

Prof. Dr. Patrick Velte
Accounting, Auditing & Corporate Governance
Institut für Management, Accounting & Finance (IMAF)

Guidelines für Seminar-, Bachelor- und Masterarbeiten

1 Einleitung

1.1 Vorbemerkung

Ein wichtiger Bestandteil Ihres Studiums ist das Erstellen von **Seminar- und Abschlussarbeiten**. Hierbei soll Ihre Fähigkeit zum **wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten** (u.a. Einsatz einer wissenschaftlichen Forschungsmethode) nachgewiesen werden.

Das vorliegende Merkblatt will eine Hilfestellung bei der Anfertigung einer wissenschaftlichen Arbeit geben. Es enthält sowohl **Empfehlungen** in Bezug auf die Vorgehensweise bei der Literatursuche als auch **Hinweise** zur Gliederung, zur Verwendung von Abkürzungen und Symbolen, zur Zitierweise sowie zum anzufertigenden Literaturverzeichnis. Zur Verdeutlichung ist am Ende des Merkblattes ein Beispiel für die Gestaltung der wesentlichen Elemente einer wissenschaftlichen Arbeit angefügt. Für weitergehende Fragen sei auf die Literatur verwiesen.

Bezüglich der Anfertigung von Abschlussarbeiten wird auf die parallele **Teilnahme am Bachelor-Kolloquium bzw. am Masterforum** hingewiesen. Die **Bachelorarbeit** wird ergänzt durch ein **30-minütiges Prüfungsgespräch** mit dem Erst- und Zweitgutachter nach bestandener Arbeit.

Wichtig: Bei Bachelor- und Masterarbeiten ist vor der Anmeldung ein schriftliches 3-5 Exposé mit dem Betreuer abzustimmen, das zumindest folgende Punkte beinhaltet: Arbeitstitel, gewählte Themenstellung und –abgrenzung, Vorgehensweise/Forschungsmethodik, gewählte Theorie, relevante Literatur, Motivation, Zeitplan sowie ca. 1 Seite Gliederungsentwurf.

1.2 Hinweise zur Literatursuche

Nachfolgend sind wichtige Quellen für die Literatursuche genannt:

(Online-)Bibliothekskataloge: Formalkatalog, Schlagwort- bzw. Stichwortkatalog, z. B. der Gesamtkatalog der Universitätsbibliothek Lüneburg (<http://katalog.leuphana.gbv.de/DB=1/LNG=DU>).

Wichtig: Achten Sie darauf, dass in Ihrer Arbeit Forschungsliteratur enthalten ist. Bitte orientieren Sie sich vorrangig an **internationalen Forschungszeitschriften**, die sich in der **Kategorie A+ bis C** des **VHB Publication Media Rating 2024** befinden (<https://www.vhbonline.org/en/services/vhb-rating-2024/area-ratings>). Für Forschungsthemen aus den Bereichen **Accounting, Auditing & Corporate Governance** sind die Journals aus dem **Teilrating RECH, Bank- und Finanzwesen, Steuerlehre und NAMA** einschlägig.

2 Aufbau wissenschaftlicher Arbeiten

2.1 Titelblatt

Das Titelblatt enthält in chronologischer Reihenfolge:

- (a) Leuphana Universität Lüneburg
- (b) bei Bachelorarbeit Angabe des Majors, bei Masterarbeit Angabe des Studiengangs
- (c) Titel der Arbeit
- (b) Art der Arbeit (Seminar- oder Abschlussarbeit)
- (d) Name, Vorname des Prüflings, Matrikelnummer und aktuelle Email-Adresse sowie aktuelle Postanschrift
- (e) Erstprüfer/in und Zweitprüfer/in; bei Seminararbeiten Angabe des Betreuers
- (f) Datum der Abgabe
- (g) ggf. Sperrvermerk, wenn die Arbeit nicht Dritten zugänglich gemacht werden darf.

2.2 Gliederung von wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten

Die wissenschaftliche Arbeit beginnt mit einem Deckblatt. Es folgt das **Inhaltsverzeichnis**, ein **Abkürzungsverzeichnis**, eventuell ein **Symbolverzeichnis**, anschließend der umfangreiche Textteil, der Anhang, der durch ein Deckblatt abgetrennt wird, und letztlich das Literaturverzeichnis. Bei mehr als drei Tabellen/Abbildungen ist ein **Tabelle-/Abbildungsverzeichnis** hinter dem Inhaltsverzeichnis einzufügen.

Wissenschaftliche Arbeiten sind sorgfältig und ausgewogen zu gliedern, inhaltliche Überschneidungen sind zu vermeiden. Jede Arbeit wird so in Kapitel, Abschnitte und Unterabschnitte zerlegt, dass der jeweils zu unterteilende Oberpunkt in mindestens **zwei Unterpunkte** zerfällt, die insgesamt den Gegenstandsbereich des Oberpunktes abdecken. Eine wissenschaftliche Arbeit sollte logisch so untergliedert sein, dass sie aus ca. **3 Hauptkapiteln** (ohne Einleitung und Schlussbetrachtungen) besteht. Die **Verwendung von Artikeln** bei der Titelvergabe von Kapiteln und Abschnitten sollte möglichst vermieden werden.

Beispiel: **The Relevance of goodwill impairments**

Es ist jeweils auf der tiefsten Gliederungsebene zu beginnen.

Beispiel:

- 2 Corporate Governance
- 2.1 Theoretical Foundation
- 2.1.1 Principal Agent Theory

With regard to New Institutional Economics, the Principal Agent Theory is concerned with ...

Zu Beginn der Arbeit steht ein auf die Seitenzahl des Textes verweisendes **Inhaltsverzeichnis**. Die Überschriften im Text müssen wörtlich mit denen der Gliederungsübersicht übereinstimmen. Zu achten ist auch auf eine Übereinstimmung zwischen der im Inhaltsverzeichnis angegebenen und der im Textteil befindlichen Seitenzahl. Zur Erleichterung ist die Erstellung einer **WORD-Formatvorlage** sehr zu empfehlen. Den einzelnen Abschnitten der Arbeit sind die entsprechenden Gliederungspunkte (Überschriften) voranzustellen. Zur Kennzeichnung der Gliederungspunkte sollten diese **dezimal nummeriert** (1, 1.1, 1.1.1 etc.) werden, wobei Inhaltsverzeichnis, Abbildungsverzeichnis, Abkürzungsverzeichnis, Anhang etc. nicht durchnummeriert werden. Hinter der letzten Dezimalstelle folgt **kein Punkt** (s. o.). Das Inhaltsverzeichnis selbst soll im Gegensatz zum Abkürzungsverzeichnis und Symbolverzeichnis, Tabellen-/Abbildungsverzeichnis nicht im Inhaltsverzeichnis aufgeführt werden.

2.3 Äußere Form der Arbeit

Die Arbeit ist in **eineinhalbzeiligem** Abstand **TimesNewRoman, Schriftgröße 12 Pkt. in Blocksatz**) PC-schriftlich zu erstellen. Zwischen den Absätzen hat sich eine **Leerzeile** zu befinden. Am Blattrand links ist ein Rand von ca. **4 cm**, rechts ca. **2,5 cm** auf **DIN A4 Papier** zu berücksichtigen. Ansonsten sind die Standardeinstellungen des verwendeten Textverarbeitungsprogramms (z. B. Word) zu übernehmen. Der Umfang von **Einzelseminararbeiten** soll ca. **2.500 Wörter** betragen; [ohne Anhang etc.; rein arabische Nummerierung (s. u.)] zu betragen. Für **Bachelor- und Masterarbeiten** ist ein Umfang von **10.000 Wörtern** vorgesehen. Seminar-, Bachelor- und Masterarbeiten müssen in **englischer Sprache** publiziert werden.

Abgabemodalitäten: Seminar- und Abschlussarbeiten (bei empirischen Arbeiten zusätzlich alle Rohdaten und STATA-Output) sind als PDF-Datei per Email beim Betreuer fristgemäß einzureichen. Bachelor- und Masterarbeiten sind zusätzlich fristgemäß als PDF-Datei auf myCampus hochzuladen.

2.4 Seiten-, Tabellen- und Abbildungsnummerierung in wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten

Die Arbeit beginnt mit dem **Deckblatt**, das nicht nummeriert wird. Die **römische** Seitennummerierung beginnt mit dem **Inhaltsverzeichnis** [I, II... (beispielhaft !)] und geht über das **Abkürzungsverzeichnis** (III, IV...), ein eventuell

vorhandenes **Symbolverzeichnis** (V) über den **Anhang** (VI, VII...) bis in das **Literaturverzeichnis** (VIII, IX...). Zu Beginn des Anhangs soll ein **Deckblatt** eingefügt werden, dass nicht nummeriert wird. Die Seiten im **Textteil** sind fortlaufend **arabisch** zu nummerieren. Verweise auf andere Textteile oder den Anhang sind mit der genauen Seitenangabe zu versehen.

Abbildungen und Tabellen werden **getrennt voneinander** fortlaufend durchnummeriert. Diese Angaben sollten unmittelbar unter der Abbildung bzw. Tabelle aufgeführt sein. Zusätzlich ist ein Titel der Abbildung zu vergeben.

Beispiel: Abbildung 1: Entwicklung der Rechnungslegung nach HGB

2.5 Zur Verwendung von Abkürzungen und Symbolen in wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten

Abkürzungen sind in einem alphabetisch zu ordnenden **Abkürzungsverzeichnis** zu erläutern. Im Inhaltsverzeichnis sollte darauf mit Angabe der römischen Seite zu Beginn desselben verwiesen werden. Die Abkürzungen müssen **eindeutiger** Natur sein.

Alle in einer Arbeit verwendeten Symbole und Abkürzungen sind an der Stelle im Text, an der sie zum **ersten Mal** gebraucht werden, zu definieren. Bei **Tabellen- und Abbildungsunterschriften** sind Abkürzungen möglichst zu vermeiden.

Sortierkriterium im Abkürzungsverzeichnis und im Symbolverzeichnis ist die alphabetische Reihenfolge der Abkürzungen und Symbole. Alle Kleinbuchstaben stehen grundsätzlich vor Großbuchstaben. Innerhalb der Klein- bzw. Großbuchstaben werden zunächst diejenigen aufgeführt, die tiefgestellte Indizes aufweisen, danach all die mit hochgestellten Indizes (innerhalb dieser wird wieder alphabetisch sortiert).

2.6 Literaturverzeichnis einer wissenschaftlichen Arbeit

In jede wissenschaftliche Arbeit ist ein Verzeichnis der durch Quellenangaben belegten Literatur aufzunehmen. Zur Stellung innerhalb der Arbeit vgl. Kapitel 2.2. Zusätzliche, nicht durch Quellenangaben belegte Literatur ist gesondert zu kennzeichnen. Wurden zur Anfertigung einer wissenschaftlichen Arbeit schriftliche oder telefonische Auskünfte von Experten eingeholt, sind am Schluss des Literaturverzeichnisses **Name** und **Anschrift** der Betreffenden zu nennen. Eine **Untergliederung** des Literaturverzeichnisses ist **nicht** vorzunehmen. Ein Beispiel für die Gestaltung eines Literaturverzeichnisses befindet sich auf der letzten Seite. Während **Artikelgesetze** (z.B. BilMoG, BilRUG) zwingend in das Literaturverzeichnis aufgenommen werden müssen, ist dies für **allgemeine Gesetze** (z.B. AktG,) **nicht** erwünscht.

Im Folgenden sollen die **wichtigsten Angaben und Sonderregeln** für unterschiedliche Quellen erläutert werden.

(1) MONOGRAFIEN:

Name des Autors (ohne Titel oder akademische Grade), **Vorname** (abgekürzt), **Jahr der Publikation**, **Titel des Buches mit Untertitel** (Letzterer wird durch einen Punkt vom Titel abgetrennt), **Auflage** (erst ab der **zweiten** Auflage mit der Kurznennung „**Aufl.**“ **ohne** nähere Informationen (z.B. wesentlich erweiterte)), **Erscheinungsort**.

Mehrere Autoren oder Erscheinungsorte sind durch „,“ zu trennen oder mit dem Hinweis "et al." für **et alii** (lateinisch für „und andere“) bei mehr als **drei** Angaben zu versehen. Die Angabe des **Verlages** ist nicht notwendig.

Beispiel:

Ronen, J., Yaari, V., 2008. Earnings management: emerging insights in theory, practice, and research. New York.

(2) ZEITSCHRIFTENAUFsätze

Bei Zeitschriftenaufsätzen sind nach den(m) **Verfasser(n)** und dem **Titel des Aufsatzes mit Untertitel** der **Name der Zeitschrift**, der **Jahrgang** sowie die **Seite(n)** des Aufsatzes anzugeben.

Beispiel:

Shrieves, R.E., Dahl, D., 2003. Discretionary accounting and the behavior of Japanese banks under financial duress. Journal of Banking and Finance 27, 1219–1243.

(3) TABELLEN UND ABBILDUNGEN

Abbildungen und Tabellen sind **selbstständig** zu fertigen, d. h. **eingescannte Dateien** sind nicht erwünscht. Die Quellenangaben für Tabellen und Abbildungen werden direkt hinter die **Titulierung in Klammern** aufgenommen. Die Abbildung bzw. Tabelle ist mit einer Nummer und einem kurzen Titel zu versehen. Sofern Abbildungen oder Tabellen aus anderen Monografien entnommen werden, ist dies wie folgt anzugeben:

Example: Figure 1: Important reform efforts in corporate governance (Andrews, 2006,4).

Verwendete Zahlen müssen nachprüfbar sein, auch wenn sie allgemein bekannt sind (z. B. Einwohnerzahlen und Größenangaben der Länder). Sie sind daher zu belegen. Werden in einer Arbeit Zahlen aus vielen Quellen verarbeitet, neu zusammengestellt oder umgeordnet, so sind auf alle Fälle zu Beginn des betreffenden Abschnitts alle Quellen anzugeben und auf die Art der Verarbeitung hinzuweisen, wenn das genaue Belegen jeder einzelnen Zahl nicht möglich ist.

(4) INTERNETQUELLEN

Bei Quellen aus dem **Internet** sind die genaue Internetseite mit dem Datum des Herunterladens sowie die Seitenzahlen des Manuskriptes anzugeben

Beispiel:

International Integrated Reporting Council, 2013. *The International <IR> framework*, <http://www.theiirc.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/13-12-08-THE-INTERNATIONAL-IR-FRAMEWORK-2-1.pdf> (30.11.2018).

2.7 Anhang

Wenn die Einbindung von Materialien in den Ausführungsteil der Arbeit problematisch ist, diese aber für das Verständnis des Textes notwendig erscheinen (z. B. ausführliche Rechnungen oder große Abbildungen, die den Text unübersichtlich machen), dann sollte in der Arbeit ein „**Anhang**“ beigefügt werden. Er wird durch ein Deckblatt von der Arbeit abgetrennt (siehe vorliegendes Merkblatt). Auf diesen ist dann an entsprechender Stelle im Text genau mit der Angabe der Seitenzahl des Anhangs (römisch) zu verweisen. Sofern Abbildungen und Tabellen in den Anhang verlagert werden, ist im Haupttext in angemessener Form hierauf zu verweisen.

3 Zitierweise in wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten

3.1 Zitat im laufenden Text

3.1.1 Allgemeine Anmerkungen

In wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten müssen Meinungen, originale Gedanken oder sonstige für das Thema wesentliche Ausführungen, soweit sie schon anderweitig vorgetragen wurden, durch **Zitate** belegt werden. Dabei ist nicht irgend ein Zitat anzuführen, um die vom Verfasser angeführte Meinung zu untermauern, sondern möglichst das ursächliche Zitat, also in der Regel das Zitat desjenigen Autors, der diese Meinung zum ersten Mal vertreten hat. Zur Bestärkung dieser Meinung können dann weitere bestätigende Zitate, auch von anderen Autoren, angeführt werden; in diesem Fall sind die Zitate in chronologischer Reihenfolge zu nennen.

Die Meinung anderer Autoren kann entweder **sinngemäß** (mit Quellenhinweis und Quellenangabe) oder **wörtlich** (mit Quellenhinweis, Quellenangabe **und** in Anführungszeichen) zitiert werden. Wörtliche Zitate sind nur dann zweckmäßig, wenn der genaue Wortlaut wichtig ist oder der Autor besonders treffend formuliert hat. Es gilt prinzipiell, dass der Text innerhalb der Anführungszeichen wortwörtlich einschließlich Interpunktionszeichen zu übernehmen ist. Von dieser Grundsatzregelung sind nur folgende **Ausnahmen** zulässig:

- (1) Wird ein Satzanfang so zitiert, dass er im laufenden Text nicht als solcher erscheint, ist das betreffende Anfangswort, sofern es nicht ohnehin groß zu schreiben wäre, **klein zu schreiben**; entsprechend gilt im umgekehrten Fall, dass der erste Buchstabe eines Zitates am Satzanfang groß zu schreiben ist, auch wenn er im Original nicht groß geschrieben ist.
- (2) Hervorhebungen, Sperrungen, Kursivdrucke u.ä. müssen nicht in ein Zitat übernommen werden. In diesem Fall ist dann aber die Anmerkung "Im Original vorhandene Hervorhebungen wurden weggelassen" zu verwenden. Werden umgekehrt Teile des Zitates hervorgehoben, die im Original nicht hervorgehoben sind, so ist dies mit dem Vermerk "Hervorhebungen im Original nicht vorhanden" kenntlich zu machen. Die Formulierung "Hervorhebung(en) vom Verfasser" ist mehrdeutig und daher unzulässig.
- (3) Die Interpunktionszeichen am Zitatende darf nicht übernommen werden, wenn sie im laufenden Text **nicht korrekt** ist.
- (4) Bei Zitaten innerhalb von Zitaten sind nur **einfache** Anführungszeichen (' ... ') zu verwenden.

3.1.2 Zu zitierende Quelle(n)

3.1.3 Ergänzungen und Auslassungen

Es kann mitunter notwendig werden, durch Einschübe in den Originaltext die Verständlichkeit des Zitates zu erhöhen. Derartige Einschübe sind in Klammern zu setzen und mit dem Vermerk "**Anm. des Autors**" zu versehen.

Werden im Zitat Teile des Originaltextes weggelassen, so ist hierauf durch eingeklammerte Punkte [...] hinzuweisen.

3.2 Quellenangabe

3.2.1 Hinweis auf die Quellenangabe (Quellenverweis)

Amerikanische Zitation: Zitate werden direkt nach der zu zitierenden Textpassage **in Klammern** gesetzt.

3.2.2 Äußere Form der zitierten Quelle

Amerikanische Zitation: Corporate governance represents an increased research topic (Bebchuk, 2017, 4).

3.2.3 Zitierweise

In diesem Abschnitt werden allgemeine Zitievorschriften anhand des Zitierens nach einem Buch aufgeführt. Vorgeschrieben ist die sog. **Kurzzitierweise**. Über Interpunktionsregeln beim Zitieren und andere nicht explizit genannte Zitievorschriften geben die Beispiele Auskunft. Jeder Titel wird wie folgt erfasst: **Name** (ohne Vorname), **Jahr**, **Zitatstelle** bzw. **Seite**. Selbst wenn eine Quelle **erstmalig** in einer Arbeit zitiert wird, ist nur die Kurzkennung anzugeben. Mehrere voneinander **unabhängige** Quellen sind durch einen Strichpunkt voneinander zu trennen.

Beispiel: Jensen and Meckling, 1976.

Bei mehreren Titeln des gleichen Verfassers im gleichen Jahr sind diese durch **kleine Buchstaben** kenntlich zu machen. Beispiel: Myer, 2012a; Myer, 2012b.

Bei mehreren Autoren werden in der **Kurzkennung** maximal die ersten drei aufgeführt. Bei mehr als drei Autoren wird der Hinweis „**et al.**“ verwendet.

Bei den Quellenangaben sind bei wörtlichen Zitationen die zitierten Seiten **genau** anzugeben, also etwa „26-27“.

Die **Bezeichnung „ff.“** („fortfolgende“) für Quellenangaben, die sich auf mehr als zwei aufeinanderfolgende Seiten beziehen, ist unbestimmt und **somit nicht zulässig**.

Bei **nicht wörtlichen Zitaten** ist lediglich die Quelle und keine Seitenangabe üblich.

Bei der Verwendung mehrerer Quellen im Zitat ist eine bestimmte **Sortierreihenfolge** (alphabetisch oder chronologisch) **nicht** notwendig. Allerdings ist darauf zu achten, dass Primärquellen und grundlegende Arbeiten zu den be-

handelten Themen (z.B. die Zitation von Jensen and Meckling, 1976 bei der Principal Agent-Theorie) **zuerst** zitiert werden.

Sofern sich das Zitat auf den **gesamten Satz** bezieht, ist das Zitat hinter dem Satzzeichen aufzuführen. Sofern sich das Zitat auf **bestimmte Wörter oder Halbsätze** beziehen, erfolgt dies an der jeweiligen Stelle.

3.3 Sonstige Hinweise

Die Arbeit stellt eine wissenschaftliche Abhandlung dar, sodass eine **populärwissenschaftliche Ausdrucksweise** zu vermeiden ist. Dies gilt ebenso für die Verwendung der **wörtlichen Rede** (z. B. „ich“; „meines Erachtens“) sowie von **unwissenschaftlichen Füllwörtern** (z. B. „man“). Es wird empfohlen, die wissenschaftliche Arbeit vor der geplanten Abgabe **zwei Personen** zur Korrektur zu überlassen. Durch diese Vorgehensweise lassen sich Mängel in der Orthografie und Stilistik sowie „Brüche“ in der Gedankenführung feststellen. Die Kandidaten sollten ein ausreichendes Zeitpotenzial für die Abfassung der **Einleitung** sowie der **Zusammenfassung** einplanen, da diese als „Visitenkarte“ der gesamten Arbeit fungieren.

Muster für ein Inhaltsverzeichnis

Abstract

1. Introduction
2. Theoretical foundation, literature review and hypotheses
 - 2.1. Principal Agent Theory
 - 2.2. Literature review
 - 2.3. Hypotheses
3. Empirical analysis
 - 3.1. Sample selection and variables
 - 3.2. Regression models
 - 3.3. Descriptive statistics
 - 3.4. Correlation analysis
 - 3.5. Regression analysis
 - 3.6. Robustness checks
4. Discussion, limitations and research recommendations
5. Summary and outlook

Appendix

References

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg
Major BWL (Bachelor)/
Management & Sustainable Accounting and Finance (Master)

**The link between CSR performance and integrated
reporting quality – an empirical analysis**

Bachelor/Master thesis

Max Mustermann

Matrikelnummer: 1234567890

Email: mustermann@max.de

Musterstraße 2, 300009 Musterhausen

Erstprüfer: Prof. Dr. Patrick Velte

Zweitprüferin: Prof. Dr. Maxi Leuphi

Datum der Abgabe: 30.11.2021

Appendix: Nützliche Literatur für Seminar-, Bachelor- und Masterarbeiten

Anfertigung von Literature reviews

- Barczak, G. (2017). Writing a Review Article. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 34, 120-121.
- Bodolica, V. and Spraggon, M. 2018. An end-to-end process of writing and publishing influential literature review articles. Do's and don'ts. *Management Decision*.
- Callahan, J.L. (2014). Writing literature reviews. A reprise and update. *Human Resource Development Review*, 13, 271-275.
- Combs, J.G., Ketchen, D.J., Crook, T.R. and Roth, P.L. (2011). Assessing Cumulative Evidence within 'Macro' Research: Why Meta-Analysis Should be Preferred Over Vote Counting. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48, 178-197.
- Denyer, D. and Tranfield, D. (2009). Producing a systematic review. In: Buchanan, D.A. and Bryman, A. (eds.,), *The Sage handbook of organizational research methods*: 671-689.
- Elsbach, K.D. and van Knippenberg, D. (2020). Creating High-Impact Literature Reviews: An Argument for "Integrative Reviwis". *Journal of Management Studies* (online first).
- Fink, A. 2014. Conducting research literature reviews. From the internet to paper, 4. ed.
- Fisch, C. and Block, J. (2018). Six tips for your (systematic) literature review in business and management research. *Management Review Quarterly*, 68, 103-106.
- Hiebl, M.R.W. (2021). Sample Selection in Systematic Literature Reviews of Management Research. *Organizational Research Methods* (online first).
- Hodgkinson, G.P. and Ford, K. (2014). Narrative, meta-analytic, and systematic reviews: What are the differences and why do they matter? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 35, S1-S5.
- Hodgkinson, G.P. and Ford, K. (2015). What makes excellent literature reviews excellent? A clarification of some common mistakes and misconceptions. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 35, S1-S5.
- Hulland, J. and Houston, M.B. (2020). Why systematic review papers and meta-analyses matter: an introduction to the special issue on generalizations in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48, 351-359.
- Kraus, S., Breier, M. and Dasi-Rodriguez, S. (2020). The art of crafting a systematic literature review in entrepreneurship research. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 16, 1023-1042.
- Linnenluecke, M.K., Marrone, M. and Singh, A.K. (2020). Conducting systematic literature reviews and bibliometric analyses. *Australian Journal of Management*, 45, 175-194.
- Massaro, M., Dumay, J. and Guthrie, J. (2016). On the shoulders of giants: undertaking a structured literature review in accounting. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 29, 767-801.
- Palmatier, R.W., Houston, M.B. and Hulland, J. (2018). Review articles: purpose, process, and structure. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, 46, 1-5.
- Patriotta, G. (2020). Writing Impactful Review Articles. *Journal of Management Studies*, 57, 1271-1276.
- Paul, J. and Criado, A.R. (2020). The art of writing literature reviews. What do we know and what do we need to know? *International Business Review*, 29, 101717.
- Reuber, R. (2010). Strengthening Your Literature Review. *Family Business Review*, 23, 105-108.
- Rowley, J. and Slack, F. (2004). Conducting a literature review. *Management Research News*, 27, 31-39.

- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339.
- Torraco, R.J. (2016). Writing Integrative Literature Reviews: Using the Past and Present to Explore the Future. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15, 404-428.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D. and Smart, P. (2003). Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review. *British Journal of Management*, 14, 207-222.
- Webster, J. and Watson, R.T. (2002). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26, xiii-xxiii.
- Williams, R.I., Clark, L.A., Clark, W.R. and Raffo, D.M. (2021). Re-examining systematic literature review in management research: Additional benefits and execution protocols. *European Management Journal*, 39, 521-533.

Organisation einer empirisch-quantitativen Arbeit

- Ai, C. and Norton, E. 2003. Interaction terms in logit and probit models. *Economics Letters*, 80, 123-129.
- Anand, V., Bochkay, K., Chychyla, R. and Leone, A. 2020. Using Python for Text Analysis in Accounting Research. *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*, 14, 128-359.
- Anderson, D.R., Sweeney, D.J., Williams, T.A., Camm, J.D. and Cochran, J.J. 2018. *Statistics for Business and Economics*, 13. ed.
- Angrist, J.D. and Pischke, H.-S. (2008). *Mostly Harmless Econometrics. An Empiricist's Companion*.
- Arellano, M. and Bond, S. 1991. Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations. *Review of Economic Studies*, 58, 277-297.
- Bittmann, F. 2019. *Stata. A Really Short Introduction*. DeGruyter.
- Bloomfield, R. et al. 2016. Gathering Data for Archival, Field, Survey, and Experimental Accounting Research. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 54, 341-395.
- Burks, J.J., Randolph, D.W. and Seida, J.A. 2018. Modeling and interpreting regressions with interactions. *Journal of Accounting Literature*.
- Brooks, C. 2014. *Introductory Econometrics for Finance*. 3. ed.
- Bryman, A. and Bell, E. 2015. *Business Research Methods*. 4. ed.
- Certo, S.T., Withers, M.C. and Semadeni, M. (2017). A tale of two effects: Using Longitudinal Data to Compare Within- and Between-Firm effects. *Strategic Management Journal*, 378, 1536-1556.
- Cochrane, J.H. 2005. *Writing Tips for Ph.D. Students*.
- Dawson, J.F. 2014. Moderation in Management Research: What, Why, When, and How. *Journal of Business Psychology*, 29, 1-19.
- Doyle, P. 1977. The Application of Probit, Logit, and Tobit in Marketing: A Review. *Journal of Business Research*, 5, 235-248.
- Eden, I. et al. (eds.) 2020. *Research Methods in International Business*. Springer.
- Evans, J.H. et al. 2015. Points to Consider When Self-Assessing Your Empirical Accounting Research. *Cotemporary Accounting Research*, 32, 1162-1192.
- Gow, I.D., Ormazabal, G., Taylor, D.J. 2010. Correcting for Cross-Sectional and Time-Series Dependence in Accounting Research. *The Accounting Review*, 85, 483-512.
- Guo, K.H. 2021. Using Python for Textual Accounting Research.
- Haans, R.F.J., Pieters, C. and He, Z.-L. 2016. Thinking about U: Theorizing and Testing U- and inverted U-shaped Relationships in Strategy Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37, 1177-1195.
- Hunter, J.E. and Schmidt, F.L. 2000. Fixed Effects vs. Random Effects Meta Analysis Models: Implications for *Journal of Econometrics*, 87, 115-143.

- Katchova, A. 2013. Panel Data Models.
- Kinney, W.R. 2019. The Kinney Three Paragraphs (and More) for Accounting Ph.D. Students. Accounting Horizons 33, 1-14.
- McDonald, J.F. and Moffitt, R.A. 1980. The Uses of Tobit Analysis. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 62, 318-321.
- Mertens, W. et al. 2017. Quantitative Data Analysis. Springer.
- Park, H.M. 2011. Practical Guides to Panel Data Modeling: Analysis Using Stata. International University of Japan.
- Petersen, M.A. 2009. Estimating Standard Errors in Finance Panel Data Sets: Comparing Approaches. The Review of Financial Studies 22, 435-480.
- Rossi, P.E. 2014. Even the Rich can make themselves poor: a critical examination of IV Methods in Marketing Applications. Marketing Science 33: 655-672.
- Saunders, M.N.K., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. 2015. Research Methods for Business Students. 7. ed. Pearson.
- Schmidt, F.L. and Hunter, J.E. 2015. Methods of Meta-Analysis.
- Smith, M. 2017. Research methods in Accounting. 4rd ed.
- StataCorp. 2019. Stata: Release 16. Longitudinal-Data/Panel-Data Reference Manual.
- Stock, J.H. and Watson, M.W. Introduction to Econometrics. Pearson.
- Teoh, S.H. 2018. The promise and challenges of new datasets for accounting research. Accounting, Organizations and Society.
- Tobin, J. 1958. Estimation of Relationships for Limited Dependent Variables. Econometrica, 26, 24-36.
- Torres-Reyna, O. Getting Started in Logit and Ordered Logit Regression. Princeton.
- Torres-Reyna, O. Panel Data Analysis. Fixed and Random Effects Using Stata. Princeton.
- Williams, R.L. 2000. A note on robust variance estimation for cluster-correlated data. Biometrics, 56, 645-646.
- Wooldridge, J.M. 2009. Introductory Econometrics. 4. ed.
- Wooldridge, J.M. 2010. Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. 2d ed.
- Zikmund, W.G., Babin, B.J., Carr, J.C. and Griffin, M. 2010. Business Research Methods. 9. ed.

Berücksichtigung von Endogenität

- Abdallah, W., Goergen, M. and O'Sullivan, N. 2015. Endogeneity: How Failure to Correct for it can Cause Wrong Inferences and Some Remedies. British Journal of Management, 26, 791-804.
- Arellano, M. and Bond, S. 1991. Some tests of specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations. Review of Economic Studies, 58, 277-297.
- Arellano, M. and Bover, O. 1995. Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. Journal of Econometrics, 68, 29-51.
- Barros, L.A.B.C., Bergmann, D.R., Castro, F.H. and Di Miceli da Silveira, A. 2020. Endogeneity in panel data regressions: methodological guidance for corporate finance researchers. Revista Brasileira de Gestao de Negocios, 22, 437-461.
- Basile, G. 2008. Controlling for endogeneity with instrumental variables in strategic management research. Strategic Organization, 6, 285-327.
- Baum, C.F., Schaffer, M.E. and Stillman, S. 2003. Instrumental variables and GMM: Estimation and testing. The Stata Journal, 3, 1-31.
- Blundell, R. and Bond, S. 1998. Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models.

- Blundell, R. and Bond, S. 2000. GMM Estimation with persistent panel data: an application to production functions. *Econometric Reviews*, 19, 321-340.
- Blundell, R., Bond, S. and Windmeijer, F. 2000. Estimation in Dynamic Panel Data Models: Improving on the Performance of the Standard GMM Estimator. *Nonstationary Panels, Panel Cointegration and Dynamic Panels*, 15, 53-91.
- Blundell, R. and Costa Dias, M. 2009. Alternative Approaches to Evaluation in Empirical Microeconomics. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 44, 565-640.
- Bond, S. 2002. Dynamic panel data models: a guide to micro data methods and practice. *Portugese Economic Journal*, 1, 141-162.
- Bound, J., Jaeger, D.A. and Baker, R.M. 1995. Problems with Instrumental Variables Estimation when the Correlation between the Instruments and the Endogenous Explanatory Variable is Weak. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90, 443-450.
- Chenhall, R.H. and Moers, F. 2007. The Issue of Endogeneity within Theory-Based, Quantitative Management Accounting Research. *European Accounting Review*, 16, 173-196.
- Dang, V.A., Kim, M. and Shin, Y. 2015. In search of robust methods for dynamic panel data models in empirical corporate finance. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 53, 84-98.
- Denis, D. 2015. Doing Empirical Corporate Finance Research in an Endogenous World: Tutorial Session from the 2014 FMA Annual Meeting. *Journal of Applied Finance*, 25, 72-85.
- Eckert, C. and Hohberger, J. 2022. Addressing Endogeneity Without Instrumental Variables: An Evaluation of the Gaussian Copula Approach for Management Research. *Journal of Management* (online first).
- Flannery, M.J. and Hankins, K.W. 2013. Estimating dynamic panel models in corporate finance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 19, 1-19.
- Gallego, A., Rodriguez-Serrano, M.A. and Casanueva, C. 2019. Dynamic panel data models in tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 22, 379-399.
- Garcia-Castro, R., Arino, M.A. and Canela, M.A. 2010. Does Social Performance Really Lead to Financial Performance? Accounting for Endogeneity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 107-126.
- Gippel, J., Smith, T. and Zhu, Y. (2015). Endogeneity in Accounting and Finance Research: Natural Experiments as a State-of-the-Art Solution. *Abacus*, 51, 143-168.
- Hainmueller, J. and Xu, Y. 2013. Ebalance: A Stata Package for Entropy Balancing. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 54, 1-18.
- Hansen, L.P. 1982. Large Sample Properties of Generalized Method of Moments Estimators. *Econometrica*, 50, 1029-1054.
- Heckman, J.J. 1979. Selection Bias as a Specification Error. *Econometrica*, 47, 153-161.
- Hill, A.D., Johnson, S.G., Greco, L.M., O'Boyle, E.H. and Walter, S.L. (2021). Endogeneity: A Review and Agenda for the Methodology-Practice Divide Affecting Micro and Macro Research. *Journal of Management*, 47, 105-143.
- Hiller, D., Pindado, J., de Queiroz, V. and de la Torre, C. 2011. The impact of country-level corporate governance on research and development. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42, 76-98.
- Imbens, G.W. and Wooldridge, J.M. 2009. Recent developments in the econometrics of program evaluation. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 47, 5-86.
- Jiang, W. 2017. Have Instrumental Variables Brought Us Closer to the Truth. *Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 6, 127-140.
- Lahouel, B.B., Gaies, B., Zaied, Y.B., Jahmane, A. 2019. Accounting for endogeneity and the dynamics of corporate social-Corporate financial performance relationship. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 230: 352-364.
- Larcker, D.F. and Rusticus, T.O. 2010. On the use of instrumental variables in accounting research. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 49, 186-205.
- Lee, C.-F., Liang, W.-I., Lin, F.-L. and Yang, Y. 2016. Applications of simultaneous equations in finance research: methods and empirical results. *Review of Quantitative Finance*, 47, 943-971.

- Lechner, M. 2010. The Estimation of Causal Effects by Difference-in-Difference Methods. *Foundations and Trends in Econometrics*, 4, 165-224.
- Lennox, C.S., Francis, J.R. and Wang, Z. 2012. Selection Models in Accounting Research. *The Accounting Review* 87, 589-616.
- Lu, G., Ding, X.D., Peng, D.X., Chuang, H.H.C. 2018. Addressing endogeneity in operations management research. *Journal of Operations Management* 64: 53-64.
- Nadeem, M., Gyapong, E. and Ahmed, A. 2020. Board gender diversity and environmental, social, and economic value creation: Does family ownership matter? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29, 1268-1284.
- Nikolaev, V. and Van Lent, L. 2005. The Endogeneity Bias in the Relation between Cost-of-Debt Capital and of Accounting and Economics, 49, 186-205.
- Peel, M.J. 2014. Addressing unobserved endogeneity bias in accounting studies: control and sensitivity methods by variable type. *Accounting and Business Research*, 44, 545-571.
- Reeb, D., Sakakibara, M. and Mahmood, I.P. 2012. From the Editors: Endogeneity in international business research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 43, 211-218.
- Roberts, M.R. and Whited, T.M. 2013. Endogeneity in Empirical Corporate Finance. In: *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, 493-572.
- Roodman, D. 2009. How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata. *The Stata Journal* 9, 86-136.
- Roodman, D. 2009a. A note on the Theme of Too Many Instruments. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 71, 135-158.
- Rosenbaum, P.R. and Rubin, D.B. 1983. The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal affects. *Biometrika* 70: 41-55.
- Rossi, P.E. 2014. Even the Rich Can Make Themselves Poor: A Critical Examination of IV Methods in Marketing Applications. *Marketing Science*, 33, 655-672.
- Schultz, E.L., Tan, D.T. and Walsh, K. 2010. Endogeneity and the corporate governance – performance relation. *Australian Journal of Management*, 35, 145-163.
- Shipman, J., Swanquist, Q.T. and Whited, R.L. 2017. Propensity Score Matching in Accounting Research. *The Accounting Review* 92, 213-244.
- Soytas, M.A., Denizel, M., Usar, D.D. 2019. Addressing endogeneity in the causal relationship between sustainability and financial performance. *International Journal of Production Economics* 210: 56-71.
- Tucker, J.W. 2010. Selection Bias and Econometric Remedies in Accounting and Finance Research. *Journal of Accounting Literature* 29, 31-57.
- Ullah, S., Akhtar, P., Zaefarian, G. 2020. Dealing with endogeneity bias: The generalized method of moments (GMM) for panel data. *Industrial Marketing Management* (online first).
- Ullah, S., Zaefarian, G., Ullah, F. 2020. How to use instrumental variables in addressing endogeneity? A step-by-step procedure for non-specialists. *Industrial Marketing Management* (online first).
- Wang, C.-J. 2010. The Instrumental Variable Approach to Correct for Endogeneity in Finance, in: Lee et al. (eds.), *Handbook of Quantitative Finance and Risk Management*, 1357-1369.
- Windmeijer, F. 2005. A finite sample correction for the variance of linear efficient two-step GMM estimators. *Journal of Econometrics*, 126, 25-51.
- Wing, C., Simon, K. and Bello-Gomez, R.A. 2018. Designing Difference in Difference Studies: Best Practices for Public Health Policy Research. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 39, 453-469.
- Wintoki, M.B., Linck, J.S. and Netter, J.M. 2012. Endogeneity and the dynamics of internal corporate governance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 105, 581-606.
- Wooldridge, J.M. 2005. Instrumental Variables Estimation with Panel Data. *Econometric Theory*, 21, 865-869.
- Zaefarian, G., Kadile, V., Henneberg, S.C. and Leischnerig, A. 2017. Endogeneity bias in marketing research: Problem, causes and remedies. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 65, 39-46.

- Zahid, M., Rahman, H.U., Khan, M., Ali, W., Shad, F. 2020. Addressing endogeneity by proposing novel instrumental variables in the nexus of sustainability reporting and firm financial performance: A step-by-step procedure for non-experts. *Business Strategy and the Environment* (online first).
- Zhang, X., Fang, H., Dou, J. and Chrisman, J.J. 2022. Endogeneity Issues in Family Business Research: Current Status and Future Recommendations. *Family Business Review*, 35, 91-116.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

- Acuti, D., Glozer, S. and Crane, A. 2024. What is (and is not) stakeholder dialogue in CSR? A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 26, 518-535.
- Aguilera, R., Rupp, D.E., Williams, C.A. and Ganapathi, J. 2007. Putting the S back in Corporate Social Responsibility: A Multilevel Theory of Social Change in Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32, 836-863.
- Aguinis, H. and Glavas, A. 2012. What we know and don't know about Corporate Social Responsibility. A Review of Research Agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38, 932-968.
- Bel-Oms, I. 2025. Determinants and consequences of environmental, social and governance controversies. Status quo and limitations of recent empirical quantitative research. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 2412-2437.
- Burbano, V.C., Delmas, M.A. and Cobo, M.J. 2024. The Past and Future of Corporate Sustainability Research. *Organization & Environment*, 37, 133-158.
- Cucari, N., Tute, I., Montera, R. and Profita, S. 2023. A bibliometric performance analysis of publication productivity in the corporate social responsibility field: Outcomes of SciVal analytics. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30, 1-16.
- Dahlsrud, A. 208. How Corporate Social Responsibility is Defined: an Analysis of 37 Definitions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15, 1-13.
- De Bakker, F.G.A., Matten, D., Spence, L.J. and Wickert, C. 2020. The Elephant in the Room: The Nascent Research Agenda on Corporations, Social Responsibility, and Capitalism. *Business & Society*, 59, 1295-1302.
- De Falso, S.E., Montera, R., Leo, S., Laviola, F., Vito, P., Sardanelli, D., Basile, G., Nevi, G. and Alaia, R. 2024. Trends and patterns in ESG research: A bibliometric odyssey and research agenda. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 3703-3723.
- Du, S., El Akremi, A. and Jia, M. 2023. Quantitative Research on Corporate Social Responsibility: A Quest for Relevance and Rigor in a Quickly Evolving, Turbulent World. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 187, 1-15.
- Dwibedi, P., Pahi, D. and Sahu, A. 2024. Mapping the landscape of environmental, social and governance research: A bibliometric analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 3745-3767.
- Edmans, A. 2023. The end of ESG. *Financial Management*, 52, 3-17.
- Estevez-Mendoza, C. and Infante, J. 2025. Evolution and relevance of research on Environmental, Social, and Governance factors. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 1545-1566.
- Fatima, T. and Elbanna, S. 2023. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Implementation: A Review and a Research Agenda Towards an Integrative Framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 183, 105-121.
- Fernandez-Gago, R., Cabeza-Garcia, L. and Godos-Diez, J.-L. 2020. How significant is corporate social responsibility to business research? *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27, 1809-1817.
- Frerichs, I.M. and Teichert, T. 2023. Research streams in corporate social responsibility literature: a bibliometric analysis. *Management Review Quarterly*, 73, 231-261.

- Frynas, J.G. and Yamahaki, C. 2016. Corporate social responsibility: review and roadmap of theoretical perspectives: *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 25, 258-285.
- Garriga, E. and Mele, D. 2004. Corporate Social Responsibility Theories: Mapping the Territory. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 51-71.
- Hassan, K., Rabbani, M.R., Brodmann, J., Bashar, A. and Grewal, H. 2023. Bibliometric and Scientometric analysis on CSR practices in the banking sector. *Review of Financial Economics*, 41, 177-196.
- Iborra, M. and Riera, M. 2023. Corporate social irresponsibility: What we know and what we need to know. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30, 1421-1439.
- Khaw, T.Y., Amran, A. and Teoh, A.P. 2024. Factors influencing ESG performance: A bibliometric analysis, systematic literature review, and future research directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 448, 141430.
- Khurshid, R. and Islam, A. 2025. ESG literature mapping: insights from bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 22, 476-503.
- Lange, D. and Washburn, N. 2012. Understanding Attributions of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Academy of Management Review* 37: 300-326.
- Latif, K.F. and Sajjad, A. 2018. Measuring corporate social responsibility: A critical review of survey instruments. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 25, 1174-1197.
- Lee, M.D.P. 2008. A review of the theories of corporate social responsibility: Its evolutionary path and the road ahead. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 10, 53-73.
- Liao, Z. and Liu, Y. 2021. What drives environmental innovation? A meta-analysis. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30, 1852-1864.
- Lin, Z., Ma, C., Weber, O. and Ren, Y.-S. 2025. Inclusive mapping of sustainable finance and accounting: a bibliometric review. *Inclusive mapping of sustainable finance and accounting: a bibliometric review. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 16, 618-702.
- Liu, M., Lu, J., Zhao, C., Luo, J., Liu, Q., Wang, H., Fang, S. and Yang, Y. 2025. Antecedents and consequences of environmental, social, and governance: A bibliometric analysis based on the Web of Science database. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 984-1001.
- Mariani, M.M., Al-Sultan, K. and De Massis, A. 2021. Corporate social responsibility in family firms: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Small Business Management* (online first).
- Marie, M., Qi, B., Gerged, A.M. and Nobanee, H. 2024. Exploring Environmental, Social and Governance research in the wake of COVID-19: A bibliometric analysis of current trends and recommendations for future research. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 6131-6149.
- Mishra, A., Alsamhi, M.H., Bahejer, M., Alahdal, W.M. 2025. ESG research unveiled: publication patterns, dominant themes, and future avenues – a bibliometric approach. *Cogent Business & Management* (online first).
- Montiel, I. 2008. Corporate Social Responsibility and Corporate Sustainability: Separate Pasts, Common Futures. *Organization Environment*, 21, 245-269.
- Moura-Leite, R. and Padgett, R.C. 2011. Historical background of corporate social responsibility. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 7, 528-539.
- Nave, A. and Ferreira, J. 2019. Corporate social responsibility strategies: Past research and future challenges. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26, 885-901.
- Pope, S. and Waeraas, A. 2016. CSR-Washing is Rare: A Conceptual Framework, Literature Review, and Critique. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137, 173-193.
- Santos Moises, F. and de Carvalho, M.M. 2025. To what extent is the banking sector devoted to sustainability? A bibliometric and content analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 2002-2018.

- Schmitz, J. and Schrader, J. 2015. Corporate Social Responsibility: A Microeconomic Review of the Literature. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 29, 27-45.
- Secchi, D. 2007. Utilitarian, managerial and relational theories of corporate social responsibility. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9, 347-373.
- Velte, P. 2022. Meta-analyses on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). A literature re-view. *Management Review Quarterly* 72: 627-675.
- Zhang, N., Yang, C. and Wang, S. 2024. Research progress and prospect of environmental, social and governance: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 447, 141489.
- Zhu, C.Y., Villar, A.S. and Ge, B. 2025. Environmental, Social, and Governance in Family Firms: A Bibliometric Review and Agenda for Future Research. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility* (online first).

CSR & firm performance (Business case)

- Adjanor-Doku, C., Ellis, F.Y. and Affum-Osei, E. 2025. Environmental performance: A systematic review of literature and directions for future studies. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 71-87.
- Al-Akheli, A., Tan, H.T., Hazaee, S.A., Elfarra, B. and Al-Zubairi, A. 2025. Mapping the Literature Trends of Corporate Social Responsibility and Cost of Capital: A Systematic Literature Review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 3383-3407.
- Albertini, E. 2013. Does Environmental Management Improve Financial Performance? A Meta-Analytical Review. *Organization and Environment*, 26, 431-457.
- Ali, W., Wilson, J. and Hamza, M. 2025. A Meta Analytical Study of Cultural Conditions Moderating the Relationship Between Board Diversity and CSR Disclosure. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 4586-4603.
- Ali, W., Wilson, J. and Saeed, T. 2024. A meta-analytical study of cultural conditions moderating the relationship between environmental performance and environmental disclosure. *Accounting Research Journal*, 37, 151-171.
- Ambec, S. and Lanoie, P. 2008. Does it pay to be green? A systematic overview. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 45-62.
- Barani, P., Ahmed, A.D., Joshi, M. and Asiaei, K. 2025. How environmental management accounting drives performance: a meta-analysis considering national EMA maturity. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 47, 416-443.
- Barauskaite, G. and Streimikiene, D. 2021. Corporate social responsibility and financial performance of companies: The puzzle of concepts, definitions and assessment methods. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28, 278-287.
- Bartolacci, F., Caputo, A. and Soverchia, M. 2019. Sustainability and financial performance of small and medium sized enterprises: A bibliometric and systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29, 1297-1309.
- Benliemlih, M. 2017. Corporate social responsibility and firm financing decisions: A literature review. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 42, 1-10.
- Brooks, C. 2018. The effects of environmental, social and governance disclosures and performance on firm value: A review of the literature in accounting and finance. *British Accounting Review*, 50, 1-15.
- Busch, T. and Friede, G. 2018. The Robustness of the Corporate Social and Financial Performance Relation: A Second-Order Meta-Analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 25, 583-608.

- Canavati, S. 2018. Corporate social performance in family firms: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Family Business Management*, 8, 235-273
- Carroll, A.B. and Shabana, K.M. 2010. The Business Case for Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review of Concepts, Research and Practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12, 85-105.
- Chatzitheodorou, K., Skouloudis, A., Evangelinos, K. and Nikolaou, I. 2019. Exploring socially responsible investment perspectives: A literature mapping and an investor classification. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*.
- Coelho, R., Jayantilal, S. and Ferreira, J.J. 2023. The impact of social responsibility on corporate financial performance: A systematic literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30, 1535-1560.
- Crifo, P. and Forget, V.D. 2015. The Economics of Corporate Social Responsibility: A Firm-Level Perspective Survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 29, 112-130.
- De Giuli, M., Grechi, D. and Tanda, A. 2024. What do we know about ESG and risk? A systematic and bibliometric review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 1096-1108.
- Dixon-Fowler, H.R., Slater, D.J., Johnson, J.L., Ellstrand, A.E. and Romi, A.M. 2013. Beyond "Does it Pay to be Green?" A Meta-Analysis of Moderators of the CEP-CFP Relationship. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 112, 353- 366.
- Doan, M.H. and Sassen, R. 2020. The relationship between environmental performance and environmental disclosure. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 24, 1140-1157.
- Dyllick, T. and Hockerts, K. 2002. Beyond the Business Case for Corporate Sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 11, 130-141.
- Ehsan, S., Nurunnabi, M., Tahir, S. and Hashmi, M.H. 2020. Earnings management: A new paradigm of corporate social responsibility. *Business and Society Review* (online first).
- Ellili, N.O.D. and Seow, R.Y.C. 2025, Mapping Environmental, Social, and Governance Controversies and Corporate Financial Performance: Insights From Bibliometric and Content Analyses. *Business Strategy & Development 2025* (online first).
- Endrikat, J. 2016. Market reactions to corporate environmental performance related events: a meta-analytic consolidation of the empirical evidence. *Journal of Business Ethics* 138, 535-548.
- Endrikat, J., Guenther, E. and Hoppe, H. 2014. Making sense of conflicting empirical findings: A metaanalytic review of the relationship between corporate environmental and financial performance. *European Management Journal*, 32, 735-751.
- Erauskin-Tolosa, A., Zubeltzu-Jaka, E., Heras-Saizarbitoria, I. and Boiral, O. 2020. ISO 14001, EMAS and environmental performance: a meta-analysis. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29, 1145-1159.
- Etzion, D. 2007. Research on Organizations and the Natural Environment, 1992-Present. A Review. *Journal of Management*, 33, 637-664.
- Friede, G. 2019. Why don't we see more action? A metasynthesis of the investor impediments to integrate environmental, social, and governance factors. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28, 1260-1282.
- Friede, G., Busch, T. and Bassen, A. 2015. ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment*, 5, 210-233.
- Frooman, J. 1997. Socially Irresponsible and Illegal Behavior and Shareholder Wealth. *Business & Society*, 36, 221-249.
- Gillan, S.L., Koch, A. and Starks, L.T. 2021. Firms and social responsibility: A review of ESG and CSR research in corporate finance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 66, 101889.
- Grewatsch, S. and Kleindienst, I. 2017. When Does It Pay to be Good? Moderators and Mediators in the Corporate Sustainability–Corporate Financial Performance Relationship: A Critical Review. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 145, 383-416.

- Griffin, J.J. and Mahon, J.F. 1997. The Corporate Social Performance and Corporate Financial Performance Debate: Twenty-Five Years of Incomparable Research. *Business and Society*, 36, 5-31.
- Guenther, E., Busch, T., Endrikat, J., Guenther, T., Orlitzky, M. 2018. What we know about the Economic Payoffs of Corporate Ecological Sustainability. *Corporate Social Responsibility*. *Business and Society* 360, 325-352.
- Guenther, E., Hoppe, H. and Endrikat, J. 2011. Corporate financial performance and corporate environmental performance: A perfect match? *Journal of Environmental Policy and Law*, 279-296.
- Guenther, E.M., Hoppe, H. 2014. Merging Limited Perspectives. A Synopsis of Measurement Approaches and Theories of the Relationship between Corporate Environmental and Financial Performance. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 18, 689-707.
- Gupta, J. and Das, N. 2022. Multidimensional corporate social responsibility disclosure and financial performance: A meta-analytical review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 29, 731-748.
- Hang, M., Geyer-Klingenberg, J. and Rathgeber, A.W. 2018. It is merely a matter of time: A meta-analysis of the causality between environmental performance and financial performance. *Business Strategy and The Environment*, 28, 257-273.
- Hinze, A.-K. and Sump, F. 2019. Corporate social responsibility and financial analysts: a review of the literature. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 10, 183-207.
- Hirsch, S., Petersen, T., Koppenberg, M. and Hartmann, M. 2023. CSR and firm profitability: Evidence from a meta-regression analysis. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 37, 993-1032.
- Hizarci-Payne, A., Ipek, I. and Gümüs, G.K. 2021. How environmental innovation influences firm performance: A meta-analytic review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30, 1174-1190.
- Hockerts, K. 2015. A Cognitive Perspective on the Business Case for Corporate Sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 24, 102-122.
- Horvathova, E. 2010. Does environmental performance affect financial performance? A meta-analysis. *Ecological Economics* 70, 52-59.
- Huang, D.Z.X. 2021. Environmental, social and governance (ESG) activity and firm performance: a review and consolidation. *Accounting & Finance*, 61, 335-360.
- Huang, K., Sim, N. and Zhao, H. 2020. Corporate social responsibility, corporate financial performance and the confounding effects of economic fluctuations: A meta-analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 70, 101504.
- Jia, M. and Zhang, Z. 2014. How Does The Stock Market Value Corporate Social Performance? When Behavioral Theories Interact with Stakeholder Theory. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 125, 433-465.
- Khan, S. and Gupta, S. 2024. The interplay of sustainability, corporate green accounting and firm financial performance: a meta-analytical investigation. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 1038-1066.
- Khan, M.A. and Vismara, S. 2025. Green bond issuance and corporate environmental and financial performance: A meta-analysis. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 102, 104313.
- Khamis, S., Ibrahim, Y. and Moubarak, H. 2025. Sustainability practices and financial performance: a meta-analysis approach. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting* (online first).
- Kong, Y., Antwi-Adjei, A. and Bawuah, J. 2020. A systematic review of the business case for corporate social responsibility and firm performance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27, 444-454.
- Kruse, N. 2024. How does corporate social performance affect (prospective) employees? A systematic literature review of experimental studies. *Review of Managerial Science*, 18, 3085-3140.
- Li, W., Yan, T. and Li, Y. 2025. Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in a cross-country context: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 190, 115218.

- Lu, W.L. and Taylor, M.E. 2016. Which Factors Moderate the Relationship between Sustainability Performance and Financial Performance? A Meta-Analysis Study. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 15, 1-15.
- Margolis, J.D. and Walsh, J.P. 2003. Misery Loves Companies: Rethinking Social Initiatives by Business. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48, 268-305.
- Mattingly, J.E. 2017. Corporate Social Performance: A Review of Empirical Research Examining the Corporation-Society Relationship Using Kinder, Lydenberg, Domini Social Ratings Data. *Business and Society*, 56, 796-839.
- Mendonca, J.A., Roberto, F.R.A., Kai, D.A. and Benitez, G. B. 2025. The Lock-In Effect on ESG and Business Performance Relationship: A Critical Examination and Meta-Analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* (online first).
- Miroshnychenko, I., De Massis, A., Barontini, R. and Testa, F. 2022. Family Firms and Environmental Performance: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Family Business Review*, 35, 68-90.
- Nawrocka, D. and Parker, T. 2009. Finding the connection: environmental management systems and environmental performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17, 601-607.
- Oduro, S., Umar, R.A., De Massis, A. and Haylemariam, L.G. 2025. Corporate social responsibility and family firm performance: A meta-analytic review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 1412-1443.
- Oh, W.-Y., Zeng, R.R. and Bu, M. 2025. Buffering or Backfiring? A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Corporate Social (Ir)responsibility on Firm Risk. *Business & Society* (online first).
- Orlitzky, M. 2001. Does Firm Size Confound the Relationship Between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 33, 167-180.
- Orlitzky, M. 2011. Institutional Logics in the Study of Organizations: The Social Construction of the Relationship between Corporate Social and Financial Performance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 21, 409-444.
- Orlitzky, M. and Benjamin, J.D. 2001. Corporate social performance and firm risk: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Business and Society*, 40, 369-396.
- Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F.L. and Rynes, S.L. 2003. Corporate Social and Financial performance: A Meta-analysis. *Organization Studies*, 24, 403-441.
- Perrini, F., Russo, A., Tencati, A. and Vurro, C. 2011. Deconstructing the Relationship between Corporate Social and Financial Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102, 59-76.
- Plewnia, F. and Guenther, E. 2017. The benefits of doing good: a meta-analysis of corporate philanthropy business outcomes and its implications for management control. *Journal of Management Control*, 28, 347-376.
- Postiglione, M., Carini, C. and Falini, A. 2024. ESG and firm value: A hybrid literature review on cost of capital implications from Scopus database. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 6457-6480.
- Quazi, A. and Richardson, A. 2012. Sources of variation in linking corporate social responsibility and financial performance. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 8, 242-256.
- Rahi, A.B.M.F., Johansson, J., Blomkvist, M. and Hartwig, F. 2024. Corporate sustainability and financial performance: A hybrid literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 801-815.
- Raza, A., Ilyas, M.I., Rauf, R. and Qamar, R. 2012. Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Corporate Financial Performance (CFP): literature review approach. *Finance Management*, 46, 8404-8409.
- Rezaee, Z. 2016. Business sustainability research: A theoretical and integrated perspective. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 36, 48-64.
- Roman, R.M., Hayibor, S. and Agle, B.R. 1999. The relationship between social and financial performance. *Business and Society*, 38, 109-125.

- Saha, R., Shashi, Cerchione, R., Singh, R. and Dahiya, R. 2019. Effect of ethical leadership and corporate social responsibility on firm performance: A systematic review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* (online first).
- Salzmann, O., Ionescu-Somers, A. and Steger, U. 2005. The Business Case for Corporate Sustainability. *Literature Review and Research Options*. *European Management Journal*, 23, 27-36.
- Schaltegger, S., Hörisch, J. and Freeman, R.E. 2019. Business Cases for Sustainability: A Stakeholder Theory Perspective. *Organization & Environment*, 32, 191-212.
- Schnippering, M. 2020. R&D: the missing link between corporate social performance and financial performance? *Management Review Quarterly*, 70, 243-255.
- Schröder, M. 2014. Financial effects of corporate social responsibility: a literature review. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 4, 337-350.
- Singhania, M. and Gupta, M. 2024. Impact of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) disclosure on firm risk: A meta-analytical review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 3573-3613.
- Talan, G. and Sharma, G.D. 2019. Doing Well by Doing Good: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda for Sustainable Investment. *Sustainability*, 11, 353, 1-16.
- Tsai, K.-H., Huang, C.-T. and Chen, Z.-H. 2020. Understanding variation in the relationship between environmental management practices and firm performance across studies: A meta-analytic review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29, 547-565.
- Van Beurden, P. and Goessling, T. 2008. The worth of values. A literature review on the relationship between corporate social and financial performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82, 407-424.
- Velte, P., Stawinoga, M. and Lueg, R. 2020. Carbon performance and disclosure: A systematic review of governance-related determinants and financial consequences. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 254, 120063.
- Villalonga, B. 2018. The impact of ownership on building sustainable and responsible businesses. *Journal of the British Academy*, 6, 375-403.
- Vishwanathan, P., van Oosterhout, H., Heugens, P.P.M.A.R., Duran, P. and van Essen, M. 2020. Strategic CSR: A Concept Building Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Management Studies*, 57, 314-350.
- Wang, Q., Dou, J. and Jia, S. 2016. A Meta-Analytic Review of Corporate Social Responsibility and Corporate Financial Performance: The Moderating Effect of Contextual Factors. *Business and Society*, 55, 1083–1121.
- Wang, N., Pan, H., Feng, Y. and Du, S. 2024. How do ESG practices create value for businesses? Research review and prospects. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 1155-1177.
- Wang, Y., Albitar, K. and Chbib, I. 2024. Connecting the dots: A systematic review of corporate social responsibility, information asymmetry, and economic implications. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 5012-5031.
- Wood, D.J. and Jones, R.E. 1995. Stakeholder Mismatching: A theoretical problem in empirical Research on Corporate Social Performance. *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 3, 229-267.
- Zheng, L. and latridis, K. 2022. Friends or foes? A systematic literature review and meta-analysis of the relationship between eco-innovation and firm performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31, 1838-1855.

Sustainability Reporting

- Adams, C., Abhayawansa, S. 2021. Connecting the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental, social and governance (ESG) investing and calls for 'harmonisation' of sustainability reporting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* (online first).

- Abernathy, J., Stefaniak, C., Wilkins, A. and Olson, J. 2017. Literature review and research opportunities on credibility of corporate social responsibility reporting. *American Journal of Business*, 32, 24-41.
- Adamo, S., De Matteis, C., Fasiello, R. and Imperiale, F. 2025. A Literature Analysis of Sustainability Reporting Quality. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 4194-4215.
- Ali, W., Bekiros, S., Hussain, N., Khan, S.A. and Nguyen, D.K. 2024. Determinants and consequences of corporate social responsibility disclosure: A survey of extant literature. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 38, 793-822.
- Ali, W. and Wilson, J. 2024. Multi-level analysis on determinants of sustainability disclosure: a survey of academic literature. *Managerial Finance*, 50, 228-265.
- Ali, W., Frynas, J.G. and Mahmood, Z. 2017. Determinants of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Disclosure in Developed and Developing Countries: A Literature Review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 24, 273-294.
- Andrew, J. and Baker, M. 2020. Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting: The Last 40 Years and a Path to Sharing Future Insights. *Abacus*, 56, 35-65.
- Arkow, P., Costantini, A. and Scarpa, F. 2024. Determinants of sustainability reporting: A systematic literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 1578-1597.
- Awuah, B., Yazdifar, H. and Elbardan, H. 2024. Corporate reporting on the Sustainable Development Goals: a structured literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 20, 617-646.
- Bais, B., Nassimbeni, G. and Orzes, G. 2024. Global Reporting Initiative: Literature review and research directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 471, 143428.
- Barroso-Mendez, M.J., Pajuelo-Moreno, M.-L., and Gallardo-Vazquez, D. 2024. A meta-analytic review of the sustainability disclosure and reputation relationship: aggregating findings in the field of social and environmental accounting. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 1210-1254.
- Baumüller, J. and Sopp, K. 2022. Double materiality and the shift from non-financial to European sustainability reporting: review, outlook and implications. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 23, 8-28.
- Benamer, K.B., Mostafa, M.M., Hassanein, A., Shariff, M.Z. and Al-Shattarat, W. 2024. Sustainability reporting scholarly research: a bibliometric review and a future research agenda. *Management Review Quarterly*, 74, 823-866.
- Bernini, F., Giuliani, M. and La Rosa, F. 2024. Measuring greenwashing: A systematic methodological literature review. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 33, 649-667.
- Bernini, F. and La Rosa, F. 2024. Research in the greenwashing field: concepts, theories, and potential impacts on economic and social value. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 28, 405-444.
- Bhullar, P.S., Joshi, M., Sharma, S., Kaur, A. and Phan, D. 2025. Greenwashing and ESG: Bibliometric analysis and future research agenda. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 93, 102846.
- Bilal, Gerged, A.M., Arslan, H.M., Abbas, A., Chen, S. and Manzoor, S. 2024. A bibliometric review of corporate environmental disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 46, 214-237.
- Brooks, C. 2018. The effects of environmental, social and governance disclosures and performance on firm value: A review of the literature in accounting and finance. *The British Accounting Review*, 50, 1-15.
- Cepeda, C., Monteiro, A.P. and Aibar-Guzman, B. 2025. Decoupling in Sustainability Reporting: A Systematic Literature Review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 2988-3007.
- Chen, J.C. and Roberts, R.W. 2010. Toward a More Coherent Understanding of the Organization-Society Relationship: A Theoretical Consideration for Social and Environmental Accounting Research. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97, 651-665.

- Cho, C.H., Laine, M., Roberts, R.W. and Rodrigue, M. 2015. Organized hypocrisy, organizational façades, and sustainability reporting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 40, 78-94.
- Christensen, H.B., Hail, L. and Leuz, C. 2021. Mandatory CSR and sustainability reporting: economic analysis and literature review. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 26, 1176-1248.
- De Silva, P., Gunarathne, N. and Kumar, S. 2025. Exploring the impact of digital knowledge, integration and performance on sustainable accounting, reporting and assurance. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 33, 497-552
- De Villiers, C. and Dimes, R. 2021. Determinants, mechanisms and consequences of corporate governance reporting: a research framework. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 25, 7-26.
- De Villiers, C., Dimes, R. and Molinari, M. 2024. How will AI text generation and processing impact sustainability reporting? Critical analysis, a conceptual framework and avenues for future research. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 96-118.
- Del Gesso, C. and Lodhi, R.N. 2025. Theories underlying environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure: a systematic review of accounting studies. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 47, 433-461.
- Di Vaio, A., Palladino, R., Hassan, R. and Alvino, F. 2020. Human resources disclosure in the EU Directive 2014/95/EU perspective: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 257, 120509.
- Dienes, D., Sassen, R. and Fischer, J. (2016). What are the drivers of sustainability reporting? A systematic review. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 7, 154-189.
- Dimes, R. and Molinari, M. 2024. Non-financial reporting and corporate governance: a conceptual framework. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 1067-1093.
- Dinh, T., Husmann, A. and Melloni, G. 2023. Corporate Sustainability Reporting in Europe: A Scoping Review. *Accounting in Europe*, 20, 1-29.
- Diwan, H. and Sreeraman, B.A. 2024. From financial reporting to ESG reporting: a bibliometric analysis of the evolution in corporate sustainability disclosures. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26, 13769-13805.
- Dorfleitner, G. and Utz, S. (2024). Green, green, it's green they say: a conceptual framework for measuring greenwashing on firm level. *Review of Managerial Science*, 18, 3463-3486.
- Fiandrino, S., Tonelli, A. and Devalle, A. 2022. Sustainability materiality research: a systematic literature review of methods, theories and academic themes. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 19, 665-695.
- Fiandrino, S., di Trana, M.G., Tonelli, A. and Lucchese, A. 2022. The multi-faceted dimensions for the disclosure quality of non-financial information in revising directive 2014/95/EU. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 23, 274-300.
- Fifka, M. 2012. The development and state of research on social and environmental reporting in global comparison. *Journal für Betriebswirtschaft*, 62, 45-84.
- Fifka, M. 2013. Corporate Responsibility Reporting and its Determinants in Comparative Perspective. A Review of the Empirical Literature and a Meta-analysis. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 22, 1-35.
- Free, C., Jones, S. and Tremblay, M.-S. 2024. Greenwashing and sustainability assurance: a review and call for future research. *Journal of Accounting Literature* (online first).
- Frost, G. and Jones, S. 2015. Corporate sustainability reporting: Theory and Practice. *The Routledge Companion to Financial Accounting Theory*, 514-535.
- Galletta, S., Mazzu, S., Naciti, V. and Paltrinieri, A. 2024. A PRISMA systematic review of greenwashing in the banking industry: A call for action. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 69, 102262.
- Garcia, E.A.d.R., Carvalho, G.M.d., Boaventura, J.M.G. and Souza Filho, J.M.d. 2021. Determinants of corporate social performance disclosure: a literature review. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 17, 445-468.

- Gray, R., Kouhy, R. and Lavers, S. 1995. Corporate social and environmental reporting. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 8, 47-77.
- Guan, J. and Noronha, C. 2013. Corporate social responsibility reporting in the Chinese academia: a critical review. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 9, 33-55.
- Gulenko, M. 2018. Mandatory CSR reporting. Literature review and development in Germany. *Uwf*, 26, 3-17.
- Hahn, R. and Kühnen, M. 2013. Determinants of sustainability reporting: a review of results, trends, theory, and opportunities in an expanding field of research. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 59, 5-21.
- Haji, A.A., Coram, P. and Troshani, A. 2023. Consequences of CSR reporting regulations worldwide: a review and research agenda. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 36, 177-208
- Hameed, F., Wilmhurst, T. and Horner, C. 2024. CSR disclosure quantity to CSR disclosure quality – in pursuit of a disclosure quality index. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 36, 433-467.
- Hsiao, P.C.K., de Villiers, C., Horner, C. and Oosthuizen, H. 2022. A review and synthesis of contemporary sustainability accounting research and the development of a research agenda. *Accounting & Finance*, 62, 4453-4483.
- Huang, X.B. and Watson, L. 2015. Corporate social responsibility research in accounting. *Journal of accounting Literature*, 34, 1-16.
- Huang, Z., Shi, Y. and Jia, M. 2025. Greenwashing: A systematic literature review. *Accounting & Finance*, 65, 857-882.
- Hummel, K. and Jobst, D. 2024. An Overview of Corporate Sustainability Reporting Legislation in the European Union. *Accounting in Europe*, 21, 320-355.
- Huq, A.M. and Mohammadrezaei, M. 2025. A review of ex ante and ex post materiality measures, and consequences and determinants of material disclosures in sustainability reporting. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 47, 71-98.
- Khamisu, M.S. and Paluri, A.R. 2025. What's past is prologue: reminiscing research on environment social governance (ESG) disclosures. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 22, 320-340.
- Khan, M. and Lockhart, J. 2022. Corporate social responsibility decoupling in developing countries: Current research and a future agenda. *Business and Society Review*, 127, 127-143.
- Khan, M., Hassan, A., Harrison, C. and Target, H. 2020. CSR reporting: a review of research and agenda for future research. *Management Research Review*, 11, 1395-1419.
- Khelif, H., Hussainey, K. and Achek, I. 2015. The effect of national culture on the association between profitability and corporate social and environmental disclosure. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 23, 296-321.
- Korca, B. and Costa, E. 2021. Directive 2014/95/EU: building a research agenda. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 22, 401-422.
- La Torre, M., Sabelfeld, S., Blomqvist, M., Tarquinio, L. and Dumay, J. 2018. Harmonising non-financial reporting regulation in Europe. Practical forces and projections for future research. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 26, 598-621.
- Lagasio, V. and Cucari, N. 2019. Corporate governance and environmental social governance disclosure: A meta-analytical review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26, 701-711.
- Latella, P. and Veltri, S. 2024. The drivers of nonfinancial disclosure quality: A systematic literature review analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 5524-5542.
- Lee, T.M. and Hutchison, P.D. 2005. The Decision to Disclose Environmental Information: A Research Review and Agenda. *Advances in Accounting*, 21, 83-111.
- Lyon, T.P. and Montgomery, A.W. 2015. The Means and End of Greenwash. *Organization & Environment*, 28, 223-249.
- Maibaum, F., Kriebel, J. and Foege, J.N. 2024. Selecting textual analysis tools to classify sustainability information in corporate reporting. *Decision Support Systems*, 183, 114269.

- Majumder, M.T.H., Akter, A. and Li, X. 2017. Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Disclosures: A Meta-Analytical Review. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 25, 434-458.
- Malik, M. 2015. Value-Enhancing Capabilities of CSR: A Brief Review of Contemporary Literature. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127, 419-438.
- Maroun, W. 2015. Culture, profitability, non-financial reporting and a meta-analysis. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 23, 322-330.
- Marrone, M., Linnenluecke, M.K., Richardson, G. and Smith, T. 2020. Trends in environmental accounting research within and outside of the accounting discipline. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 33, 2167-2193.
- Michelon, G., Pilonato, S., Ricceri, F. and Roberts, R.W. 2016. Behind camouflaging. Traditional and innovative theoretical perspectives in social and environmental accounting research. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 7, 2-25.
- Mishra, P. and Kumar, K. 2024. Uncovering the sustainability reporting: bibliometric analysis and future research directions. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 21, 520-542.
- Montgomery, A.W., Lyon, T.P. and Barg, J. 2024. No End in Sight? A Greenwash Review and Research Agenda. *Organization & Environment*, 37, 221-256.
- Mustafa, F., Smolarski, J. and Elamer, A.A. 2025. The Convergence of Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability Reporting: A Systematic Review of Applications, Challenges and Future Directions. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.
- Oll, J., Spandel, T. Schiemann, F. and Akkermann, J. 2025. The concept of materiality in sustainability reporting: from essential contestation to research opportunities. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 16, 321-350.
- Omran, M.A. and Ramdhony, D. 2015. Theoretical Perspectives on Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: A Critical Review. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 5, 38-55.
- Owusu, G.M.Y. and Ofori-Owusu, C. 2024. Analysis of the structure and evolution of sustainability accounting research: a 41-year review. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 32, 1445-1492.
- Pajuelo-Moreno, M.L., Barroso-Mendez-M.J. and Gallardo-Vazquez, D. 2024. Relationship between sustainability disclosure and corporate reputation: Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 8593-8615.
- Paridhi and Arora, A. 2023. Sustainability reporting: Current state and challenges. *Business Strategy and Development*, 6, 362-381.
- Pennesi, A. and Giuliani, M. 2025. Social Media and Sustainability Reporting: A Literature Review. *Sustainable Development* (online first).
- Pope, S. and Waeraas, A. 2016. CSR-Washing is Rare: A Conceptual Framework, Literature Review, and Critique. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137, 173-193.
- Radhakrishnan, S., Tsang, A. and Liu, R. 2018. A Corporate Social Responsibility Framework for Accounting Research. *International Journal of Accounting*, 53, 274-294.
- Radwan, E.K. and Russo, A. 2024. Web-based corporate social responsibility disclosure practices: A systematic literature review and research directions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 5049-5106.
- Rinaldi, L. 20219. Accounting for Sustainability Governance: The Enabling Role of Social and Environmental Accountability Research. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 39, 1-22.
- Saji, T.G. and Akshaykumar, T.A. 2025. The Value Relevance of Sustainability Reporting Practices: A Bibliometric and Qualitative Synthesis of Scientific Literature in the Post-GFC Era. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 4769-4787.
- Santos, C., Coelho, A. and Marques, A. 2024. A systematic literature review on greenwashing and its relationship to stakeholders: state of art and future research agenda. *Management Review Quarterly*, 74, 1397-1421.

- Seow, R.Y.C. 2024. Determinants of environmental, social, and governance disclosure: A systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 2314-2330.
- Siddiqui, S.A. and Keddie, S.L. 2025. What's the Holdup? Sustainability Reporting's Uneven Push into Areas of "Old Guard" Traditional Accounting: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Accounting Perspectives* (online first).
- Singhania, M. and Chadha, G. 2023. Thirty years of sustainability reporting research: a scientometric analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30, 102047-102082.
- Singhania, M. and Chadha, G. 2024. Impact of debt on sustainability reporting: a meta-analysis of the moderating role of country characteristics. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 46, 671-721.
- Stawinoga, M. & Velte, P. 2022. Single versus double materiality of corporate sustainability reporting? Which concept will contribute to climate neutral business? *Journal of Environmental Law and Policy* 45: 210-248.
- Stuart, A.C., Fuller, S.H., Heron, N.M. and Riley, T.J. 2023. Defining CSR disclosure quality: a review and synthesis of the accounting literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 45, 1-47.
- Talpur, S., Nadeem, M. and Roberts, H. 2024. Corporate social responsibility decoupling: a systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 25, 878-909.
- Traxler, A.A., Schrack, D. and Greiling, D. 2020. Sustainability reporting and management control. A systematic exploratory literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 276, 1222725.
- Tsang, A., Frost, T. and Cao, H. 2023. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosure: A literature review. *The British Accounting Review*, 55, 101149.
- Turzo, T., Marzi, G., Favino, C. and Terzani, S. 2022. Non-financial reporting research and practice: Lessons from the last decade. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 345, 131154.
- Velte, P. 2022. Empirical research on the European Nonfinancial Reporting Directive 2014/95 (NFRD) – a structured literature review. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Law*, 4, 481-518.
- Velte, P. 2023. Determinants and consequences of corporate social responsibility decoupling—Status quo and limitations of recent empirical quantitative research. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30, 2695-2717.
- Velte, P. 2023. Automated text analyses of sustainability & integrated reporting. A literature review of empirical-quantitative research. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 14, 530-566.
- Zhang, A.Y. and Zhang, J.H. 2024. Renovation in environmental, social and governance (ESG) research: the application of machine learning. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 32, 554-572.
- Ziolo, M., Bak, I. and Spoz, A. 2024. Literature review of greenwashing research: State of the art. *ate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 5343-5356.

Integrated Reporting

- Ahmed, M.M.A. and Hassan, D.K.A.S.A. 2025. Integrated reporting in accounting research from 2013 to 2022: a systematic literature review and future research directions. *Meditari Accountancy Research* (online first).
- Beretta, V., Demartini, M.C. and de Villiers, C. 2025. Integrated reporting: developing an injustice assessment framework and a research agenda. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 38, 1-29.
- Cheng, M., Green, W., Conradie, P., Konishi, N. and Romi, A. 2014. The International Integrated Reporting Framework: Key Issues and Future Research Opportunities. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 25, 90-119.
- De Albuquerque Ribeiro, C.M., Ezequiel, F., Zotes, L.P. and Neto, J.V. 2024. Nonfinancial value creation of integrated reporting. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 22, 677-700.

- De Villiers, C. and Sharma, U. 2020. A critical reflection on the future of financial, intellectual capital, sustainability and integrated reporting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 70, 101999.
- De Villiers, C., Hsiao, K. and Maroun, W. 2017. Developing a Conceptual Model of influences around Integrated Reporting. *New Insights, and Directions for Future Research*. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 25, 450-460.
- De Villiers, C., Rinaldi, L. and Unerman, J. 2014. Integrated reporting. Insights, gaps and an agenda for future research. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 27, 1042-1067
- De Villiers, C., Venter, E.R. and Hsiao, P.C.K. 2017. Integrated reporting: background, measurement issues, approaches and an agenda for future research. *Accounting and Finance*, 57, 937-959.
- De Villiers, C., Hsiao, P.-C.K., Zambon, S. and Magnaghi, E. 2022. Sustainability, non-financial, integrated, and value reporting (extended external reporting): a conceptual framework and an agenda for future research. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 30, 453-471.
- Di Vaio, A., Syiropoulps, T., Alvino, F. and Palladino, R. 2021. "Integrated thinking and reporting" towards sustainable business models: a concise bibliometric analysis. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29, 691-719.
- Dragomir, V.D. and Dumitru, M. 2023. Does corporate governance improve integrated reporting quality? A meta-analytical investigation. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 31, 1846-1885.
- Dumay, J., Bernardi, C., Guthrie, J., and Demartini, P. 2016. Integrated reporting: A structured literature review. *Accounting Forum*, 40, 166-185
- Dumay, J., Bernadi, C., Guthrie, J. and La Torre, M. 2017. Barriers to Implementing the International Integrated Reporting Framework: A Contemporary Academic Perspective. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 25, 461-480.
- Fayad, A.A.S., Ariff, A.H.B.M., Ooi, S.C., Ahmi, A. and Khatib, S.F.A. 2024. Towards concise reporting through integrated reporting: a bibliometric review. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 32, 832-856.
- Flower, J. 2017. The International Integrated Reporting Council: A story of failure. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 27, 1-17.
- Hossain, A., Bose, S. and Shamsuddin, A. 2023. Diffusion of integrated reporting, insights and potential avenues for future research. *Accounting & Finance*, 63, 2503-2555.
- Ioana, D. and Adriana, T.-T. 2014. Research agenda on integrated reporting: new emergent theory and practice. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 15, 221-227.
- Izzo, T., Russo, A. and Risaliti, G. 2025. Integrated Reporting, Stakeholders' Perspective and Sustainable Disclosure: Systematic Insights From Empirical Research. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 4978-5005.
- Jayasiri, N.K., Kumarasinghe, S. and Pandey, R. 2023. 12 years of integrated reporting: A review of research. *Accounting & Finance*, 63, 2187-2243.
- Kannenberg, L. and Schreck, P. 2019. Integrated reporting: boon or bane? A review of empirical research on its determinants and implications. *Journal of Business Economics*, 89, 515-567.
- Lopes, A.I. and Penela, D. 2022. From little seeds to a big tree: a far-reaching assessment of the integrated reporting stream. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 30, 1514-1542.
- Minutiello, V. and Tettamanzi, P. 2021. The quality of nonfinancial voluntary disclosure: A systematic literature network analysis on sustainability reporting and integrated reporting. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 29, 1-18.
- Owen, G. 2013. Integrated Reporting: A Review of Developments and their Implications for the Accounting Curriculum. *Accounting Education: An International Journal*, 22, 340-356
- Permatasari, I. and Tjahjadi, B. 2024. A closer look at integrated reporting quality: a systematic review and agenda of future research. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 32, 661-692.
- Rinaldi, L., Unerman, J. and de Villiers, C. 2018. Evaluating the integrated reporting journey: insights, gaps and agendas for future research. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 31, 1294-1318.

- Songini, L., Pistoni, A., Comerio, N. and Tettamanzi, P. 2023. A decade of integrated reporting studies: state of the art and future research implications. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 36, 226-252.
- Thawani, B., Panigrahi, T. and Bhatia, M. 2024. Eleven years of integrated reporting: a bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 21, 666-684.
- Thomson, I. 2015. "But does sustainability need capitalism or an integrated report" a commentary on "The Integrated Reporting Council: A story of failure" by Flower, J. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 27, 18-22.
- Velte, P. 2022. Archival research on integrated reporting: a systematic review of main drivers and the impact of integrated reporting on firm value. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 26, 997-1061.
- Velte, P. and Stawinoga, M. 2017. Integrated reporting: The current state of empirical research, limitations and future research implications. *Journal of Management Control*, 28, 275-320.
- Veltri, S. and Silvestri, A. 2020. The value relevance of corporate financial and nonfinancial information provided by the integrated report: A systematic review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29, 3038-3054.
- Vitolla, F., Raimo, N. and Rubino, M. 2019. Appreciations, criticisms, determinants, and effects of integrated reporting: A Systematic literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26, 518-528.
- Zennaro, G., Corazza, G. and Zanin, F. 2024. The effects of integrated reporting quality: a meta-analytic review. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 32, 197-235.

Carbon Performance & Reporting

- Alaamri, Y., Hussainey, K., Nandy, M. and Lodh, S. 2024. The impact of audit quality and climate change reporting on corporate performance: a review and future research agenda. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 46, 611-629.
- Alam, S.M. and Costa, E. 2025. Climate Change Disclosure: A Conceptual Framework and Future Research Avenues. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 4019–4034.
- Ali, W., Wilson, J. and Frynas, J.G. 2024. Corporate governance mechanisms and carbon disclosure: A multilevel and multitheory literature survey. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 5670-5689.
- Alfi, C.F., Mohamad, M. and Hussainey, K. 2025. Unveiling the hidden symphony: board dynamics and carbon emission disclosure – a meta-analysis study in the realm of developed markets. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 47, 404-432.
- Bendig, D., Schulz, C., Erbar, F. and Heubeck, T. 2025. Apples to apples: Accurately assessing corporate carbon performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 486, 144338.
- Boiral, O., Morin, G., Yuriev, A. and Talbot, D. 2025. Managing organizational carbon neutrality: A systematic review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 2191-2206.
- Borghei, Z. 2021. Carbon disclosure: a systematic literature review. *Accounting & Finance*, 61, 5255-5280.
- Busch, T. and Lewandowski, S. 2018. Corporate Carbon and Financial Performance. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 22, 745-759.
- Busch, T., Johnson, M. and Pioch, T. 2022. Corporate carbon performance data: Quo vadis? *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 26, 350-363.
- Christy, A., Elnahass, M., Amezaga, J., Browne, A. and Heidrich, O. 2024. A dynamic framework to align company climate reporting and action with global climate targets. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 3103-3128.

- Dawar, G., Nagariya, R., Bhatia, S., Dhingra, D., Agrawal, M. and Dhaundiyal, P. 2024. Can financial markets help attain carbon goals? Evidence from systematic literature review, bibliometric analysis and topic modelling. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* (online first).
- Di Vaio, A., Zaffar, A., Chhabra, M. and Balsalobre-Lorente, D. 2024. Carbon accounting and integrated reporting for net-zero business models towards sustainable development: A systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 7216-7240.
- Di Vaio, A., Chhabra, M., Zaffar, A. and Balsalobre-Lorente, D. 2025. Accounting and Accountability in the Transition to Zero-Carbon Energy for Climate Change: A Systematic Literature Review (online first).
- Fliegel, P. 2025. How (Not) to Measure Companies' Climate Transition Risk: A Framework and Categorized Literature Review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 3049-3077.
- Galama, J.T. and Scholtens, B. 2021. A meta-analysis of the relationship between companies' greenhouse gas emissions and financial performance. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16, 043006.
- Guo, Y., Zhao, J.J. and Yang, D.C. 2022. Theories applicable to corporate climate change disclosure. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, 33, 147-157.
- Hahn, R., Reimsbach, D. and Schiemann, F. 2015. Organizations, Climate Change, and Transparency: Reviewing the Literature on Carbon Disclosure. *Organization & Environment*, 28, 80-102.
- He, R., Luo, L., Shamsuddin, A. and Tang, Q. 2020. Corporate carbon accounting: a literature review of carbon accounting research from the Kyoto Protocol to the Paris Agreement. *Accounting & Finance*, 62, 261-298.
- Hettler, M. and Graf-Vilachy, L. 2024. Corporate scope 3 carbon emission reporting as an enabler of supply chain decarbonization: A systematic review and comprehensive research agenda. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 263-282.
- Hoffmann, V.H. and Busch, T. Corporate Carbon Performance Indicators. *Carbon Intensity, Dependency, Exposure, and Risk*. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 12, 505-520.
- Huang, S., Vigne, S., Yao, D. and Xu, X. 2025. Climate risk analysis: Definitions, measurements, strategies, and sectoral impacts. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 39, 1795-1822.
- Kolasa, T. and Sautner, Z. 2024. Institutional Investors and the Fight Against Climate Change. *Corporate Governance* (online first).
- Ngo, T., Le, T., Ullah, S. and Trinh, H.H. 2023. Climate risk disclosures and global sustainability initiatives: A conceptual analysis and agenda for future research. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32, 3705-3720.
- O'Dwyer, B. and Unerman, J. 2020. Shifting the focus of sustainability accounting from impacts to risks and dependencies: researching the transformative potential of TCFD reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 33, 1113-1141.
- Sales de Aguiar, T.R., Haque, S. and McCann, L. 2024. Mapping accounting literature on climate finance: identifying research gaps and reflections on future research. *Accounting Research Journal*, 37, 249-269.
- Shui, X., Zhang, M. and Smart, P. 2023. Climate change disclosure and the promise of responsibility and transparency: A synthesizing framework and future research agenda. *European Management Review*, 20, 145–158.
- Singhania, M. and Bhan, I. 2024. Firm ownership structure and voluntary carbon disclosure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* (online first).
- Unter, K.M.M., Park, S. and Rivera, J. 2024. Business Response Strategies to Climate Change: An Integrative and Research Frontiers Outlook. *Organization & Environment*, 37, 325–357.
- Velte, P. 2023. Determinants and financial consequences of environmental performance and reporting: A literature review of European archival research. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 340, 117916.

- Velte, P. 2024. Archival research on carbon reporting quality: A review of determinants and consequences for firm value. *Journal of Environmental Law and Policy* 46: 110-148.
- Velte, P. 2025. Does ownership structure impact carbon performance and reporting? A literature review and a research agenda. *Accounting Research Journal* (online first)
- Velte, P. 2025. Sustainable corporate governance and corporate carbon outputs. Status quo of empirical research and further improvements. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting* (online first).
- Velte, P., Stawinoga, M. & Lueg, R. 2020. Carbon performance and disclosure: A systematic review of governance-related determinants and financial consequences. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 254: 120063.
- Wang, Q.J. 2023. Financial effects of carbon risk and carbon disclosure: A review. *Accounting & Finance*, 63, 4175-4219.
- Zhou, S. 2022. Reporting and Assurance of Climate-Related and Other Sustainability Information: A Review of Research and Practice. *Australian Accounting Review*, 102, 315–333.
- Zhu, G., Ong, T.S. and Hassan, A.F.S. 2025. Legitimization Tools or Governance Tools? A Systematic Literature Review of Corporate Governance and Carbon Disclosure Quality. *Business Strategy and Development*, 8, e70117.

Sustainable Corporate Governance

- Afeltra, G., Alerasoul, A. and Usman, B. 2022. Board of Directors and Corporate Social Reporting: A Systematic Literature Network Analysis. *Accounting in Europe*, 19, 48-77.
- Aguilera, R.V., Aragon-Correa, J.A., Marano, V., Tashman, P.A. 2021. The Corporate Governance of Environmental Sustainability: A Review and Proposal for More Integrated Research. *Journal of Management*, 47, 1468-1497.
- Aguilera, R.V., Desender, K.A. and LopezPuertas-Lamy, M. 2025. From Universal Owners to Owners of the Universe? How the Big Three Are Reshaping Corporate Governance. *Corporate Governance*, 33, 462-482.
- Aguirre-Perez, G.A. and Garcia-Sanchez, I.M. 2025. Influence of CEO Attributes on Sustainability: From a Bibliometric Overview to a Systematic Review of the Socio-Psychological Aspects. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* (online first).
- AlJanadi, Y. 2025. Gender diversity and disclosure: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance* (online first).
- Ali, W., Wilson, J. and Hamza, M. 2025. A Meta Analytical Study of Cultural Conditions Moderating the Relationship Between Board Diversity and CSR Disclosure. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* (online first).
- Aluchna, M., Roszkowska-Menkes, M. and Khan, S. 2024. Corporate governance perspective on environmental reporting: Literature review and future research agenda. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 1550-1577.
- Amorelli, M.-F. and Garcia-Sanchez, I.-M. 2021. Trends in the dynamic evolution of board gender diversity and corporate social responsibility. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28, 537-554.
- Baiker, H.K., Pandey, N., Kumar, S. and Haldar, A. 2020. A bibliometric analysis of board diversity: Current status, development, and future research directions. *Journal of Business Research*, 108, 232-246.

- Banno, M., Filippi, E. and Trento, S. 2023. Women in top echelon positions and their effects on sustainability: a review, synthesis and future research agenda. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 27, 181-251.
- Bhaskar, R., Li, P., Bansal, S. and Kumar, S. 2023. A new insight on CEO characteristics and corporate social responsibility (CSR): A meta-analytical review. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 89, 103815.
- Bota-Avram, C. and Tiron-Tudor, A. 2025. Women on Corporate Boards and Sustainability Reporting: A Proposed Integrated Framework of Determinants and Impacts. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* (online first).
- Bolourian, S., Angus, A. and Alinaghian, L. 2021. The impact of corporate governance on corporate social responsibility at the board-level: A critical assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 291, 125752.
- Byron, K. and Post, C. 2016. Women on Boards of Directors and Corporate Social Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Corporate Governance*, 24, 428-442.
- Campopiano, G., Gabaldon, P. and Gimenez-Jimenez, D. 2023. Women Directors and Corporate Social Performance: An Integrative Review of the Literature and a Future Research Agenda. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 182, 717-746.
- Cortes, A.F., Cortes-Meija, S., Samimi, M. and Joo, M. 2025. Strategic leadership of social and environmental responsibility: a review and research agenda. *Management Review Quarterly* (online first).
- Cundill, G.J., Smart, P. and Wilson, H.N. 2018. Non-financial Shareholder Activism. *International Journal of Management Review*, 20, 606-626.
- Dimes, R. and Molinari, M. 2024. Non-financial reporting and corporate governance: a conceptual framework. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 1067-1093.
- Dwekat, A., Segui-Mas, E., Zaid, M.A.A. and Tormo-Carbo, G. 2022. Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility: mapping the most critical drivers in the board academic literature. *Mediterranean Accountancy Research*, 30, 1705-1739.
- E-Vahdati, S., Zulkifli, N. and Zakaria, Z. 2019. Corporate governance integration with sustainability: a systematic literature review. *Corporate Governance*, 19, 255-269.
- Ed-Dafali, S., Abardour, Z., Derj, A., Bami, A. and Hussainey, K. 2025. A PRISMA-Based Systematic Review on Economic, Social, and Governance Practices: Insights and Research Agenda. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34, 1896-1916.
- Enciso-Alfaro, S.-Y. and Garcia-Sanchez, I.-M. 2023. Corporate governance and environmental sustainability: Addressing the dual theme from a bibliometric approach. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30, 1025-1041.
- Endrikat, J., de Villiers, C., Guenther, T.W. and Guenther, E.M. 2021. Board Characteristics and Corporate Social Responsibility: A Meta-Analytic Investigation. *Business & Society*, 60, 2099-2135.
- Faller, C.M. and zu Kynphausen-Aufseß, D. 2018. Does Equity Ownership Matter for Corporate Social Responsibility? A Literature Review of Theories and Recent Empirical Findings. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 150, 15-40.
- Federo, R., Ponomareva, Y., Aguilera, R.V., Saz-Carranza, A. and Losada, C. 2020. Bringing owners back on board: A review of the role of ownership type in board governance. *Corporate governance*, 28, 348-371.
- Fernandez-Torres, Y., Gallego-Sosa, C. and Gutierrez-Fernandez, M. 2025. Do women board members contribute to companies' superior environmental performance? A literature review. *Review of Managerial Science*, 19, 1513-1565.
- Friede, G. 2019. Why don't we see more action? A metasynthesis of the investor impediments to integrate environmental, social, and governance factors. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28, 1260-1282.

- Garcia-Sanchez, I.M., Araujo, D.J.C. and Enciso-Alfaro, S.-Y. 2025. What Do We Need to Know About the CEO's Personality Traits? A Review on the Influence on Strategic and Sustainability Decisions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* (online first).
- Gillan, S.L., Koch, A. and Starks, L.T. 2021. Firms and social responsibility: A review of ESG and CSR research in corporate finance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 66, 101889.
- Hazami-Ammar, S. 2024. Institutional investors and corporate social responsibility reporting: a systematic literature review in accounting and corporate governance. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance* (online first).
- Hussain, N., Khan, S.A., Nguyen, D.K., Stocchetti, A. and Corbet, S. 2025. Board-level governance and corporate social responsibility: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 39, 3-31.
- Jain, T. and Jamali, D. 2016. Looking Inside the Black Box: The Effect of Corporate Governance on Corporate Social Responsibility. *Corporate Governance*, 24, 253-273.
- Jamali, D., Safieddine, A.M. and Rabbath, M. 2008. Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility Synergies and Interrelationships. *Corporate Governance*, 16, 443-459.
- Karn, I., Mendiratta, E., Fehre, K. and Oehmichen, J. 2023. The effect of corporate governance on corporate environmental sustainability: A multilevel review and research agenda. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32, 2926-2961.
- Kavadis, N. and Thomsen, S. 2023. Sustainable corporate governance: A review of research on long-term corporate ownership and sustainability. *Corporate Governance*, 31, 198-226.
- Kumar, A., King, T. and Ranta, M. 2024. Corporate governance characteristics and involvement in ESG activities: current trends and research directions. *Corporate Governance*, 24, 175-209.
- Lagasio, V. and Cucari, N. 2019. Corporate governance and environmental social governance disclosure: A meta-analytical review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26, 701-711.
- Le, S., Fuller, B., Muriithi, S., Walters, B. and Kroll, M.K. 2015. The Influence of Top Managers' Values on Corporate Social Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 27, 9-27.
- Lefley, F. and Janecek, V. 2024. Board gender diversity (BGD): compelling evidence in support for the critical mass theory. *Corporate Communications* (online first).
- Lu, Y., Ntim, C.G., Zhang, Q. and Li, P. 2022. Board of directors' attributes and corporate outcomes: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 84, 102424.
- Ludwig, P. and Sassen, R. 2022. Which internal corporate governance mechanisms drive corporate sustainability? *Journal of Environmental Management*, 301, 113780.
- Majumder, M.T.H., Akter, A. and Li, X. 2017. Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Disclosures: A Meta-Analytical Review. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 25, 434-458.
- Mahran, K. and Elamer, A.A. 2024. Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and corporate environmental sustainability: A systematic literature review and avenues for future research. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 1977-2003.
- Malik, M. 2015. Value-Enhancing Capabilities of CSR: A Brief Review of Contemporary Literature. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127, 419-438.
- Mason, C. and Simmons, J. 2014. Embedding Corporate Social Responsibility in Corporate Governance: A Stakeholder Systems Approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 119, 77-86.
- Naciti, V., Cesaroni, F. and Pulejo, L. 2022. Corporate governance and sustainability: a review of the existing literature. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 26, 55-74.
- Nguyen, T.H., Ntim, C.G. and Malagila, J.K. 2020. Women on corporate boards and corporate financial and non-financial performance: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 71, 101554.
- Ormazábal, G. 2016. The Role of Stakeholders in Corporate Governance: A View from Accounting Research. *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*, 11, 193-290.

- Pahuja, A., Hassan, R., Chandel, A., Kaushik, N., Bhanot, N. and Fareed, M. 2025. Domain Development and Future Research Agendas in Corporate Governance and Sustainability Research: A Review. *Sustainable Development* (online first).
- Pandey, D.K., Dalwai, T., S. Ananda and Nguyen, T.H. 2025. CEO gender perspectives in climate and environmental governance: a systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Accounting Literature* (online first).
- Pisano, S., Lepore, L., Celentano, A. and Alvino, F. 2022. The Influence of Institutional Factors on the Relationship between Board of Directors and Environmental Disclosure: A Meta-Analysis. *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics*, 16, 229-280.
- Rao, K. and Tilt, C. 2016. Board Composition and Corporate Social Responsibility: The Role of Diversity, Gender, Strategy, and Decision Making. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 138, 327-347.
- Sahut, J.-M., Peris-Ortiz, M. and Teulon, F. 2019. Corporate social responsibility and governance. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 23, 901-912.
- Samaha, K., Khelif, H. and Hussainey, K. 2015. The impact of board and audit committee characteristics on voluntary disclosure: A meta-analysis. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 24, 13-28.
- Tubik, D. and Herberger, T.A. 2024. How endogeneity problems are addressed in analyzing the relationship between diversity in top management teams and company financial performance—a systematic literature review. *Management Review Quarterly* (online first).
- Van, H.V., Afifa, M.A. and Van, D.B. 2025. Internal audit functions and environmental, social and governance (ESG): a systematic literature review. *Asian Review of Accounting* (online first).
- Velte, P. 2017. Do women on board of directors have an impact on corporate governance quality and firm performance? A literature review. *International Journal of Sustainable Strategic Management*, 5, 302-346.
- Velte, P. 2020. Do CEO incentives and characteristics influence corporate social responsibility (CSR) and vice versa? A literature review. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 16, 1293-1323.
- Velte, P. 2021. The link between corporate governance and corporate financial misconduct. A review of archival studies and implications for future research. *Management Review Quarterly* (online first).
- Velte, P. 2023. Does sustainable board governance drive corporate social responsibility? A structured literature review on European archival research. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 14, 46-88.
- Velte, P. 2023. Which institutional investors drive corporate sustainability? A systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32, 42-71.
- Velte, P. 2023. Which attributes of audit committees are most beneficial for European companies? Literature review and research recommendations. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 14, 403-430.
- Velte, P. 2023. The link between corporate governance and corporate financial misconduct. A review of archival studies and implications for future research. *Management Review Quarterly*, 73, 353-411.
- Velte, P. 2024. Archival research on sustainability-related executive compensation. A literature review of the status quo and future improvements. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 3119-3147.
- Velte, P. 2025. Do audit committees impact corporate social responsibility? A review of empirical quantitative research and research opportunities. *Corporate Governance*, 25, 1-20.
- Velte, P. 2025. Board Gender Diversity and Corporate Social Responsibility. A Literature Review on Critical Mass Theory and Research Recommendations. *Sustainable Development* (online first).
- Velte, P. and Obermann, J. 2021. Compensation-related institutional investor activism – a literature review and integrated analysis of sustainability aspects. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 12, 22-51.
- Velte, P. and Stawinoga, M. 2020. Do chief sustainability officers and CSR committees influence CSR-related outcomes? A structured literature review based on empirical-quantitative research findings. *Journal of Management Control*, 31, 333-377.

- Velte, P. and Weber, S. 2021. Sustainable corporate purpose and sustainable corporate governance: Integrative theoretical framework and reform recommendations. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Law*, 287-323.
- Veltri, S., Latella, P. and Ricciardi, A. 2025. ESG-based executive compensation: state of art and future research directions. *Corporate Governance*, 25, 101-131.
- Wang, G., Devine, R.A., Molina-Sieiro, G. and Holmes, R.M. 2024. Strategic Leaders and Corporate Social Responsibility: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal of Management*, 50, 2675-2714.
- Wehrhahn, C. and Velte, P. 2024. The relationship between audit committees, external auditors, and internal control systems: a literature review and a research agenda. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting* (online first).
- Winschel, J. and Stawinoga, M. 2019. Determinants and effects of sustainable CEO compensation: a structured literature review of empirical evidence. *Management Review Quarterly*, 69, 265-328.
- Wu, Q., Furuoka, F. and Lau, S.C. 2022. Corporate social responsibility and board gender diversity: a meta-analysis. *Management Research Review*, 45, 956-983.
- Yoshikawa, T., Nippa, M. and Chua, G. 2021. Global shift towards stakeholder-oriented corporate governance? Evidence from the scholarly literature and future research opportunities. *Multinational Business Review*, 29, 321-347.
- Zaman, R., Jain, T., Samara, G. and Jamali, D. 2022. Corporate Governance Meets Corporate Social Responsibility: Mapping the Interface. *Business & Society*, 61, 690-752.
- Zattoni, A. and Pugliese, A. 2021. Corporate Governance Research in the Wake of a Systematic Crisis: Lessons and Opportunities from the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Management Studies* (online first).
- Zhao, L., Yang, M.M., Wang, Z. and Michelson, G. 2023. Trends in the Dynamic Evolution of Corporate Social Responsibility and Leadership: A Literature Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 182, 135-157.
- Zubeltzu-Jaka, E., Alvarez-Etxeberria, I. and Aldaz-Odriozola, M. 2024. Corporate social responsibility oriented boards and triple bottom line performance: A meta-analytic study. *Business Strategy and Development*, 7, e320.
- Zubeltzu-Jaka, E., Alvarez-Etxeberria, I. and Ortas, E. 2020. The effect of the size of the board of directors on corporate social performance: A meta-analytic approach. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27, 1361-1374.

Sustainability Assurance

- Abernathy, J., Stefaniak, C., Willkins, A. and Olson, J. 2017. Literature review and research opportunities on credibility of corporate social responsibility reporting. *American Journal of Business*, 32, 24-41.
- Alaamri Y., Hussainey, K., Nandy, M. and Lodh, S. The impact of audit quality and climate change reporting on corporate performance: a review and future research agenda. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 46, 611-629.
- Cohen, J.R. and Simnett, R. 2015. CSR and Assurance Services: A Research Agenda. *Auditing*, 34, 59-74.
- De Moor, P. and De Beelde, I. 2005. Environmental Auditing and the Role of the Accountancy Profession: A Literature Review. *Environmental Management*, 36, 205-219.
- Farooq, M.B. and de Villiers, C. 2017. The market for sustainability assurance services: A comprehensive literature review and future avenues for research. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 29, 79-106.

- Farooq, M.B., Azantouti, A.S.A. and Zaman, R. 2024. Non-financial information assurance: a review of the literature and directions for future research. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15, 48-84.
- Free, C., Jones, S. and Tremblay, M.S. 2024. Greenwashing and sustainability assurance: a review and call for future research. *Journal of Accounting Literature* (online first).
- Garcia-Sanchez, I.-M. 2020. Corporate Social Reporting and Assurance: The State of the Art. *Spanish Accounting Review*.
- Hay, D.C., Kend, M., Sierra-Garcia, L. and Subramaniam, N. 2023. Sustainability assurance and provider choice: a meta-regression analysis. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 14, 1183-1208.
- Huang, X.B. and Watson, L. 2015. Corporate social responsibility research in accounting. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 34, 1-16.
- Huggins, A., Green, W.J. and Simnett, R. 2011. The Competitive Market for Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements: Is There a Role for Assurers from the Accounting Profession? *Current Issues in Auditing*, 5, A1-A12.
- Knechel, W.R. 2021. The Future of Assurance in Capital Markets: Reclaiming the Economic Imperative of the Auditing Profession. *Accounting Horizons*, 35, 133-151.
- Krasodomska, J., Simnett, R. and Street, D.L. 2021. Extended external reporting assurance: Current practices and challenges. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 32, 104-142.
- Maroun, W. 2020. A Conceptual Model for Understanding Corporate Social Responsibility Assurance Practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 161, 187-209.
- Martinov-Bennie, N. 2012. Greenhouse gas emissions reporting and assurance: reflections on the current state. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 3, 244-251.
- Oware, K.M. and Moulya, V.H. 2023. The outlook of sustainability assurance in global trends: a bibliometric review and research agenda. *South Asian Journal of Marketing*, 4, 110-127.
- Simnett, R., Nugent, M. and Huggins, A.L. 2009. Developing an International Assurance Standard on Greenhouse Gas Statements. *Accounting Horizons*, 23, 347-363.
- Tyson, T. and Adams, C.A. 2020. Increasing the scope of assurance research: new lines of inquiry and novel theoretical perspectives. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 11, 291-316.
- Velte, P. 2021. Determinants and consequences of corporate social responsibility assurance: a systematic review of archival research. *Society and Business Review*, 16, 1-25.
- Velte, P. 2025. Determinants of the selection of sustainability assurance providers and consequences for firm value: a review of empirical research. *Meditari Accountancy Research* (online first).
- Velte, P. and Stawinoga, M. 2017. Empirical research on corporate social responsibility assurance (CSRA). A literature review. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87, 1017-1066.
- Venter, E.R. and Van Eck, L. 2021. Research on extended external reporting assurance: Trends, themes, and opportunities. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 32, 63-103.
- Venter, E.R. and Krasodomska, J. 2024. Research on extended external reporting assurance: An update on recent developments. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 35, 390-428.
- Yan, M., Jia, F., Chen, L. and Yan, F. 2022. Assurance process for sustainability reporting: Towards a conceptual framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 377, 134156.
- Zhou, S. 2022. Reporting and Assurance of Climate-Related and Other Sustainability Information: A Review of Research and Practice. *Australian Accounting Review*, 102, 315-333.

CSR & Earnings management

- Deng, Y., Ong, T.S. and Senik, R. 2024. Trick or treat? A bibliometric literature review of corporate social responsibility and earnings management. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 4361-4383.
- Ehsan, S., Nurunnabi, M., Tahir, S. and Hashmi, M.H. 2020. Earnings management: A new paradigm of corporate social responsibility. *Business and Society Review*, 135, 349-369.
- Jhunjhunwala, S. and Fatima, S. 2025. Two Decades of Research on the Relation of CSR With Earnings Management: Mapping Heterogeneity and Future Agenda. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32, 3438-3453.
- Kumar, S., Sharma, A., Mishra, P. and Kaushik, N. 2023. Corporate social responsibility disclosures and earnings management: a bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 20, 27-51.
- Shi, H., Liu, H. and Wu, X. 2024. Are socially responsible firms responsible to accounting? A meta-analysis of the relationship between corporate social responsibility and earnings management. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 22, 500-526.
- Velte, P. 2024. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and earnings management: A structured literature review with a focus on contextual factors. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31, 6000-6018.

CSR & Tax avoidance

- Hoopes, J.L., Robinson, L. and Slemrod, J. 2024. Corporate Tax Disclosure. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association*, 46, 31-61.
- Jemilo, S. and Farnsel, C. 2023. Complements, substitutes or neither? A review of the relation between corporate social responsibility and corporate tax avoidance. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 45, 474-496.
- Kovermann, J. and Velte, P. 2019. The impact of corporate governance on corporate tax avoidance. A literature review. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 36, 100270.
- Kovermann, J. and Velte, P. 2021. CSR and Tax Avoidance: A Review of Empirical Research. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 18, 20-39.
- Krieg, K.S. and Li, J. 2021. A Review of Corporate Social Responsibility and Reputational Costs in the Tax Avoidance Literature. *Accounting Perspectives*, 20, 477-542.
- Marques, M., Montenegro, T.M. and Bras, F.A. 2024. Tax Avoidance and Corporate Social Responsibility: A Meta-Analysis. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association*, 46, 1-20.
- Krieg, K.S. and Li, J. 2021. A Review of Corporate Social Responsibility and Reputational Costs in the Tax Avoidance Literature. *Accounting Perspectives*, 20, 477-542.
- Scarpa, F. and Signori, S. 2023. Understanding corporate tax responsibility: a systematic literature review. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 14, 179-201.
- Stephenson, D. 2015. Corporate Social Responsibility and Tax Avoidance: A Literature Review and Directions for Future Research. Working paper.
- Velte, P. 2024. Ownership structure and corporate tax avoidance: a structured literature review on archival research. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 25, 696-731.
- Whait, R.B., Christ, K.L., Ortas, E. and Burritt, R.L. 2018. What do we know about tax aggressiveness and corporate social responsibility? An integrative review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 204, 542-552.