of International Technology Diffusion,', American Economic Review, Vol. 92(1), pp. 120 142.
- [32] **Keller, W. (2004)**. 'International Technology Diffusion', *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 42(3), pp. 752 782.
- [33] Kerr, W. (2008). 'Ethnic Scientific Communities and International Knowledge Diffusion', Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 90(3), pp. 518 537.
- [34] Kneller, R. (2005). 'Frontier Technology, Absorptive Capacity and Distance', Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. Vol. 67(1), pp. 1 23.
- [35] Kneller, R., and Stevens, P. A. (2006). 'Frontier Technology and Absorptive Capacity: Evidence From OECD Manufacturing Industries', Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. Vol. 68(1), pp. 1 21.
- [36] Malchow-Møller, N., Munch, J. R., and Skaksen, J. R. (2011). 'Do Foreign Experts Increase the Productivity of Domestic Firms?', *IZA DP No. 6001*.

- [37] Mancusi, M. L. (2008). 'International Spillovers and Absorptive Capacity: A Cross-Country Cross-Sector Analysis Based on Patents and Citations', *Journal of International Economics*, Vol. 76, pp. 155 165.
- [38] Markusen, J. R., and Trofimenko, N. (2009). 'Teaching Locals New Tricks: Foreign Experts as a Channel of Knowledge Transfers', Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 88, pp. 120 - 131.
- [39] Melitz, J., and Toubal, F. (2013). 'Native Language, Spoken Language, Translation and Trade', Journal of International Economics, Vol. 93, pp. 351 -- 363.
- [40] Parrotta, P. and Pozzoli, D. (2012). 'The Effect of Learning by Hiring on Productivity', Rand Journal of Economics, Vol. 43(1), pp. 167 -- 185.
- [41] Parrotta, P., Pozzoli, D., and Pytlikova, M. (2014a). 'Labor Diversity and Firm Productivity', European Economic Review, Vol. 66, pp. 144 -- 179.
- [42] Parrotta, P., Pozzoli, D. and Pytlikova, M. (2014b). 'The Nexus between Labor Diversity and Firm Innovation', Journal of Population Economics, Vol. 27(2), pp. 303 364.
- [43] **Peri, G., and Requena-Silvente, F. (2010)**. 'The Trade Creation Effect of Immigrants: Evidence From the Remarkable Case of Spain,' *Canadian Journal of Economics*, Vol. 43(3), 1433 1459.
- [44] Pohl Nielsen, C. (2011). 'Immigrant over-education: evidence from Denmark,' Journal of Population Economics, Vol. 24, pp. 499 520.
- [45] Poldahl, A. (2012). 'The Two Faces of R&D: Does Firm Absorptive Capacity Matter?', Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade, Vol. 12, pp. 221 237.
- [46] **Poole, J. P. (2013)**. 'Knowledge Transfers From Multinational to Domestic Firms: Evidence From Worker Mobility', *Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 95(2), pp. 393 406.
- [47] Rauch, J. (2001). 'Business and Social Networks in International Trade', Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. 39(4), pp. 1177 1203.
- [48] Sena, V., and Anon Higon, D. (2014). 'Productivity, R&D Spillovers and Educational Attainment', Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 76(1), pp. 1 23.
- [49] Smith, V., Dilling-Hansen, M., Eriksson, T. and Madsen, E. S. (2004). 'R&D and Productivity in Danish Firms: Some Empirical Evidence', *Applied Economics*, Vol. 36, pp. 1797 1806.
- [50] Sorenson, O., Rivkin, J. W., and Fleming, L. (2006). 'Complexity, Networks and Knowledge Flow', Research Policy, Vol. 35, pp. 994 – 1017.
- [51] Stoyanov, A., and Zubanov, N. (2012). 'Productivity Spillovers Across Firms through Worker Mobility', American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, Vol. 4, pp. 168 – 198.
- [52] Wagner, J. (2007). 'Exports and Productivity: A Survey of the Evidence from Firm-level Data', *The World Economy*, Vol. 30(1), pp. 60 82.

A Data Description

 ${\bf Table~1:~Summary~Statistics~and~Data~Description~for~the~Main~Variables}$

Foreigners Lewer Secondary Foreign workers with lower secondary oducation, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0001 0.0001 0.0000 0.0000	Variable	Description	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.
Foreigners Lower Secondary Foreign workers with lower secondary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	Foreigners Pre-Primary	Foreign workers with pre-primary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. $\\$	0.0374	0.0673	0.0000	1.0000
Foreigners Poreign workers with upper secondary clustation, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.001 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	Foreigners Primary	Foreign workers with primary education, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.0093	0.0297	0.0000	1.0000
Foreigners Post-Secondary Foreign workers with post-secondary/non-tertiary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. Foreigners Short-Cycle Tertiary physed. Foreigners Bachebre Foreign workers with short-cycle tertiary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. Foreigners Bachebre Foreign workers with Short-cycle tertiary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. Foreigners Bachebre Foreign workers with Short-cycle tertiary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. Foreigners Bachebre Foreign workers with Short-cycle tertiary education, as a proportion of total workers employed. Foreigners Dactoral Foreign workers with Short-cycle degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed. Males Men, as a proportion of total workers employed. Men as a group of the standard of total workers employed. Men as a group of total workers again the workers again San as proportion of total workers employed. Men as a group of total workers again the workers again the workers employed. Men as a proportion of total workers employed. Men as a group of total workers again the workers again the workers employed. Men as a proportion of total workers with high skilled occupations according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of 0.507 0.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1	Foreigners Lower Secondary	Foreign workers with lower secondary education, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.0005	0.0042	0.0000	0.1250
Exerciptors Short-Cycle Tertiany Foreign workers with short-cycle tertiany education, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0027 0.0020 0.0000 1.0	Foreigners Upper Secondary	Foreign workers with upper secondary education, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.0001	0.0016	0.0000	0.0909
Polyce Provigers Bachelor Foreign workers with Bachelor degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0001 0.000 0.000 0.020	Foreigners Post-Secondary		0.0078	0.0206	0.0000	0.5000
Foreigners Master Foreign workers with Master degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed. Foreigners Doctoral Foreigners Doctoral Foreigners Doctoral Foreigners Doctoral Foreigners Doctoral Foreigners workers with Doctoral degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed. Males Men, as a proportion of total workers employed. Males Workers aged between 15 and 28, as a proportion of total workers employed. Age-20_38 Workers aged between 29 and 38, as a proportion of total workers employed. Males Workers aged between 39 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. Males Workers aged between 39 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. Males Workers aged between 49 and 65, as a proportion of total workers employed. Morkers with low-akilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of 0.505 0.1821 0.0000 1.	Foreigners Short-Cycle Tertiary		0.0027	0.0127	0.0000	1.0000
Prosigners Doctoral Prosigners workers with Doctoral degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0,0001 0,0007 0,0000 1,0000 1,0000 Age15.28 Workers aged between 15 and 28, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0,1851 0,130 0,0000 1,0000 1,0000 Age25.28 Workers aged between 15 and 28, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0,255 0,1299 0,0000 1,0000 1,0000 Age25.28 Workers aged between 39 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0,255 0,1299 0,0000 1	Foreigners Bachelor	Foreign workers with Bachelor degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.0001	0.0018	0.0000	0.0909
Males Men, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.7347 0.2079 0.0000 1.0000 1.0000 Age 15.28 Workers aged between 15 and 28, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1851 0.1430 0.0000 1.0000 1.0000 Age 29.288 Workers aged between 29 and 38, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2565 0.1299 0.0000 1.0000 1.0000 Age 39.248 Workers aged between 49 and 45, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2500 0.1172 0.0000 1.0000	Foreigners Master	Foreign workers with Master degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.0001	0.0020	0.0000	0.1250
Age 15-28 Workers aged between 15 and 28, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1851 0.1430 0.0000 1.0000 Age 29-28 Workers aged between 29 and 38, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2565 0.1209 0.0000 1.0000 Age 39-18 Workers aged between 39 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2773 0.1472 0.0000 1.0000 Age 49-25 Workers with low-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1505 0.1821 0.0000 1.0000 Low-Skilled Workers with mid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2977 0.1302 0.0000 1.0000 High-Skilled Workers with mid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1277 0.1302 0.0000 1.0000 High-Skilled Workers with indeptited occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0000 0.1277 0.1302 0.0000 1.0000 High-Skilled Workers with indeptited firm so foreign to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 1.0000 0.0000 <td>Foreigners Doctoral</td> <td>Foreign workers with Doctoral degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed.</td> <td>0.0001</td> <td>0.0017</td> <td>0.0000</td> <td>0.1250</td>	Foreigners Doctoral	Foreign workers with Doctoral degree eduction, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.0001	0.0017	0.0000	0.1250
Age/29_38 Workers aged between 29 and 38, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2565 0.1209 0.0000 1.0000 Age/39_48 Workers aged between 49 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2600 0.1172 0.0000 1.0000 Age/39_55 Workers saged between 49 and 65, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2773 0.1472 0.0000 1.0000 Low-Skilled Workers with low-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1505 0.1821 0.0000 1.0000 Mid-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7881 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 3.8471 Log FKR&D Basic Education-weig	Males	Men, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.7347	0.2079	0.0000	1.0000
Age/29_38 Workers aged between 29 and 38, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2565 0.1209 0.0000 1.0000 Age/39_48 Workers aged between 49 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2600 0.1172 0.0000 1.0000 Age/39_55 Workers saged between 49 and 65, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2773 0.1472 0.0000 1.0000 Low-Skilled Workers with low-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1505 0.1821 0.0000 1.0000 Mid-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.052 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7811 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 3.8471 Log FKR&D Basic Education-weigh	Age15_28	Workers aged between 15 and 28, as a proportion of total workers employed.	0.1851	0.1430	0.0000	1.0000
Age39.48 Workers aged between 39 and 48, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2600 0.1172 0.0000 1.0000 Age49.55 Workers aged between 49 and 65, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2773 0.1472 0.0000 1.0000 Low-Skilled Workers with low-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.5997 0.2230 0.0000 1.0000 Mid-Skilled Workers with hid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Log FKR&D Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 1.5006 0.4341 12.2213 0.0000 30.934	_					
Age 19_55 Workers aged between 49 and 65, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.2773 0.1472 0.0000 1.0000 Low-Skilled Workers with low-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1505 0.1821 0.0000 1.0000 Mid-Skilled Workers with mid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.5997 0.2230 0.0000 1.0000 High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 Log Temme The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.506 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 1.506 0.4750 0.0000 2.4781 Log FKR&D Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.9684 Log FKR&D	_					
Low-Skilled Workers with low-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1505 0.1821 0.0000 1.0000 Mid-Skilled Workers with mid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.5997 0.2230 0.0000 1.0000 High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.1277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 3.8471 Log FKR&D Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.968 Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic 7.3437 11.1182 0.0000 30.9288						
total workers employed. Mid-Skilled Workers with mid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of 0.5997 0.2230 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of 0.1277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 of total workers employed. Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 0.27081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 Log FKR&D Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.9384 member countries. Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic 7.3437 11.1182 0.0000 30.1667 education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary 1.6838 6.3270 0.0000 30.9288 ondary education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's interimediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise.						
Mid-Skilled Workers with mid-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of 0.5997 0.2230 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion 0.1277 0.1302 0.0000 1.0000 of total workers employed. Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 1.0000 0.000	201 Olimou		0.1000	0.1021	0.0000	1.0000
total workers employed. High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion 0.1277 0.1392 0.0000 1.0000 of total workers employed. Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 1.0000 0.00	Mid-Skilled	• •	0.5997	0.2230	0.0000	1 0000
High-Skilled Workers with high-skilled occupation according to the definition of ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 0.0000 0	Wild-Dkilled		0.0001	0.2200	0.0000	1.0000
Managers Managers, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of 0.0562 0.0720 0.0000 1.0000 total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 Log FKR&D Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.9934 member countries. Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic 7.3437 11.1182 0.0000 30.1667 education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise.	High Chilled		0.1977	0.1202	0.0000	1 0000
Managers according to Statistics Denmark's definitions based on ISCO, as a proportion of total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 0.0000 0.	riigii-3kiiled		0.1211	0.1392	0.0000	1.0000
total workers employed. Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.7081 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 Log FKR&D Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.9934 member countries. Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic 7.3437 11.1182 0.0000 30.1667 education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary 1.6838 6.3270 0.0000 30.9934 education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Capital The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	M		0.0569	0.0720	0.0000	1 0000
Log Tenure The log of average firm tenure (in years). 1.5006 0.4750 0.0000 2.781 Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 1.0000 1.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	wanagers		0.0502	0.0720	0.0000	1.0000
Ethnic Diversity Ethnic worker diversity index, averaged across work places. 0.0990 0.1244 0.0000 0.8471 Log FKR&D Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.9934 member countries. Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fultime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise.	I . M		1 5000	0.4750	0.0000	0.7001
Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers from OECD 9.4034 12.2213 0.0000 30.9934 member countries. Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic 7.3437 11.1182 0.0000 30.1667 education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 12.8186 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.900 12.90	_					
member countries. Log FKR&D Basic Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with basic 7.3437 11.1182 0.0000 30.1667 education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with secondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary 1.6838 6.3270 0.0000 30.9934 education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	, and the second					
education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Secondary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with sec- ondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Log FKR&D		9.4034	12.2213	0.0000	30.9934
ondary education from OECD member countries. Log FKR&D Tertiary Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary 1.6838 6.3270 0.0000 30.9934 education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise.	Log FKR&D Basic	-	7.3437	11.1182	0.0000	30.1667
education from OECD member countries. Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise.	Log FKR&D Secondary		3.3184	8.6936	0.0000	30.9288
Log Gross Production The log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). 17.3068 1.2960 12.8186 24.0804 Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Log FKR&D Tertiary	. Education-weighted firm's foreign R&D capital stock based on foreign workers with tertiary	1.6838	6.3270	0.0000	30.9934
Log Materials The log of firm's intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) 16.2975 1.5165 6.9078 23.7692 used in the production process (in DKK). Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	Log Cross Production		17 2068	1 2060	19.8186	24.0804
Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000						
Log Capital The log of firm's total assets (in DKK). 15.7992 1.6970 6.9078 24.0446 Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	Log Materials		10.2975	1.5105	0.9076	23.7092
Log Labour The log of firm's fulltime equivalent workers. 3.4633 1.0706 0.0000 9.4122 Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	Log Capital		15 7000	1 6070	6 0070	24 0446
Multinational Takes value 1, if the firm is foreign owned. 0.0022 0.0469 0.0000 1.0000 Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	~ -					
Exporter Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise. 0.7578 0.4284 0.0000 1.0000	_					
Observations	Exporter	Takes value 1, if the firm exports and zero otherwise.	0.7578	0.4284	0.0000	1.0000
	Observations			409	328	

Notes: Summary statistics are constructed for all manufacturing firms for the time period 1999 to 2009. The industrial sectors utilized in the empirical analysis are as follows: basic metals; beverages; chemicals and chemical products; coke and refined petroleum products; electrical equipment; fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment; food products; furniture; leather and related products; machinery and equipment n.e.c.; motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers; other non-metallic mineral products; other transport equipment; paper and paper products; rubber and plastic products; textiles; tobacco products; wearing apparel; wood products; other manufacturing; printing and reproduction of recorded media; repair and installation of machinery and equipment;

B Construction of the foreign R&D capital stock

Data for the construction of R&D capital stocks in 27 countries⁹ is provided by the OECD's Analytical Business Enterprise Research and Development (ANBERD) database. Data on R&D expenditures were first deflated by a country-specific value added price deflator (VALP) provided by the OECD Structural Analysis (STAN) database and then converted into constant 2000 US-Dollar.

The construction of the R&D capital stock for each country is then carried out following the Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM).¹⁰ Specifically, the R&D capital stock evolves according to the following equation:

$$KR\&D_{ct} = (1 - \delta)KR\&D_{ct-1} + R\&D_{ct},$$
(6)

where $KR\&D_{ct}$ is the R&D capital stock of country c in period t and $R\&D_{ct}$ is the flow of real R&D expenditures of country c in period t. Two apply this equation to data on real R&D expenditures, two crucial decisions with respect to the depreciation rate δ and the initial capital stock must be set, respectively. The depreciation rate is assumed to be 10%, the same across countries, and constant over time. Furthermore, assuming a constant country-specific growth rate of g_c for the R&D capital stock before period t = 1, the value for the initial R&D capital stock is computed according to the following expression:

$$KR\&D_{c1} = (1 - \delta)R\&D_{c-1} + (1 - \delta)^{2}R\&D_{c-2} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 - \delta)^{s}R\&D_{c-s} = R\&D_{c0} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1 - \delta}{1 + g_{c}}\right]^{s} = \frac{R\&D_{c0}}{\delta + g_{c}}.$$
(7)

In contrast to other studies, which assume a growth rate (e.g. Hall and Mairesse, 1995) in this study g_c is computed using an average geometric growth rate in years for which data on R&D expenditures is available. Summary statistics on the R&D capital stocks of the different countries is given in Table 4.

⁹See Table 4 for the countries employed in the empirical analysis.

¹⁰Hulten (1991) provides an extensive discussion of the PIM for the measurement and construction of physical and human capital stocks.

C Descriptive Statistics

Table 2: Summary	Charleston C.	 337 1	12	. T. 1	T 1

		Obs.	Mean	Basic Educ Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.	Obs.	Mean	ondary Ed Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.	Obs.	Mean	ertiary Edu Median	S.D.	Min.	_
AUS													wax.	ODS.	wican		O.D.	Min.	Max.
	overall	41.000	0.005	0.002	0.011	0.000	0.056	80.000	0.018	0.007	0.026	0.000	0.100	37.000	0.005	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.033
	between	10.000			0.016	0.000	0.053	28.000			0.023	0.000	0.074	9.000			0.011	0.000	0.033
	within	4.100			0.001	0.002	0.010	2.857			0.005	-0.001	0.044	4.111			0.000	0.004	0.006
AUT																			
	overall	64.000	0.013	0.003	0.020	0.000	0.083	170.000	0.014	0.006	0.018	0.000	0.083	94.000	0.007	0.002	0.014	0.000	0.077
	between	18.000			0.017	0.000	0.074	39.000			0.018	0.000	0.083	26.000			0.020	0.000	0.077
	within	3.556			0.002	0.005	0.022	4.359			0.002	0.003	0.025	3.615			0.001	0.000	0.011
BEL																			
	overall	16.000	0.007	0.001	0.018	0.000	0.071	57.000	0.009	0.008	0.008	0.000	0.029	11.000	0.008	0.007	0.009	0.000	0.030
	between	5.000			0.031	0.000	0.071	22.000			0.008	0.000	0.026	7.000			0.011	0.000	0.030
	within	3.200			0.000	0.006	0.007	2.591			0.002	0.004	0.016	1.571			0.000	0.007	0.009
CAN																			
	overall	74.000	0.008	0.003	0.010	0.000	0.037	155.000	0.011	0.006	0.020	0.000	0.200	52.000	0.004	0.001	0.006	0.000	0.031
	between	24.000			0.011	0.000	0.037	41.000			0.026	0.000	0.114	16.000			0.009	0.000	0.031
	within	3.083			0.001	0.002	0.014	3.780			0.010	-0.075	0.097	3.250			0.001	0.002	0.007
CZE	overall	3.000	0.005	0.005	0.001	0.004	0.005	7.000	0.030	0.002	0.036	0.002	0.083	18.000	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.015
	overall between	2.000	0.005	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.005	2.000	0.030	0.002	0.036	0.002	0.083	6.000	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.015
	within	1.500			0.001	0.004	0.005	3,500			0.047	0.002	0.008	3,000			0.003	0.000	0.003
DEU	within	1.500			0.000	0.004	0.003	3.300			0.008	0.021	0.040	3.000			0.000	0.002	0.000
	overall	989.000	0.014	0.007	0.017	0.000	0.143	2628.000	0.021	0.010	0.030	0.000	0.500	1084.000	0.015	0.005	0.026	0.000	0.333
	between	285.000	0.011	0.001	0.021	0.000	0.134	634.000	0.021	0.010	0.025	0.000	0.161	265.000	0.010	0.000	0.021	0.000	0.125
	within	3.470			0.003	-0.005	0.042	4.145			0.012	-0.099	0.360	4.091			0.013	-0.041	0.280
DNK							*****				0.022						0.020		
	overall	39764.000	0.314	0.300	0.151	0.012	1.000	40629.000	0.543	0.538	0.171	0.028	1.000	31338.000	0.124	0.096	0.101	0.007	1.000
	between	4770.000			0.142	0.026	1.000	4778.000			0.161	0.063	1.000	4313.000			0.088	0.010	1.000
	within	8.336			0.067	-0.140	1.005	8.503			0.070	0.117	1.265	7.266			0.048	-0.374	0.971
ESP																			
	overall	54.000	0.008	0.003	0.014	0.000	0.077	87.000	0.008	0.003	0.013	0.000	0.077	108.000	0.011	0.003	0.022	0.000	0.125
	between	21.000			0.020	0.000	0.077	25.000			0.017	0.000	0.077	33.000			0.016	0.000	0.084
	within	2.571			0.000	0.007	0.010	3.480			0.001	0.004	0.015	3.273			0.006	-0.017	0.052
EST																			
	overall	5.000	0.031	0.024	0.025	0.000	0.067	24.000	0.010	0.002	0.017	0.000	0.048	28.000	0.010	0.001	0.022	0.000	0.091
	between	3.000			0.027	0.000	0.053	9.000			0.018	0.000	0.044	11.000			0.025	0.000	0.084
	within	1.667			0.009	0.017	0.044	2.667			0.002	0.004	0.017	2.545			0.002	0.003	0.017
FIN																			
	overall	116.000	0.006	0.001	0.013	0.000	0.077	225.000	0.008	0.004	0.013	0.000	0.077	153.000	0.010	0.003	0.015	0.000	0.077
	between	37.000			0.016	0.000	0.074	68.000			0.015	0.000	0.077	40.000			0.015	0.000	0.068
	within	3.135			0.001	0.003	0.013	3.309			0.002	0.000	0.024	3.825			0.004	-0.007	0.033
FRA	overall	45.000	0.018	0.008	0.024	0.000	0.105	177.000	0.015	0.004	0.021	0.000	0.100	173.000	0.012	0.004	0.025	0.000	0.200
	between	45.000 18.000	0.018	0.008	0.024	0.000	0.105	59.000	0.013	0.004	0.021	0.000	0.100	50.000	0.012	0.004	0.025	0.000	0.200
	within	2.500			0.019	-0.015	0.054	3.000			0.021	0.000	0.035	3.460			0.022	-0.059	0.133
GBR	WIGHIN	2.000			0.003	-0.010	0.004	3.000			0.000	0.002	0.000	0.400			0.010	-0.003	0.019
	overall	239.000	0.012	0.003	0.019	0.000	0.167	702.000	0.015	0.007	0.020	0.000	0.167	316.000	0.013	0.003	0.022	0.000	0.143
	between	95.000	0.012	0.000	0.022	0.000	0.125	218.000	5.010	0.001	0.019	0.000	0.113	82.000	0.010	0.000	0.022	0.000	0.113
	within	2.516			0.005	-0.038	0.062	3.220			0.005	-0.014	0.090	3.854			0.008	-0.036	0.083
GRC						. 000		5.220											
	overall	12.000	0.016	0.018	0.012	0.002	0.034	80.000	0.009	0.004	0.016	0.000	0.091	34.000	0.006	0.003	0.010	0.000	0.032
	between	4.000			0.011	0.002	0.025	18.000			0.024	0.000	0.087	9.000			0.010	0.000	0.031
	within	3.000			0.004	0.007	0.025	4.444			0.002	0.000	0.018	3.778			0.000	0.004	0.007
HUN																			
	overall	96.000	0.014	0.005	0.017	0.000	0.053	216.000	0.011	0.004	0.019	0.000	0.091	88.000	0.011	0.003	0.017	0.000	0.100
																			0.000
	between	35.000			0.014	0.000	0.049	54.000			0.021	0.000	0.088	30.000			0.013	0.000	0.069

Notes: Summary statistics are constructed for all manufacturing firms during the time period 1999 to 2009. Obs. refers to the number of observations across firms and years for each country of origin.

Table 2: Continued

				Basic Edu	ication		_		Se	condary E	ducation		_		ТТ	ertiary Ed	ucation		_
Country Code		Obs.	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.	Obs.	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.	Obs.	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	M
ISL																			
	overall	187.000	0.014	0.006	0.021	0.000	0.111	403.000	0.015	0.006	0.021	0.000	0.167	422.000	0.014	0.004	0.035	0.000	0.
	between	85.000			0.021	0.000	0.100	127.000			0.021	0.000	0.102	138.000			0.027	0.000	0.
	within	2.200			0.005	-0.014	0.053	3.173			0.006	-0.015	0.080	3.058			0.006	-0.032	0
ISR	***************************************	2.200			0.000	0.011	0.000	0.110			0.000	0.010	0.000	0.000			0.000	0.002	
1510	overall	29.000	0.018	0.014	0.020	0.001	0.077	97.000	0.009	0.004	0.013	0.000	0.067	74.000	0.012	0.006	0.012	0.000	0
			0.018	0.014	0.020	0.001	0.065		0.003	0.004	0.015				0.012	0.000	0.012	0.000	0
	between	13.000						38.000				0.000	0.067	20.000					
	within	2.231			0.004	0.003	0.030	2.553			0.003	-0.009	0.032	3.700			0.003	0.005	C
ITA																			
	overall	44.000	0.027	0.007	0.033	0.001	0.111	136.000	0.010	0.004	0.013	0.000	0.063	68.000	0.007	0.001	0.014	0.000	(
	between	24.000			0.027	0.001	0.081	34.000			0.012	0.000	0.047	29.000			0.015	0.000	(
	within	1.833			0.008	0.002	0.057	4.000			0.002	0.001	0.027	2.345			0.001	0.002	0
JPN																			
	overall	17.000	0.015	0.009	0.015	0.000	0.050	46.000	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.000	0.056	39.000	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.000	(
	between	10.000			0.013	0.000	0.044	13.000			0.018	0.000	0.056	13.000			0.013	0.000	(
	within	1.700			0.002	0.009	0.021	3.538			0.003	0.004	0.020	3.000			0.001	0.007	(
KOR																			
	overall	15.000	0.016	0.014	0.017	0.000	0.059	28.000	0.014	0.010	0.013	0.000	0.034	13.000	0.012	0.001	0.023	0.000	(
	between	7.000	0.020	0.022	0.019	0.000	0.052	9.000		0.020	0.012	0.000	0.029	5.000			0.026	0.000	(
	within	2.143			0.003	0.009	0.002	3.111			0.004	0.003	0.023	2.600			0.002	0.006	(
MEX	WIGHIN	2.140			0.003	0.003	0.023	3.111			0.004	0.003	0.022	2.000			0.002	0.000	,
MEA	.,,	2.000	0.010	0.014	0.000	0.010	0.014	10.000	0.001	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.050	05.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	,
	overall	3.000	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.010	0.014	19.000	0.021	0.019	0.019	0.000	0.059	25.000	0.005	0.003	0.006	0.000	(
	between	2.000			0.003	0.010	0.014	9.000			0.019	0.000	0.056	10.000			0.005	0.000	(
	within	1.500			0.000	0.012	0.013	2.111			0.002	0.018	0.024	2.500			0.000	0.004	(
NLD																			
	overall	141.000	0.021	0.005	0.055	0.000	0.500	279.000	0.010	0.004	0.015	0.000	0.091	138.000	0.008	0.003	0.014	0.000	(
	between	62.000			0.038	0.000	0.265	75.000			0.018	0.000	0.081	42.000			0.021	0.000	(
	within	2.274			0.024	-0.119	0.256	3.720			0.003	-0.007	0.026	3.286			0.001	0.005	(
NOR																			
	overall	436.000	0.017	0.006	0.024	0.000	0.125	860.000	0.016	0.008	0.020	0.000	0.167	582.000	0.013	0.005	0.016	0.000	(
	between	151.000			0.025	0.000	0.125	243.000			0.020	0.000	0.122	169.000			0.017	0.000	0
	within	2.887			0.004	-0.001	0.055	3.539			0.005	-0.029	0.062	3.444			0.004	-0.008	C
POL												****		*****			0.002	0.000	
TOL	overall	720.000	0.018	0.007	0.026	0.000	0.214	1053.000	0.016	0.006	0.024	0.000	0.167	670.000	0.016	0.006	0.043	0.000	1
	between	273.000	0.018	0.007	0.026	0.000	0.214	286.000	0.010	0.000	0.024	0.000	0.159	204.000	0.010	0.000	0.043	0.000	(
	within				0.026			3.682			0.024								
	witnin	2.637			0.007	-0.053	0.111	3.082			0.004	-0.006	0.079	3.284			0.027	-0.468	C
PRT																			
	overall	27.000	0.013	0.001	0.017	0.000	0.059	84.000	0.010	0.002	0.020	0.000	0.111	33.000	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.000	(
	between	4.000			0.016	0.000	0.032	21.000			0.028	0.000	0.111	7.000			0.006	0.000	(
	within	6.750			0.006	0.004	0.040	4.000			0.002	0.005	0.019	4.714			0.001	0.001	(
SVK																			
	overall							1.000	0.001	0.001		0.001	0.001	9.000	0.006	0.001	0.015	0.000	(
	between							1.000				0.001	0.001	5.000			0.020	0.000	(
	within							1.000				0.001	0.001	1.800			0.000	0.005	0
SVN																			
•	overall	1.000	0.040	0.040		0.040	0.040	6.000	0.007	0.004	0.008	0.003	0.023	7.000	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.002	0
	between	1.000	. 0.20			0.040	0.040	2.000			0.013	0.004	0.023	2.000			0.002	0.002	C
	within	1.000				0.040	0.040	3,000			0.013	0.004	0.023	3,500			0.002	0.002	(
TICA	WIGHIN	1.000				0.040	0.040	3.000			0.001	0.000	0.003	3.500			0.000	0.003	,
USA	"	05 000	0.000	0.001	0.015	0.000	0.100	007.000	0.010	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.111	010.000	0.016	0.003	0.000	0.000	
	overall	95.000	0.009	0.004	0.015	0.000	0.100	207.000	0.013	0.002	0.021	0.000	0.111	218.000	0.012	0.002	0.022	0.000	0
	between	38.000			0.019	0.000	0.100	73.000			0.020	0.000	0.096	64.000			0.018	0.000	0
	within	2.500			0.002	0.004	0.016	2.836			0.004	-0.003	0.036	3.406			0.004	-0.007	0

Notes: Summary statistics are constructed for all manufacturing firms during the time period 1999 to 2009. Obs. refers to the number of observations across firms and years for each country of origin.

 Table 3: Summary Statistics for Firms Employing Foreign Workers According to their Economic Performance

			Basi	ic Educati	on			Second	lary Educ	ation			Tertia	ary Educa	tion	
Gross Production Deciles	Labour Size	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.
First	12.4541	4.4799	0.7407	8.8201	0	79.3355	0.6685	0.0000	2.2207	0	24.5671	0.1601	0.0000	0.9994	0	11.9683
Second	14.3312	4.4422	1.2527	7.3119	0	71.9569	0.8795	0.0000	2.4311	0	19.9893	0.1349	0.0000	0.7080	0	8.6915
Third	16.2110	4.0450	1.1873	8.1019	0	92.0068	0.8771	0.0000	1.9616	0	13.2558	0.2911	0.0000	1.3746	0	16.1089
Fourth	20.0726	3.9961	1.5152	6.6142	0	64.2520	0.9034	0.0000	2.0957	0	15.3437	0.2095	0.0000	0.7574	0	8.2748
Fifth	23.5248	4.4134	1.6306	7.9799	0	93.5152	0.6717	0.0000	1.3636	0	10.9276	0.2860	0.0000	1.3095	0	19.2055
Sixth	29.2331	4.9171	2.2479	7.6863	0	64.5614	0.8431	0.0000	1.5006	0	11.9653	0.3121	0.0000	0.9291	0	9.3750
Seventh	40.9758	5.0211	2.9524	6.9545	0	66.4562	0.8071	0.1749	1.3084	0	9.0115	0.3532	0.0000	0.9030	0	9.8321
Eighth	56.0916	5.3908	2.9309	7.9095	0	57.7179	0.8382	0.3251	1.2228	0	8.1445	0.3200	0.0000	0.9662	0	17.1875
Ninth	93.9273	5.1965	3.0016	7.1715	0	54.6899	0.8009	0.4366	1.0218	0	6.8783	0.3584	0.0749	0.7454	0	8.0458
Tenth	412.8351	4.5899	3.0325	5.2289	0	46.0161	0.8849	0.6547	0.8674	0	5.6925	0.4713	0.2512	0.7330	0	8.5619

Notes: Summary statistics are constructed for all manufacturing firms for the time period 1999 to 2009. Labour Size corresponds to the average number of fulltime-equivalent employees. The mean and median values are expressed in %, respectively.

Table 4: Descriptive Statistics for the Sampled R&D Countries

Country Code	Country	Obs.	Coverage	Mean	Median	S.D.	Min.	Max.
AUS	Australia	18	1989-2006	17201.496	16536.043	7143.181	7627.369	31489.420
AUT	Austria	8	2002-2009	22913.260	22699.828	3613.437	18204.256	28197.736
$_{ m BEL}$	Belgium	12	1998-2009	28853.129	28973.688	1575.196	26200.441	31248.355
CAN	Canada	20	1987-2006	45117.004	41924.473	14394.748	26949.480	70907.125
CZE	Czech Republic	17	1993-2009	3489.423	3274.040	794.038	2567.471	5084.386
DEU	Germany	18	1991-2008	255013.719	248473.781	30972.646	215808.141	312100.344
DNK	Denmark	6	2001-2006	24575.367	24631.211	767.462	23498.283	25563.172
ESP	Spain	30	1980-2009	14500.596	13074.151	8934.097	3410.860	34061.348
EST	Estonia	12	1998-2009	105.881	78.737	77.010	26.196	248.403
FIN	Finland	37	1973-2009	9401.680	6771.818	7921.644	1384.660	27438.457
FRA	France	35	1973-2007	108090.961	106073.328	37593.590	55305.691	169559.266
GBR	United Kingdom	22	1987-2008	151379.797	147885.969	9290.854	138059.500	169844.109
GRC	Greece	20	1988-2007	1016.673	885.278	514.151	381.227	1953.248
HUN	Hungary	16	1994-2009	1166.288	1079.830	400.754	689.227	1991.053
ISL	Iceland	23	1987-2009	418.984	240.409	381.488	43.975	1159.778
ISR	Israel	9	2000-2008	34480.141	33819.258	3460.149	29825.244	40453.988
ITA	Italy	37	1973-2009	39275.785	44330.148	13996.295	17809.988	60354.758
$_{ m JPN}$	Japan	23	1987-2009	790902.188	772097.125	163205.984	546084.188	1083235.375
KOR	South Korea	15	1995-2009	72619.531	67124.102	24041.914	42983.758	118903.773
MEX	Mexico	13	1995-2007	3682.963	3269.329	1983.757	1319.377	7288.594
NLD	Netherlands	35	1973-2007	24377.344	24415.133	6706.725	15412.286	36682.840
NOR	Norway	14	1995-2008	13775.466	13844.434	841.686	12433.524	14964.194
POL	Poland	14	1995-2008	3383.130	3376.470	139.420	3106.814	3580.878
PRT	Portugal	20	1987-2006	995.597	805.097	573.275	324.639	2348.847
SVK	Slovakia	16	1994-2009	946.094	981.808	83.785	793.463	1045.345
SVN	Slovenia	15	1995-2009	1138.584	1102.479	371.920	647.619	1827.205
USA	United States	22	1987-2008	1348665.500	1300002.250	253918.906	993174.063	1803543.625

Notes: The construction of the R&D capital stocks is based on the Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM) applied to data for R&D expenditures from the OECD's Analytical Business Enterprise Research and Development (ANBERD) database, as outlined in the main text. R&D expenditures by country are first deflated with a country-specific valued added price deflator (VALP) from the OECD Structural Analysis (STAN) database and then converted into constant 2000 US-Dollar. The values in this table are expressed in millions of US-Dollars.

 ${\bf Table~5:~Summary~Statistics~for~Regression~Analysis~in~Table~(3)}.$

	Obs.	Mean	S. D.	Min.	Max.
Log Gross Production	40828	17.3068	1.2960	12.8186	24.0804
Log Labour	40828	3.4633	1.0706	0.0000	9.4122
Log Capital	40828	15.7992	1.6970	6.9078	24.0446
Log Materials	40828	16.2975	1.5165	6.9078	23.7692
$Dummy_{For} \times \text{Log } s^f$	40828	18.0973	13.9242	0.0000	28.9659
$Dummy_B \times \text{Log } s^f$	40828	16.0348	14.3137	0.0000	28.9659
$Dummy_S \times \text{Log } s^f$	40828	7.6702	12.7342	0.0000	28.9659
$Dummy_T \times \text{Log } s^f$	40828	3.7272	9.6686	0.0000	28.9659
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew}$	40828	9.0006	11.6812	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew,B}$	40828	7.2688	11.0025	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew,S}$	40828	2.9755	7.8031	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew,T}$	40828	1.4977	5.6351	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu}$	40828	9.4034	12.2213	0.0000	30.9934
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,B}$	40828	7.3437	11.1182	0.0000	30.1667
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,S}$	40828	3.3184	8.6936	0.0000	30.9288
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,T}$	40828	1.6838	6.3270	0.0000	30.9934
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,other}$	40828	0.8584	4.3881	0.0000	28.1568
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,low}$	40828	2.1429	6.6914	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,mid}$	40828	6.1494	10.4158	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,high}$	40828	2.5244	7.2703	0.0000	28.2208
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,managers}$	40828	0.8228	4.3028	0.0000	27.9528
Ethnic Diversity	40828	0.0990	0.1244	0.0000	0.8471
Log Tenure	40828	1.5006	0.4750	0.0000	2.7081
Males	40828	0.7347	0.2079	0.0000	1.0000
Age15_28	40828	0.1851	0.1430	0.0000	1.0000
$Age29_38$	40828	0.2565	0.1209	0.0000	1.0000
$Age39_48$	40828	0.2600	0.1172	0.0000	1.0000
$Age 49_65$	40828	0.2773	0.1472	0.0000	1.0000
Low-Skilled	40828	0.1505	0.1821	0.0000	1.0000
Mid-Skilled	40828	0.5997	0.2230	0.0000	1.0000
High-Skilled	40828	0.1277	0.1392	0.0000	1.0000
Managers	40828	0.0562	0.0720	0.0000	1.0000
Basic Education	40828	0.3529	0.1745	0.0000	1.0000
Secondary Education	40828	0.5489	0.1751	0.0000	1.0000
Tertiary Education	40828	0.0982	0.1059	0.0000	1.0000
Multinational	40828	0.0022	0.0469	0.0000	1.0000
Exporter	40828	0.7578	0.4284	0.0000	1.0000

															Table	6: Pairwise	Correlatio	ns for Regre	ession Anal	ysis in Tab	de (X).																	_
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	(31)	(32)	(33)	(34)	(35)	(36)	(37)	(38)
1) Log Gross Production	1.0000																																					
2) Log Labour	0.8883	1.0000																																				
3) Log Capital	0.7805	0.7409	1.0000																																			
4) Log Materials	0.9200	0.7908	0.6887	1.0000																																		
5) $Dummy_{For} \times \text{Log } s^f$	0.4165	0.4612	0.3339	0.3770	1.0000																																	
5) $Dummy_B \times \text{Log } s^f$	0.4211	0.4677	0.3504	0.3820	0.8620	1.0000																																
) Dummy _S ×Log s ^f	0.4412	0.4836	0.3628	0.3868	0.4635	0.2806	1.0000																															
) $Dummy_T \times \text{Log } s^f$	0.4387	0.4451	0.3535	0.3851	0.2965	0.2253	0.2708	1.0000																														
) Log $s^{f,ew}$	0.4535	0.4953	0.3639	0.4105	0.5954	0.5430	0.4289	0.3379	1.0000																													
0) Log $s^{f,ew,B}$	0.4446	0.4875	0.3699	0.4015	0.5106	0.5919	0.2654	0.2475	0.8589	1.0000																												
1) Log $s^{f,ew,S}$	0.3700	0.4035	0.3011	0.3318	0.2946	0.1763	0.6344	0.2186	0.5051	0.2380	1.0000																											
2) Log $s^{f,ew,T}$	0.3478	0.3526	0.2845	0.3047	0.2054	0.1517	0.2032	0.6908	0.3466	0.2019	0.1984	1.0000																										
 Log s^{f,ewedu} 	0.4570	0.4989	0.3662	0.4135	0.5945	0.5329	0.4473	0.3523	0.9983	0.8365	0.5364	0.3683	1.0000																									
4) Log $s^{f,ewedu,B}$	0.4449	0.4884	0.3704	0.4019	0.5104	0.5917	0.2660	0.2484	0.8586	0.9996	0.2383	0.2026	0.8368	1.0000																								
5) Log $s^{f,ewedu,S}$	0.3715	0.4051	0.3025	0.3330	0.2949	0.1774	0.6351	0.2200	0.5052	0.2391	0.9999	0.1998	0.5365	0.2394	1.0000																							
i) Log $s^{f,ewedu,T}$	0.3493	0.3543	0.2858	0.3060	0.2057	0.1526	0.2043	0.6918	0.3468	0.2027	0.1995	0.9999	0.3684	0.2034	0.2008	1.0000																						
) Log sf,ewoccu,low	0.2923	0.3152	0.2540	0.2639	0.2474	0.2659	0.2137	0.2073	0.4150	0.4307	0.2523	0.1963	0.4126	0.4320	0.2529	0.1971	1.0000																					
3) Log sf,ewoccu,mid	0.4137	0.4710	0.3370	0.3732	0.4561	0.4354	0.3929	0.2530	0.7692	0.7020	0.4774	0.2384	0.7678	0.7024	0.4777	0.2390	0.1705	1.0000																				
) Log sf,ewoccu,high	0.4253	0.4286	0.3515	0.3751	0.2683	0.2447	0.3301	0.4323	0.4592	0.3878	0.3858	0.4786	0.4681	0.3869	0.3869	0.4790	0.1911	0.2279	1.0000																			
) Log s ^{f,ewoccu,managers}	0.2183	0.2303	0.1841	0.1862	0.1478	0.1371	0.1657	0.2125	0.2565	0.2269	0.1982	0.2737	0.2605	0.2268	0.1985	0.2739	0.1005	0.1277	0.1838	1.0000																		
) Log sf,ewoccu,other	0.1305	0.1383	0.1157	0.1131	0.1520	0.1594	0.1140	0.1039	0.2557	0.2569	0.1424	0.1200	0.2539	0.2565	0.1429	0.1201	0.0251	0.0625	0.0882	0.0438	1.0000																	
t) Ethnic Diversity	0.0807	0.0926	0.0660	0.0781	0.6122	0.5960	0.3025	0.1951	0.3460	0.3166	0.1390	0.1081	0.3436	0.3166	0.1398	0.1087	0.1923	0.2598	0.1159	0.0841	0.1184	1.0000																
) Log Tenure	0.1067	0.0074	0.0799	0.0622	-0.0001	-0.0026	0.0245	0.0203	-0.0235	-0.0190	-0.0165	-0.0010	-0.0239	-0.0197	-0.0164	-0.0010	-0.0350	-0.0262	0.0189	0.0003	0.0135	-0.0356	1.0000															
l) Males	-0.0455	-0.0719	-0.0597	-0.0276	-0.0954	-0.1243	0.0047	-0.1065	-0.0715	-0.0859	-0.0062	-0.0808	-0.0711	-0.0872	-0.0068	-0.0810	-0.0939	-0.0409	-0.0604	-0.0529	-0.0332	-0.1264	0.0414	1.0000														
i) Age15_28	-0.2339	-0.0696	-0.1848	-0.2021	-0.0577	-0.0540	-0.0629	-0.0830	-0.0789	-0.0753	-0.0504	-0.0697	-0.0780	-0.0734	-0.0505	-0.0696	-0.0108	-0.0549	-0.1117	-0.0511	-0.0265	0.0163	-0.3716	-0.0235	1.0000													
6) Age29_38	0.1316	0.1164	0.1068	0.1307	0.0583	0.0463	0.0625	0.0587	0.0728	0.0659	0.0553	0.0524	0.0730	0.0655	0.0554	0.0526	0.0329	0.0693	0.0702	0.0333	0.0066	0.0196	-0.2038	0.0457	-0.1161	1.0000												
) Age39_48	0.2197	0.1293	0.1679	0.1928	0.0933	0.0952	0.0685	0.0905	0.0776	0.0804	0.0413	0.0560	0.0765	0.0794	0.0415	0.0562	0.0293	0.0597	0.0872	0.0317	0.0374	0.0247	0.2019	-0.0002	-0.4569	-0.2366	1.0000											
) Age49_65	-0.0007	-0.0810	-0.0029	-0.0121	-0.0409	-0.0380	-0.0193	-0.0173	-0.0174	-0.0200	-0.0091	-0.0031	-0.0174	-0.0208	-0.0092	-0.0034	-0.0289	-0.0253	0.0041	0.0109	-0.0073	-0.0455	0.3533	0.0034	-0.5120	-0.4633	-0.1295	1.0000										
) Low-Skilled	-0.0765	-0.0705	-0.0485	-0.0671	0.0212	0.0520	-0.0595	-0.0196	-0.0255	-0.0037	-0.0534	-0.0294	-0.0276	-0.0031	-0.0533	-0.0294	0.2519	-0.1060	-0.0591	-0.0295	-0.0846	0.1231	-0.0775	-0.1717	0.1433	-0.0898	-0.0513	-0.0635	1.0000									
) Mid-Skilled		0.0687				-0.0469																						-0.0052		1.0000								
) High-Skilled	0.2912	0.1858		0.2557		0.0534		0.2567								0.2085					0.0099		0.0687	-0.0481		0.1299	0.1700	0.0261	-0.1586	-0.4328	1.0000							
) Managers	-0.0678	-0 1466				-0.0757																								-0.1207		1.0000						
Other-Skilled						-0.0063												-0.0981														-0.1769	1.0000					
) Basic Education						0.2775																	-0.1012											1.0000				
) Secondary Education						-0.3135																													1.0000			
) Tertiary Education						0.0611																														1.0000		
7) Multinational	0.2300					0.0011																													0.0312		1.0000	
																																						1.00
8) Exporter	0.3297	0.2674	0.2488	0.3242	0.2024	0.1868	0.1542	0.1406	0.1842	0.1657	0.1105	0.1038	0.1844	0.1650	0.1108	0.1040	0.0806	0.1462	0.1472	0.0762	0.0316	0.0832	0.1154	-0.0704	-0.2082	0.0632	0.1378	0.0535	-0.0569	-0.0811	0.2289	0.0779	-0.0578	-0.0540	-0.0724	0.2087	0.0180	1.0

Table 7: Summary Statistics for Robustness Analysis (Export/Import Spillover Sample).

	Obs.	Mean	S. D.	Min.	Max.
Log Gross Production	22479	17.8886	1.2721	13.2708	24.0804
Log Labour	22479	3.8745	1.1268	0.0000	9.4122
Log Capital	22479	16.3580	1.7384	7.6009	24.0446
Log Materials	22479	16.9574	1.4470	6.9078	23.7692
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu}$	22479	12.6091	12.6537	0.0000	30.9294
Ethnic Diversity	22479	0.1137	0.1244	0.0000	0.8471
Log Tenure	22479	1.5491	0.4244	0.0000	2.7081
Males	22479	0.7035	0.1989	0.0000	1.0000
Age15_28	22479	0.1545	0.1081	0.0000	1.0000
Age29_38	22479	0.2665	0.1081	0.0000	1.0000
Age39_48	22479	0.2782	0.1026	0.0000	1.0000
Age49_65	22479	0.2826	0.1305	0.0000	1.0000
Low-Skilled	22479	0.1438	0.1680	0.0000	1.0000
Mid-Skilled	22479	0.5720	0.2131	0.0000	1.0000
High-Skilled	22479	0.1639	0.1436	0.0000	1.0000
Managers	22479	0.0590	0.0670	0.0000	1.0000
Basic Education	22479	0.3536	0.1611	0.0000	1.0000
Secondary Education	22479	0.5224	0.1538	0.0000	1.0000
Tertiary Education	22479	0.1240	0.1076	0.0000	1.0000
Multinational	22479	0.0032	0.0561	0.0000	1.0000
Exporter	22479	0.9605	0.1947	0.0000	1.0000
Log import-weighted FKR&D	22479	23.7007	5.6950	0.0000	28.2208
Log export-weighted FKR&D	22479	24.5936	2.0235	6.3804	28.2208

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)
(1) * 0 * 1		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(10)	(10)	(11)	(10)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)
(1) Log Gross Production	1.0000																						
(2) Log Labour	0.8981	1.0000																					
(3) Log Capital	0.8020	0.7804	1.0000																				
(4) Log Materials	0.9105	0.7991	0.7046	1.0000																			
(5) $\text{Log } FKR\&D$	0.4394	0.4897	0.3645	0.3966	1.0000																		
(6) Ethnic Diversity	0.0129	0.0299	0.0283	0.0116	0.2653	1.0000																	
(7) Log Tenure	0.0617	-0.0093	0.0539	0.0094	-0.0529	-0.0617	1.0000																
(8) Males	-0.0367	-0.0409	-0.0521	-0.0159	-0.0375	-0.1071	0.0371	1.0000															
(9) Age15_28	-0.1096	0.0055	-0.0905	-0.0767	-0.0166	0.0756	-0.3545	0.0716	1.0000														
(10) Age29 _ 38	0.0940	0.1043	0.0734	0.1001	0.0640	0.0150	-0.2408	-0.0045	0.0057	1.0000													
(11) Age39_48	0.1597	0.0851	0.1333	0.1288	0.0414	-0.0032	0.1950	-0.0411	-0.4271	-0.2923	1.0000												
(12) Age49 _ 65	-0.0535	-0.1028	-0.0428	-0.0646	-0.0429	-0.0708	0.3281	-0.0074	-0.4965	-0.5479	-0.1602	1.0000											
(13) Low-Skilled	-0.0568	-0.0639	-0.0372	-0.0522	-0.0293	0.1360	-0.0756	-0.1669	0.1396	-0.0757	-0.0361	-0.0612	1.0000										
(14) Mid-Skilled	0.0447	0.1456	0.0668	0.0680	0.0268	-0.0690	-0.0100	0.1834	0.0755	0.0127	-0.0726	0.0205	-0.4843	1.0000									
(15) High-Skilled	0.1919	0.0964	0.0948	0.1478	0.0814	-0.0945	0.0567	-0.0102	-0.2217	0.1150	0.1485	-0.0083	-0.1765	-0.4622	1.0000								
(16) Managers	-0.1547	-0.2285	-0.1303	-0.1550	-0.1171	-0.0460	0.0931	-0.0232	-0.1270	-0.0382	-0.0002	0.1227	-0.1083	-0.1258	0.0196	1.0000							
(17) Basic Education	-0.0268	0.0372	0.0555	0.0018	0.0556	0.3978	-0.1027	-0.3501	0.1575	-0.1765	-0.0765	0.0492	0.2618	0.0527	-0.4445	-0.0650	1.0000						
(18) Secondary Education	-0.0665	-0.0611	-0.0961	-0.0696	-0.1024	-0.3878	0.1049	0.4437	-0.0582	0.0925	0.0112	-0.0169	-0.2239	0.2236	0.0105	0.0080	-0.7676	1.0000					
(19) Tertiary Education	0.1353	0.0317	0.0542	0.0968	0.0633	-0.0411	0.0038	-0.1102	-0.1527	0.1320	0.0985	-0.0494	-0.0718	-0.3987	0.6506	0.0859	-0.3998	-0.2806	1.0000				
(20) Multinational	0.0043	-0.0206	-0.0263	0.0130	0.0287	-0.0013	-0.0206	0.0256	-0.0216	0.0294	0.0037	-0.0050	-0.0182	0.0136	0.0116	-0.0100	-0.0409	0.0553	-0.0178	1.0000			
(21) Exporter	0.0675	0.0538	0.0575	0.0758	0.0392	0.0075	0.0482	-0.0258	-0.0135	0.0075	0.0146	-0.0023	-0.0265	0.0001	0.0609	0.0219	-0.0278	-0.0198	0.0700	0.0073	1.0000		
(22) Log import-weighted FKR&D	0.1822	0.1833	0.1216	0.1753	0.1612	0.0104	-0.0501	-0.0277	-0.0449	0.0849	0.0218	-0.0253	-0.0435	0.0022	0.0804	-0.0577	-0.0190	-0.0358	0.0796	0.0221	0.0333	1.0000	
(23) Log export-weighted FKR&D	0.0977	0.1381	0.0759	0.1100	0.1693	0.0485	-0.0732	-0.0562	0.0167	0.0661	0.0037	-0.0631	-0.0264	0.0068	0.0328	-0.0493	0.0282	-0.0881	0.0837	0.0153	0.1026	0.2270	1.0000

D Summary Tables

Table 9: Firm's Economic Performance and Access to International Knowledge (Assessing Immigrants Structure)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Dependent V	ariable: Log	of Firm's Gro	ss Production	n
Log Labour	0.5135***	0.5091***	0.5094***	0.5116***	0.5110***	0.5050***
	(0.0123)	(0.0124)	(0.0125)	(0.0123)	(0.0124)	(0.0125)
Log Capital	0.0814***	0.0813***	0.0812***	0.0813***	0.0810***	0.0808***
0 - 1	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)
Log Materials	0.3995***	0.3992***	0.3993***	0.3993***	0.3992***	0.3989***
	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew}$	(0.0110)	0.0010***	(0.0110)	(0.0220)	(010220)	(0.0110)
208 0		(0.0003)				
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew,B}$		(0.0000)	0.0010***			0.0010***
205 0			(0.0013)			(0.0003)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew,S}$			(0.0000)	0.0007*		0.0007*
Log 3				(0.0004)		(0.0004)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ew,T}$				(0.0004)	0.0018***	0.0004)
Log s					(0.0018)	(0.0017)
Ethnia Divansity	-0.0480*	-0.0773***	-0.0709**	-0.0545**	-0.0555**	-0.0847***
Ethnic Diversity						
I m	(0.0265)	(0.0282)	(0.0278)	(0.0268)	(0.0267)	(0.0283)
Log Tenure	0.0532***	0.0533***	0.0532***	0.0534***	0.0536***	0.0537***
	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)
Males	0.1032***	0.1039***	0.1038***	0.1032***	0.1061***	0.1065***
	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0262)	(0.0261)
Managers	0.2081***	0.2082***	0.2097***	0.2068***	0.2077***	0.2080***
	(0.0690)	(0.0688)	(0.0689)	(0.0688)	(0.0688)	(0.0685)
Multinational	0.2582***	0.2515***	0.2500***	0.2578***	0.2589***	0.2503***
	(0.0928)	(0.0916)	(0.0915)	(0.0933)	(0.0927)	(0.0917)
Exporter	0.0385***	0.0383***	0.0385***	0.0387***	0.0395***	0.0398***
	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)
Constant	6.9908***	7.0044***	7.0032***	6.9987***	7.0028***	7.0226***
	(0.1551)	(0.1552)	(0.1550)	(0.1555)	(0.1550)	(0.1554)
Observations	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828
R-squared	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945
Industry-Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commuting Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Age Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Occupation Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Education Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The dependent variable is the log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). Independent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Materials is the log of intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log $FKR\&D_{ew}$ is the log of a firm's ethnic-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees. Log $FKR\&D_{ew}^S$ is the log of a firm's ethnic-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with basic education. Log $FKR\&D_{ew}^S$ is the log of a firm's ethnic-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with secondary education. Log $FKR\&D_{ew}^S$ is the log of a firm's ethnic-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with tertiary education. Ethnic Diversity refers to a Herfindahl-based measure of diversity averaged across work places. Log Tenure is the log of average firm tenure (in years). Males is the fraction of menagers employed, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions of occupations for employment based on ISCO. Multinational takes value 1 if the firm is foreign-owned and zero otherwise. Exporter takes value 1 if the firm exports and zero otherwise. Firm's Age Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to each age distribution quartile. Firm's Occupation Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education. Standard errors, clustered at the firm-level, are reported in parenthesis.

^{*:} Significant at the 10% level. **: Significant at the 5% level. ***: Significant at the 1% level.

Table 10: Firm's Economic Performance and Access to International Knowledge (Assessing Education Structure)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		D 1 . T		6.50	D 1	
T T 1	0 5105444	Dependent V				
Log Labour	0.5135***	0.5090***	0.5094***	0.5116***	0.5110***	0.5050***
	(0.0123)	(0.0124)	(0.0125)	(0.0123)	(0.0124)	(0.0125)
Log Capital	0.0814***	0.0813***	0.0812***	0.0813***	0.0810***	0.0808***
	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)
Log Materials	0.3995***	0.3992***	0.3993***	0.3993***	0.3992***	0.3989***
	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu}$		0.0009***				
		(0.0003)				
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,B}$			0.0010***			0.0010***
			(0.0003)			(0.0003)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,S}$				0.0007*		0.0006*
				(0.0003)		(0.0003)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,T}$					0.0016***	0.0016***
					(0.0005)	(0.0005)
Ethnic Diversity	-0.0480*	-0.0772***	-0.0705**	-0.0546**	-0.0556**	-0.0844***
v	(0.0265)	(0.0282)	(0.0278)	(0.0268)	(0.0267)	(0.0283)
Log Tenure	0.0532***	0.0533***	0.0532***	0.0534***	0.0536***	0.0537***
	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)
Males	0.1032***	0.1040***	0.1038***	0.1032***	0.1061***	0.1065***
	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0262)	(0.0261)
Managers	0.2081***	0.2080***	0.2097***	0.2068***	0.2077***	0.2080***
	(0.0690)	(0.0688)	(0.0689)	(0.0688)	(0.0688)	(0.0685)
Multinational	0.2582***	0.2519***	0.2503***	0.2578***	0.2589***	0.2506***
	(0.0928)	(0.0917)	(0.0915)	(0.0933)	(0.0927)	(0.0918)
Exporter	0.0385***	0.0383***	0.0385***	0.0388***	0.0395***	0.0398***
Exporter	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)
Constant	6.9908***	7.0046***	7.0030***	6.9988***	7.0030***	7.0227***
Constant	(0.1551)	(0.1553)	(0.1550)	(0.1555)	(0.1550)	(0.1554)
Observations	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828
R-squared	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945
Industry-Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commuting Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Age Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Occupation Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Education Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
I IIII 5 Datacation Characteristics	100	100	100	100	100	105

Notes: The dependent variable is the log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). Independent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Materials is the log of intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log $FKR\&D_{ew}$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees. Log $FKR\&D_{ew}$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with basic education. Log $FKR\&D_{ew}^S$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with secondary education. Log $FKR\&D_{ew}^S$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with tertiary education. Ethnic Diversity refers to a Herfindahl-based measure of diversity averaged across work places. Log Tenure is the log of average firm tenure (in years). Males is the fraction of men employees engaged in production. Managers refers to the fraction of managers employed, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions of occupations for employment based on ISCO. Multinational takes value 1 if the firm is foreign-owned and zero otherwise. Exporter takes value 1 if the firm exports and zero otherwise. Firm's Age Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to each age distribution quartile. Firm's Occupation Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education. Standard errors, clustered at the firm-level, are reported in parenthesis.

^{*:} Significant at the 10% level. **: Significant at the 5% level. ***: Significant at the 1% level.

Table 11: Firm's Economic Performance and Access to International Knowledge (Assessing Occupation Structure)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Dependent V	ariable: Log	of Firm's Gro	oss Productio	n
Log Labour	0.5120***	0.5102***	0.5101***	0.5123***	0.5133***	0.5044***
S	(0.0124)	(0.0124)	(0.0124)	(0.0124)	(0.0124)	(0.0125)
Log Capital	0.0812***	0.0813***	0.0808***	0.0813***	0.0814***	0.0806***
0 - 1	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)
Log Materials	0.3994***	0.3993***	0.3991***	0.3995***	0.3994***	0.3990***
	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0119)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,low}$	0.0009**	()	(/	(/	()	0.0008**
208 0	(0.0004)					(0.0004)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,mid}$	(0.0001)	0.0008***				0.0008***
208 0		(0.0003)				(0.0003)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,high}$		(0.0000)	0.0016***			0.0016***
105 0			(0.0010)			(0.0004)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,managers}$			(0.0004)	0.0013*		0.0011
Log 3				(0.0019)		(0.0007)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewoccu,other}$				(0.0001)	0.0003	0.0003
105 0					(0.0005)	(0.0005)
Ethnic Diversity	-0.0545**	-0.0649**	-0.0573**	-0.0509*	-0.0491*	-0.0833***
Donnie Diversity	(0.0267)	(0.0273)	(0.0268)	(0.0267)	(0.0265)	(0.0281)
Log Tenure	0.0532***	0.0535***	0.0532***	0.0533***	0.0532***	0.0535***
Log Tonure	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0074)
Males	0.1038***	0.1034***	0.1054***	0.1041***	0.1033***	0.1068***
Withics	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0262)	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0261)
Managers	0.2077***	0.2070***	0.2053***	0.1992***	0.2110***	0.1992***
Wallagers	(0.0689)	(0.0688)	(0.0688)	(0.0697)	(0.0696)	(0.0698)
Multinational	0.2547***	0.2547***	0.2546***	0.2581***	0.2580***	0.2479***
Withingolollar	(0.0933)	(0.0924)	(0.0916)	(0.0925)	(0.0929)	(0.0913)
Exporter	0.0387***	0.0385***	0.0394***	0.0386***	0.0385***	0.0399***
Exporter	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0076)
Constant	7.0005***	7.0014***	7.0099***	6.9950***	6.9890***	7.0299***
Constant	(0.1551)	(0.1552)	(0.1550)	(0.1550)	(0.1553)	(0.1552)
Observations	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828
R-squared	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945	0.945
Industry-Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commuting Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Age Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Occupation Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Education Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ram s Education Characteristics	1 C2	1 62	169	169	1 C2	162

Notes: The dependent variable is the log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). Independent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Materials is the log of intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log FKR&D is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees (see the main text for further details in the construction of R&D capital stocks). Log $FKR\&D_{low}$ is the log of a firm's sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with low-skilled occupation. Log $FKR\&D_{mid}$ is the log of a firm's sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with mid-skilled occupation. Log $FKR\&D_{high}$ is the log of a firm's sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with high-skilled occupation. Log $FKR\&D_{managers}$ is the log of a firm's sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with a managers position. Log $FKR\&D_{other}$ is the log of a firm's sum of $for eign\ R\&D\ capital\ stocks\ of\ non-Danish\ employees\ with\ other-skilled\ occupation.\ \textit{Ethnic\ Diversity}\ refers\ to\ a\ Herfindahl-based$ measure of diversity averaged across work places. Log Tenure is the log of average firm tenure (in years). Males is the fraction of men employees engaged in production. Managers refers to the fraction of managers employed, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions of occupations for employment based on ISCO. Multinational takes value 1 if the firm is foreign-owned and zero otherwise. Exporter takes value 1 if the firm exports and zero otherwise. Firm's Age Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to each age distribution quartile. Firm's Occupation Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to low-skilled, mid-skilled, and high-skilled occupations. Firm's Education Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education.

Standard errors, clustered at the firm-level, are reported in parenthesis.

^{*:} Significant at the 10% level. **: Significant at the 5% level. ***: Significant at the 1% level.

Table 12: Firm's Economic Performance and Access to International Knowledge (Robustness Analysis)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Foreigner	Non-	Non-	Excl. Chemicals	Control Group:	Export/Import
	Full Sample	Dummy	Exporters	Multinationals	and Computers	Firms w/o For.	Spillovers
	Dependent Variable: Log of Firm's Gross Production						
Log Labour	0.5090***	0.5091***	0.5103***	0.5103***	0.5106***	0.4973***	0.5204***
-	(0.0124)	(0.0124)	(0.0189)	(0.0124)	(0.0127)	(0.0117)	(0.0186)
Log Capital	0.0813***	0.0813***	0.0813***	0.0812***	0.0802***	0.0844***	0.0775***
	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0062)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0042)	(0.0051)
Log Materials	0.3992***	0.3992***	0.3791***	0.3983***	0.3983***	0.4040***	0.3839***
	(0.0119)	(0.0119)	(0.0166)	(0.0119)	(0.0122)	(0.0117)	(0.0179)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu}$	0.0009***	0.0009***	0.0017***	0.0009***	0.0009***	0.0014***	0.0007**
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0005)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0004)	(0.0003)
Ethnic Diversity	-0.0772***	-0.0759**	-0.0545	-0.0773***	-0.0725**	-0.1245***	-0.1309***
·	(0.0282)	(0.0321)	(0.0452)	(0.0282)	(0.0282)	(0.0377)	(0.0412)
Log Tenure	0.0533***	0.0533***	0.0703***	0.0527***	0.0516***	0.0492***	0.0438***
<u> </u>	(0.0074)	(0.0074)	(0.0122)	(0.0074)	(0.0075)	(0.0084)	(0.0117)
Males	0.1040***	0.1040***	0.1422***	0.1040***	0.0954***	0.0856***	0.1280***
	(0.0261)	(0.0261)	(0.0478)	(0.0262)	(0.0267)	(0.0301)	(0.0363)
Managers	0.2080***	0.2080***	0.0550	0.2104***	0.2056***	0.1850**	0.3002***
	(0.0688)	(0.0688)	(0.0831)	(0.0689)	(0.0720)	(0.0814)	(0.1146)
Multinational	0.2519***	0.2519***	0.3698	(0.000)	0.2987***	0.2494***	0.2607***
	(0.0917)	(0.0917)	(0.2515)		(0.0882)	(0.0955)	(0.1002)
Exporter	0.0383***	0.0383***	(012020)	0.0386***	0.0384***	0.0347***	-0.0030
Importor	(0.0076)	(0.0076)		(0.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0083)	(0.0208)
Foreigner	(0.0010)	-0.0007		(0.0010)	(0.0010)	(0.0000)	(0.0200)
Toreigner		(0.0075)					
Log import-weighted s^f		(0.0075)					0.0029***
Log import-weighted s							(0.0029
Log export-weighted s^f							-0.0076***
Log export-weighted s							(0.0021)
Constant	7.0046***	7.0046***	7.1341***	7.0168***	7.0397***	6.8886***	7.3556***
Constant	(0.1553)	(0.1553)	(0.2046)	(0.1556)	(0.1592)	(0.1575)	(0.2579)
Observations		, ,	, ,	40,738	39,682	/	
R-squared	40,828 0.945	40,828 0.945	9,887 0.916	0.945	0.945	30,497 0.950	22,479 0.942
Industry-Year Fixed Effects	Ves	Yes	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Yes
· ·							Yes Yes
Commuting Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Age Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Occupation Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Education Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The dependent variable is the log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK).

Independent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Materials is the log of intermediate goods Inaependent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Naterials is the log of intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log FKR&D is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks (see the main text for further details in the construction of R&D capital stocks). Ethnic Diversity refers to a Herfindahl-based measure of diversity averaged across work places. Log Tenure is the log of average firm tenure (in years). Males is the fraction of men employees engaged in production. Managers refers to the fraction of managers employed, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions of occupations for employment based on ISCO. Multinational takes value 1 if the firm is foreign-owned and zero otherwise. Exporter takes value 1 if the firm exports and zero otherwise. Foreigner takes value 1 if the firm employs foreigners and zero otherwise. Log import-weighted FKRED is the log of the bilateral import-share weighted R&D capital stocks of a firm's trading partner countries. Log export-weighted FKRED is the log of the bilateral export-share weighted R&D capital stocks of a firm's trading partner countries. Firm's Age Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to each age distribution quartile. Firm's Occupation Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to low-skilled, mid-skilled, and high-skilled occupations. Firm's Education Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education.

Standard errors, clustered at the firm-level, are reported in parenthesis.

*: Significant at the 10% level. **: Significant at the 5% level. ***: Significant at the 1% level.

Table 13: Firm's Economic Performance and Access to International Knowledge (Robustness to First Lag)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Dependent V	ariable: Log	of Firm's Cro	ss Production	
Log Labour	0.5142***	0.5149***	0.5178***	0.5178***	0.5094***	0.5084***
Log Labour	(0.0142)	(0.0124)	(0.0122)	(0.0123)	(0.0123)	(0.0181)
Log Capital	0.0792***	0.0790***	0.0794***	0.0791***	0.0785***	0.0750***
Log Capital	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0050)
Log Materials	0.3936***	0.3937***	0.3939***	0.3939***	0.3932***	0.3872***
Log Materials	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0172)
$\text{Log } s_{t-1}^{f,ewedu}$	0.0014***	(0.0111)	(0.0111)	(0.0111)	(0.0111)	(0.0112)
$\log s_{t-1}$	(0.0014)					
$\text{Log } s_{t-1}^{f,ewedu,B}$	(0.0003)	0.0015***			0.0014***	0.0013***
$\log s_{t-1}$		(0.0013)			(0.0014)	(0.0013)
$\text{Log } s_{t-1}^{f,ewedu,S}$		(0.0003)	0.0010***		0.0003)	0.0004)
$\log s_{t-1}$			(0.0010			
$\text{Log } s_{t-1}^{f,ewedu,T}$			(0.0004)	0.0017***	(0.0004)	(0.0004) $0.0017***$
$\log s_{t-1}^*$				0.0017***	0.0017***	
Etharia Diagnatus	0.0055***	0.0760***	0.0541**	(0.0005)	(0.0005) -0.0911***	(0.0005) -0.1566***
Ethnic Diversity	-0.0855***	-0.0769***	-0.0541**	-0.0539**		
T TO	(0.0279)	(0.0279)	(0.0273)	(0.0273)	(0.0280)	(0.0406)
Log Tenure	0.0367***	0.0365***	0.0374***	0.0376***	0.0364***	0.0412***
M-1	(0.0090) 0.1118***	(0.0090)	(0.0090) 0.1100***	(0.0090) 0.1130***	(0.0089) 0.1148***	(0.0132) $0.1278***$
Males		0.1117***				
M	(0.0273)	(0.0273)	(0.0273)	(0.0274)	(0.0273)	(0.0369)
Managers	0.1804**	0.1826***	0.1793**	0.1808**	0.1816***	0.2521**
M-14:1	(0.0705)	(0.0707) $0.2721***$	(0.0706) 0.2844***	(0.0706)	(0.0702)	(0.1142)
Multinational	0.2743***			0.2863***	0.2719***	0.2436**
T	(0.0946) $0.0371***$	(0.0942) $0.0376***$	(0.0974)	(0.0962) $0.0387***$	(0.0949) $0.0390***$	(0.0991)
Exporter			0.0381***			-0.0043
I itihtl EVD %-D	(0.0079)	(0.0079)	(0.0080)	(0.0080)	(0.0080)	(0.0217) $0.0030***$
Log import-weighted FKR&D						
Log export-weighted FKR&D						(0.0006) -0.0072***
Log export-weighted FKK&D						
Constant	7.1223***	7.1200***	7.1080***	7.1086***	7.1464***	(0.0022) $7.3933***$
Constant						
Observations	(0.1569) 35,835	(0.1565) 35,835	(0.1570) $35,835$	(0.1565)	(0.1571)	(0.2568) $20,075$
	0.946	0.946	0.946	0.946	0.946	0.944
R-squared Industry-Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commuting Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Age Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Occupation Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Education Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ram s Education Characteristics	168	168	168	168	168	168

Notes: The dependent variable is the log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK). Independent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Materials is the log of intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log FKR&D is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks (see the main text for further details in the construction of R&D capital stocks). Log $FKR\&D_B$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with basic education. Log $FKR\&D_B$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with secondary education. Log $FKR\&D_T$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with tertiary education. Ethnic Diversity refers to a Herfindahl-based measure of diversity averaged across work places. Log Tenure is the log of average firm tenure (in years). Males is the fraction of men employees engaged in production. Managers refers to the fraction of managers employed, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions of occupations for employment based on ISCO. Multinational takes value 1 if the firm is foreign-owned and zero otherwise. Exporter takes value 1 if the firm exports and zero otherwise. Firm's Age Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to low-skilled, and high-skilled occupations. Firm's Education Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education.

Standard errors, clustered at the firm-level, are reported in parenthesis.

^{*:} Significant at the 10% level. **: Significant at the 5% level. ***: Significant at the 1% level.

Table 14: Firm's Economic Performance and Access to International Knowledge (Robustness to FE Regression)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Depe	ndent Variable	e: Log of Firm	's Gross Prod	uction	
Log Labour	0.4105***	0.4092***	0.4098***	0.4103***	0.4099***	0.4089***	0.4089***
	(0.0113)	(0.0114)	(0.0114)	(0.0113)	(0.0113)	(0.0113)	(0.0113)
Log Capital	0.0797***	0.0796***	0.0797***	0.0797***	0.0796***	0.0796***	0.0796***
	(0.0041)	(0.0040)	(0.0041)	(0.0041)	(0.0040)	(0.0040)	(0.0040)
Log Materials	0.2672***	0.2672***	0.2672***	0.2672***	0.2672***	0.2671***	0.2671***
	(0.0105)	(0.0105)	(0.0105)	(0.0105)	(0.0105)	(0.0105)	(0.0105)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu}$		0.0004**					
_		(0.0002)					
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,B}$, ,	0.0003			0.0003	0.0000
			(0.0002)			(0.0002)	(0.0004)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,S}$,	0.0002		0.0002	0.0000
				(0.0003)		(0.0003)	(0.0003)
$\text{Log } s^{f,ewedu,T}$,	0.0009***	0.0009***	0.0008**
					(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0004)
Ethnic Diversity	0.0168	-0.0003	0.0085	0.0145	0.0111	0.0001	-0.0026
	(0.0324)	(0.0344)	(0.0333)	(0.0331)	(0.0327)	(0.0342)	(0.0345)
Log Tenure	0.0741***	0.0741***	0.0742***	0.0741***	0.0742***	0.0743***	0.0742***
	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)	(0.0117)
Males	-0.1276***	-0.1275***	-0.1276***	-0.1275***	-0.1269***	-0.1268***	-0.1269***
	(0.0407)	(0.0407)	(0.0407)	(0.0407)	(0.0406)	(0.0407)	(0.0407)
Managers	0.0325	0.0316	0.0324	0.0321	0.0317	0.0311	0.0311
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	(0.0518)	(0.0517)	(0.0518)	(0.0517)	(0.0517)	(0.0516)	(0.0516)
Exporter	0.0398***	0.0399***	0.0398***	0.0398***	0.0398***	0.0398***	0.0398***
Exportor	(0.0068)	(0.0068)	(0.0068)	(0.0068)	(0.0068)	(0.0068)	(0.0068)
Recode Dummy	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	-0.0074
Teeede Builling							(0.0094)
Observations	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828	40,828
R-squared	0.686	0.686	0.686	0.686	0.686	0.686	0.686
Numbers of Firms	4,784	4,784	4,784	4,784	4,784	4,784	4,784
Industry-Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commuting Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Age Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Occupation Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm's Education Characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The dependent variable is the log of firm's gross production as total sales of goods and services (in DKK).

Independent variables: Log Labour refers to full-time equivalent employees. Log Capital is the log of total assets (in DKK). Log Materials is the log of intermediate goods (purchase of goods, helping materials, and packaging) used in the production process (in DKK). Log FKR&D is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks (see the main text for further details in the construction of R&D capital stocks). Log $FKR\&D_B$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with basic education. Log $FKR\&D_B$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with secondary education. Log $FKR\&D_B$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with secondary education. Log $FKR\&D_T$ is the log of a firm's education-weighted sum of foreign R&D capital stocks of non-Danish employees with tertiary education. Ethnic Diversity refers to a Herfindahl-based measure of diversity averaged across work places. Log Tenure is the log of average firm tenure (in years). Males is the fraction of men employees engaged in production. Managers refers to the fraction of managers employed, according to Statistics Denmark's definitions of occupations for employment based on ISCO. Exporter takes value 1 if the firm exports and zero otherwise. Recode Dummy takes value 1 if FKR&D = 0, and zero otherwise Firm's Age Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees belonging to low-skilled, mid-skilled, and high-skilled occupations. Firm's Education Characteristics refers to a full set of shares of employees with basic, secondary, and tertiary education.

Standard errors, clustered at the firm-level, are reported in parenthesis.

^{*:} Significant at the 10% level. **: Significant at the 5% level. ***: Significant at the 1% level.

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

No.322:	Michael Gold: Kosten eines Tarifabschlusses: Verschiedene Perspektiven der Bewertung, November 2014
No.321:	Gesine Stephan und Sven Uthmann: Wann wird negative Reziprozität am Arbeitsplatz
	akzeptiert? Eine quasi-experimentelle Untersuchung, November 2014
No.320:	Lutz Bellmann, Hans-Dieter Gerner and Christian Hohendanner: Fixed-term contracts and dismissal protection. Evidence from a policy reform in Germany, November 2014
No.319:	Knut Gerlach, Olaf Hübler und Wolfgang Meyer: Betriebliche Suche und Besetzung von Arbeitsplätzen für qualifizierte Tätigkeiten in Niedersachsen - Gibt es Defizite an geeigneten Bewerbern?, Oktober 2014
No.318:	Sebastian Fischer, Inna Petrunyk, Christian Pfeifer and Anita Wiemer: Before-after differences in labor market outcomes for participants in medical rehabilitation in Germany, December 2014
No.317:	Annika Pape und Thomas Wein: Der deutsche Taximarkt - das letzte (Kollektiv-) Monopol im Sturm der "neuen Zeit", November 2014
No.316:	Nils Braakmann and John Wildman: Reconsidering the impact of family size on labour supply: The twin-problems of the twin-birth instrument, November 2014
No.315:	Markus Groth and Jörg Cortekar: Climate change adaptation strategies within the framework of the German "Energiewende" – Is there a need for government intervention and legal obligations?, November 2014
No.314:	Ahmed Fayez Abdelgouad: Labor Law Reforms and Labor Market Performance in Egypt October 2014
No.313:	Joachim Wagner: Still different after all these years. Extensive and intensive margins of exports in East and West German manufacturing enterprises, October 2014
No.312:	Joachim Wagner: A note on the granular nature of imports in German manufacturing industries, October 2014
No.311:	Nikolai Hoberg and Stefan Baumgärtner: Value pluralism, trade-offs and efficiencies, October 2014
No.310:	Joachim Wagner: Exports, R&D and Productivity: A test of the Bustos-model with enterprise data from France, Italy and Spain, October 2014
No.309:	Thomas Wein: Preventing Margin Squeeze: An Unsolvable Puzzle for Competition Policy? The Case of the German Gasoline Market, September 2014
No.308:	Joachim Wagner: Firm age and the margins of international trade: Comparable evidence from five European countries, September 2014
No.307:	John P. Weche Gelübcke: Auslandskontrollierte Industrie- und Dienstleistungs- unternehmen in Niedersachsen: Performancedifferentiale und Dynamik in Krisenzeiten, August 2014
No.306:	Joachim Wagner: New Data from Official Statistics for Imports and Exports of Goods by German Enterprises, August 2014

- No.305: *Joachim Wagner:* A note on firm age and the margins of imports: First evidence from Germany, August 2014
- No.304: Jessica Ingenillem, Joachim Merz and Stefan Baumgärtner: Determinants and interactions of sustainability and risk management of commercial cattle farmers in Namibia, July 2014
- No.303: *Joachim Wagner:* A note on firm age and the margins of exports: First evidence from Germany, July 2014
- No.302: *Joachim Wagner:* A note on quality of a firm's exports and distance to destination countries: First evidence from Germany, July 2014
- No.301: Ahmed Fayez Abdelgouad: Determinants of Using Fixed-term Contracts in the Egyptian Labor Market: Empirical Evidence from Manufacturing Firms Using World Bank Firm-Level Data for Egypt, July 2014
- No.300: Annika Pape: Liability Rule Failures? Evidence from German Court Decisions, May 2014
- No.299: Annika Pape: Law versus Economics? How should insurance intermediaries influence the insurance demand decision, June 2013
- No.298: *Joachim Wagner:* Extensive Margins of Imports and Profitability: First Evidence for Manufacturing Enterprises in Germany, May 2014 [published in: Economics Bulletin 34 (2014), 3, 1669-1678]
- No.297: *Joachim Wagner:* Is Export Diversification good for Profitability? First Evidence for Manufacturing Enterprises in Germany, March 2014 [published in: Applied Economics 46 (2014), 33, 4083-4090]
- No.296: *Joachim Wagner:* Exports and Firm Profitability: Quality matters!, March 2014 [published in: Economics Bulletin 34 (2014), 3, 1644-1652]
- No.295: *Joachim Wagner:* What makes a high-quality exporter? Evidence from Germany, March 2014 [published in: Economics Bulletin 34 (2014), 2, 865-874]
- No.294: *Joachim Wagner:* Credit constraints and margins of import: First evidence for German manufacturing enterprises, February 2014 [published in: Applied Economics 47 (2015), 5, 415-430]
- No.293: *Dirk Oberschachtsiek*: Waiting to start a business venture. Empirical evidence on the determinants., February 2014
- No.292: *Joachim Wagner*: Low-productive exporters are high-quality exporters. Evidence from Germany, February 2014 [published in: Economics Bulletin 34 (2014), 2, 745-756]
- No.291: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2013, Januar 2014
- No.290: Stefan Baumgärtner, Moritz A. Drupp und Martin F. Quaas: Subsistence and substitutability in consumer preferences, December 2013
- No.289: *Dirk Oberschachtsiek*: Human Capital Diversity and Entrepreneurship. Results from the regional individual skill dispersion nexus on self-employment activity., December 2013
- No.288: Joachim Wagner and John P. Weche Gelübcke: Risk or Resilience? The Role of Trade Integration and Foreign Ownership for the Survival of German Enterprises during the Crisis 2008-2010, December 2013 published in: [Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik 234 (2014), 6, 758-774]

- No.287: *Joachim Wagner*: Credit constraints and exports: A survey of empirical studies using firm level data, December 2013
- No.286: *Toufic M. El Masri*: Competition through Cooperation? The Case of the German Postal Market, October 2013
- No.285: *Toufic M. El Masri*: Are New German Postal Providers Successful? Empirical Evidence Based on Unique Survey Data, October 2013
- No.284: Andree Ehlert, Dirk Oberschachtsiek, and Stefan Prawda: Cost Containment and Managed Care: Evidence from German Macro Data, October 2013
- No.283: *Joachim Wagner* and *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Credit Constraints, Foreign Ownership, and Foreign Takeovers in Germany, September 2013
- No.282: *Joachim Wagner*: Extensive margins of imports in The Great Import Recovery in Germany, 2009/2010, September 2013 [published in: Economics Bulletin 33 (2013), 4, 2732-2743]
- No.281: Stefan Baumgärtner, Alexandra M. Klein, Denise Thiel, and Klara Winkler: Ramsey discounting of ecosystem services, August 2013
- No.280: Antonia Arsova and Deniz Dilan Karamen Örsal: Likelihood-based panel cointegration test in the presence of a linear time trend and cross-sectional dependence, August 2013
- No.279: *Thomas Huth*: Georg von Charasoff's Theory of Value, Capital and Prices of Production, June 2013
- No.278: Yama Temouri and Joachim Wagner: Do outliers and unobserved heterogeneity explain the exporter productivity premium? Evidence from France, Germany and the United Kingdom, June 2013 [published in: Economics Bulletin, 33 (2013), 3, 1931-1940]
- No.277: Horst Raff and Joachim Wagner: Foreign Ownership and the Extensive Margins of Exports: Evidence for Manufacturing Enterprises in Germany, June 2013
- No.276: Stephan Humpert: Gender Differences in Life Satisfaction and Social Participation, May 2013
- No.275: Sören Enkelmann and Markus Leibrecht: Political Expenditure Cycles and Election Outcomes Evidence from Disaggregation of Public Expenditures by Economic Functions, May 2013
- No.274: Sören Enkelmann: Government Popularity and the Economy First Evidence from German Micro Data, May 2013
- No.273: *Michael Berlemann, Soeren Enkelmann,* and *Torben Kuhlenkasper*: Unraveling the Relationship between Presidential Approval and the Economy A Multi-Dimensional Semi-Parametric Approach, May 2013
- No.272: *Michael Berlemann* and *Sören Enkelmann*: The Economic Determinants of U.S. Presidential Approval A Survey, May 2013
- No.271: Soeren Enkelmann: Obama and the Macroeconomy Estimating Social Preferences Between Unemployment and Inflation, May 2013
- No.270: Anja Köbrich León: Does Cultural Heritage affect Employment decisions Empirical Evidence for Second Generation Immigrants in Germany, April 2013

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/publikationen/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre Postfach 2440 D-21314 Lüneburg

Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321 email: brodt@leuphana.de

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/publikationen/working-papers.html